

## Further Combinatorial Properties of Two Fibonacci Lattices

RICHARD P. STANLEY

In an earlier paper on differential posets, two lattices  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$  were defined for each positive integer  $r$ , and were shown to have some interesting combinatorial properties. In this paper the investigation of  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$  is continued. A bijection  $\Psi: \text{Fib}(r) \rightarrow Z(r)$  is shown to preserve many properties of the lattices, though  $\Psi$  is not an isomorphism. As a consequence we give an explicit formula which generalizes the rank generating function of  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and of  $Z(r)$ . Some additional properties of  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$  are developed related to the counting of chains.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [3] two lattices, denoted  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$ , were defined for each positive integer  $r$  and were shown to have some interesting combinatorial properties. ( $\text{Fib}(1)$  had previously been considered in [1], where it was called the ‘Fibonacci lattice’.) In particular,  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$  have a unique minimal element  $\hat{0}$ , are graded, and have the same (finite) number of elements of each rank. When  $r = 1$ , the number of elements of rank  $n$  is the Fibonacci number  $F_{n+1}$  (where  $F_1 = F_2 = 1$ ,  $F_{n+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$ ). There is a rank-preserving bijection  $\psi: \text{Fib}(r) \rightarrow Z(r)$ , which satisfies  $e(x) = e(\psi(x))$  for all  $x \in \text{Fib}(r)$ , where  $e(x)$  denotes the number of maximal chains in the interval  $[\hat{0}, x]$  (see [3, Prop. 5.7]).

In this paper we show that in fact the intervals  $[\hat{0}, x]$  and  $[\hat{0}, \psi(x)]$  have the same number of chains (or multichains) of any specified length. These numbers are relatively easy to compute for  $\text{Fib}(r)$ , so we have ‘transferred’ this result to  $Z(r)$ . As a consequence, we show that for any fixed  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n} q^{\rho(x_n)} = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - rq - ((i-1)r + 1)q^2)^{-1},$$

where the sum ranges over all  $n$ -element multichains in  $\text{Fib}(r)$  or in  $Z(r)$ , and where  $\rho$  denotes rank. Our results can also be interpreted in terms of the zeta polynomial [2, Ch. 3.11] of certain subposets of  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$ . The proof in [3] that  $[\hat{0}, x]$  and  $[\hat{0}, \psi(x)]$  have the same number of maximal chains does not extend to chains of smaller lengths, so we use a new method of proof here.

We will use the notation

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad \mathbb{P} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}.$$

### 2. MULTICHAINS IN $\text{Fib}(r)$ AND $Z(r)$

We first define the lattices  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$ . Let  $A(r) = \{1_1, 1_2, \dots, 1_r, 2\}$  be an alphabet with  $r$  types of 1’s and with one 2. (When  $r = 1$  we simply let  $A(1) = \{1, 2\}$ .) Then  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$  have the same set of elements, namely the set  $A(r)^*$  of all finite words with letters in  $A(r)$  (including the empty word  $\phi$ ). The cover relations (and hence by transitivity the entire partial order) of  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$  are defined as follows. We say that  $v$  covers  $u$  in  $\text{Fib}(r)$  if  $u$  is obtained from  $v$  by changing a single 2 to a 1, for some  $i$ , or by deleting the last letter in  $v$  if it is a 1. For instance, the word  $v = 221_221_21_1$  in  $\text{Fib}(2)$  covers the words  $1_121_221_21_1$ ,  $1_221_221_21_1$ ,  $21_11_221_21_1$ ,  $21_21_221_21_1$ ,  $221_21_11_21_1$ ,  $221_21_21_21_1$ , and  $221_221_2$ . We say that  $v$  covers  $u$  in  $Z(r)$  if  $u$  can be obtained

from  $v$  by changing a single 2 to a  $1_i$  for some  $i$ , provided that all letters preceding this 2 are also 2's, or by deleting the first letter which is not a 2 (if it occurs). Thus in  $Z(2)$  the word  $v = 221_221_21_1$  covers the words  $1_121_221_21_1, 1_221_221_21_1, 21_11_221_21_1, 21_21_221_21_1$  and  $2221_21_1$ . (Note that  $v$  covers 7 words in  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and 5 in  $Z(r)$ .)

It is easily seen that  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$  are graded posets with  $\hat{0} = \phi$  (the empty word), and rank function given by

$$\rho(a_1a_2 \cdots a_k) = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k,$$

where  $a_i \in A(r)$ , and where we add the  $a_i$ 's as integers (ignoring subscripts on the 1's). It is also easily seen [1] [3, after Def. 5.6] that  $\text{Fib}(1)$  is a distributive lattice, while  $\text{Fib}(r)$  for any  $r$  is upper-semimodular. More strongly, if  $x \in \text{Fib}(r)$  and  $x^*$  is the join of all elements covering  $x$ , then the interval  $[x, x^*]$  is the product of a boolean algebra with the modular lattice of rank two and cardinality  $r + 2$ . In particular,  $\text{Fib}(2)$  is 'join-distributive'. (In [3] it was erroneously claimed that  $\text{Fib}(r)$  is join-distributive for any  $r$ .)

We will need the following result from [3, Prop. 5.4]:

PROPOSITION 2.1.  $Z(r)$  is a modular lattice for which every complemented interval has length  $\leq 2$ .

Given  $x \in A(r)^*$  and  $n \in \mathbb{P}$ , let  $M_n(x) = M_n(x, r)$  (respectively,  $N_n(x) = N_n(x, r)$ ) denote the number of multichains  $\hat{0} = x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_n = x$  in  $\text{Fib}(r)$  (respectively,  $Z(r)$ ) of length  $n$  with top  $x$ . It is clear from the definitions of  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$  that if  $x$  and  $x'$  are two words in  $A(r)^*$  differing only in the subscripts on the 1's, then there are automorphisms of  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and of  $Z(r)$  which send  $x$  to  $x'$ . Hence  $M_n(x) = M_n(x')$  and  $N_n(x) = N_n(x')$ . For this reason we often suppress the subscripts on the 1's in  $x$  when writing  $M_n(x)$  or  $N_n(x)$  for particular  $x$ . For instance,  $M_n(211y)$  denotes  $M_n(21_11_jy)$  for any  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  (and  $y \in A(r)^*$ ).

In the terminology of [2, Ch. 3.11],  $M_n(x)$  and  $N_n(x)$  are (as functions of  $n$ ) the *zeta polynomials* of the interval  $[\hat{0}, x]$  of  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$ , respectively.

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $u \in A(r)^*$ . Then

$$M_n(1u) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(u), \quad M_n(2u) = \sum_{i=1}^n ((i-1)r + 1)M_i(u). \tag{1,2}$$

PROOF. Let  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . Given a multichain  $\hat{0} = u_0 \leq u_1 \leq \cdots \leq u_i = u$  in  $\text{Fib}(r)$ , associate with it the multichain  $\hat{0} = x_0 = x_1 = \cdots = x_{n-i} < 1_ju_1 \leq \cdots \leq 1_ju_i = 1_ju$  in  $\text{Fib}(r)$ . This sets up a bijection which proves (1).

Again given  $\hat{0} = u_0 \leq u_1 \leq \cdots \leq u_i = u$  in  $\text{Fib}(r)$ , define the following  $(i-1)r + 1$  multichains of length  $n$  from  $\hat{0}$  to  $2u$  in  $\text{Fib}(r)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{0} = x_0 = x_1 = \cdots = x_{n-i} < 1_ku_1 \leq 1_ku_2 \leq \cdots \leq 1_ku_s \leq 2u_s \leq \cdots \leq 2u_i = 2u, \\ 1 \leq k \leq r, 2 \leq s \leq i, \\ \hat{0} = x_0 = x_1 = \cdots = x_{n-i} < 2u_1 \leq 2u_2 \leq \cdots \leq 2u_i = 2u. \end{aligned}$$

Every multichain of length  $n$  from  $\hat{0}$  to  $2u$  occurs exactly once in this way, so (2) follows.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.3. For any  $i \geq 0$  and any  $u \in A(1)^*$ , we have

$$N_n(2^i1u) - N_{n-1}(2^i1u) = r \sum_{j=1}^i N_n(2^{j-1}12^{i-j}1u) + N_n(2^i u) - irN_n(2^{i-1}1u), \tag{3}$$

$$N_n(2^i) - N_{n-1}(2^i) = r \sum_{j=1}^i N_n(2^{j-1}12^{i-j}) - (ir-1)N_n(2^{i-1}). \tag{4}$$

(Set  $N_n(2^{-1}1u) = 0$  and  $N_n(2^{-1}) = 0$  in the case  $i = 0$ .)

PROOF. Let  $P$  be any locally finite poset for which every principal order ideal  $\Lambda_x := \{y \in P: y \leq x\}$  is finite. Let  $L_n(x)$  be the number of multichains  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n = x$  in  $P$ . Clearly,

$$L_n(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} L_{n-1}(y).$$

Hence, letting  $\mu$  denote the Möbius function of  $P$  we have, by the Möbius inversion formula [2, Prop. 3.7.1],

$$L_{n-1}(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} L_n(y)\mu(y, x).$$

Since  $\mu(x, x) = 1$  there follows

$$L_n(x) - L_{n-1}(x) = - \sum_{y < x} L_n(y)\mu(y, x). \tag{5}$$

Now, given  $x \in Z(r)$ , let  $x_*$  be the meet of elements which  $x$  covers. (Since  $Z(r)$  is a lattice by Proposition 2.1, it follows that  $x_*$  exists.) By a well known property of Möbius functions (e.g. [2, Cor. 3.9.5]), we have  $\mu(y, x) = 0$  unless  $x_* \leq y \leq x$ . But by Proposition 2.1, the interval  $[x_*, x]$  has length at most 2 (since a finite modular lattice is complemented if and only if  $\hat{0}$  is a meet of coatoms).

If  $[x_*, x]$  has length 0, then  $x = \hat{0}$  and the lemma is clearly valid (put  $i = 0$  in (4) to obtain  $0 = 0$ ).

If  $[x_*, x]$  has length 1, then  $[x_*, x] = [u, 1_j u]$  or  $[x_*, x] = [1, 2]$ ; the latter case only for  $r = 1$  (so  $j = 1$ ). Then  $\mu(x_*, x) = -1$ , and equations (3) (with  $i = 0$ ) and (4) (with  $i = r = 1$ ) coincide with (5).

Finally, assume that  $[x_*, x]$  has length 2. If  $x$  covers  $k$  elements  $y$ , then  $\mu(y, x) = -1$ , and  $\mu(x_*, x) = k - 1$ . Now if  $x = 2^i 1_k u$  (with  $i \geq 1$ ) then  $x$  covers the  $ir + 1$  elements  $y = 2^{j-1} 1_m 2^{i-j} 1_k u$  ( $1 \leq j \leq i$  and  $1 \leq m \leq r$ ) or  $y = 2^i u$ ; and  $x_* = 2^{i-1} 1_k u$ . If  $x = 2^i$  (with  $i > 0$ , and with  $i > 1$  if  $r = 1$ ) then  $x$  covers the  $ir$  elements  $y = 2^{j-1} 1_k 2^{i-j}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq i$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq r$ ); and  $x_* = 2^{i-1}$ . Thus equations (3) and (4) again coincide with (5), and the proof is complete.  $\square$

We come to the main result of this section.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *For all  $w \in A(1)^*$  and  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $M_n(w, r) = N_n(w, r)$ . (Recall that in the notation  $M_n(w, r)$  and  $N_n(w, r)$ ,  $w$  stands for any word  $w' \in A(r)^*$  obtained from  $w$  by replacing each 1 with some  $1_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .)*

PROOF. Given a function  $F: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , define new functions  $\sigma F$  and  $\tau F$  by

$$\sigma F(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n F(i), \quad \tau F(n) = ((n-1)r + 1)F(n).$$

If  $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_k \in A(1)^*$ , define the operator  $\Gamma_w$  on functions  $F: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by replacing each 1 in  $w$  with  $\sigma$  and each 2 with  $\sigma\tau$ . For instance,  $\Gamma_{22121} = \sigma\tau\sigma\tau\sigma\tau\sigma$ . Let  $I: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be defined by  $I(n) = 1$  for all  $n$ . Then it follows from Lemma 2.2 and the initial condition  $M_n(\phi) = 1$  that

$$M_n(w) = \Gamma_w I(n). \tag{6}$$

Hence (since clearly  $N_n(\phi) = 1$ ) it suffices to show that the right-hand side of (6) satisfies the same recurrence, given by Lemma 2.3, that  $N_n(w)$  satisfies.

We claim that the operators  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  satisfy the relation

$$r\sigma^2 = \tau\sigma - \sigma\tau + r\sigma; \tag{7}$$

for we have

$$r\sigma^2F(n) = r \sum_{i=1}^n (n-i+1)F(i), \quad \tau\sigma F(n) = ((n-1)r+1) \sum_{i=1}^n F(i),$$

$$\sigma\tau F(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n ((i-1)r+1)F(i), \quad r\sigma F(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n F(i),$$

from which (7) is immediate.

Now suppose that  $w = 2^i 1u \in A(1)^*$ . In order to show that  $\Gamma_w I(n)$  satisfies the same recurrence (3) as does  $N_n(w)$ , it suffices to show that for any  $F: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$(\sigma\tau)^i \sigma F(n) - (\sigma\tau)^i \sigma F(n-1) = r \sum_{j=1}^i (\sigma\tau)^{j-1} \sigma (\sigma\tau)^{i-j} \sigma F(n) + (\sigma\tau)^i F(n) - ir(\sigma\tau)^{i-1} \sigma F(n). \tag{8}$$

We have

$$r \sum_{j=1}^i (\sigma\tau)^{j-1} \sigma (\sigma\tau)^{i-j} \sigma = \sum_{j=1}^i (\sigma\tau)^{j-1} (\tau\sigma - \sigma\tau + r\sigma) (\tau\sigma)^{i-j}, \quad \text{by (7)}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^i [(\sigma\tau)^{j-1} (\tau\sigma)^{i-j+1} - (\sigma\tau)^j (\tau\sigma)^{i-j} + r(\sigma\tau)^i \sigma]$$

$$= (\tau\sigma)^i - (\sigma\tau)^i + ir(\sigma\tau)^i \sigma. \tag{9}$$

But for any  $G: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$\sigma G(n) - \sigma G(n-1) = G(n).$$

Thus

$$(\sigma\tau)^i \sigma F(n) - (\sigma\tau)^i \sigma F(n-1) = \sigma(\tau\sigma)^i F(n) - \sigma(\tau\sigma)^i F(n-1) = (\tau\sigma)^i F(n). \tag{10}$$

Hence (8) follows from (9) and (10), as desired.

There remains the case  $w = 2^i$ . We need to show that for any  $F: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$(\sigma\tau)^i F(n) - (\sigma\tau)^i F(n-1) = r \sum_{j=1}^i (\sigma\tau)^{j-1} \sigma (\sigma\tau)^{i-j} F(n) - (ir-1)(\sigma\tau)^{i-1} F(n).$$

The proof is analogous to that of (8) and will be omitted.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *For all  $w \in A(r)^*$ , the intervals  $[\phi, w]$  in  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$  have the same number of elements.*

**PROOF.** Put  $n = 2$  in Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

It would be interesting to find a simple bijective proof of Corollary 2.5. The intervals  $[\phi, w]$  in  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$  do not in general have the same rank-generating function (e.g.  $w = 1_i 21_j$ ).

We have the following generalization of Corollary 2.5:

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *For any  $w \in A(r)^*$  and any  $j \in \mathbb{P}$ , the intervals  $[\phi, w]$  in  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$  have the same number of  $j$ -element chains.*

**PROOF.** For any finite poset  $P$ , let  $L_n(P)$  be the number of multichains  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{n-1}$  of length  $n$  in  $P$ , and let  $c_j$  be the number of  $j$ -element chains. Then (see [2, Prop. 3.11.1])

$$L_n(P) = \sum_{j \geq 1} c_j \binom{n-2}{j-1}. \tag{11}$$

From this it follows easily that the numbers  $L_n(P)$  uniquely determine the  $c_j$ 's. The proof now follows from Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

### 3. A GENERALIZED RANK-GENERATING FUNCTION

The *rank-generating function* of a poset  $P$  with rank function  $\rho: P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  (defined by  $\rho(x) =$  length of longest chain of  $P$  with top element  $x$ ) is given [2, p. 99] by

$$F(P, q) = \sum_{x \in P} q^{\rho(x)}.$$

For  $\text{Fib}(r)$  and  $Z(r)$  we have (see [3, Th. 5.3 and Prop. 5.7])

$$F(\text{Fib}(r), q) = F(Z(r), q) = (1 - rq - q^2)^{-1}. \tag{12}$$

Now, given  $P$  as above and  $n \in \mathbb{P}$ , define

$$F_n(P, q) = \sum_{x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n} q^{\rho(x_n)},$$

summed over all  $n$ -element multichains in  $P$ . The main result of this section is the following:

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{P}$ . Then*

$$F_n(\text{Fib}(r), q) = F_n(Z(r), q) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - rq - ((i-1)r + 1)q^2)^{-1}.$$

**PROOF.** It follows from Theorem 2.4 that  $F_n(\text{Fib}(r), q) = F_n(Z(r), q)$ . We prove Theorem 3.1 for  $\text{Fib}(r)$  by induction on  $n$ . The case  $n = 1$  is given by (12). Now assume the result for  $n - 1$ . Write

$$F_j(\text{Fib}(r), q) = \sum_{t \geq 0} f_j(t)q^t. \tag{13}$$

We claim that

$$f_n(t) - f_{n-1}(t) = rf_n(t-1) + ((n-1)r + 1)f_n(t-2), \tag{14}$$

for  $n > 0$ . (When  $n = 0$ , (14) is valid for  $t \geq 3$ .)

Now, using the notation of the previous section, we have

$$f_n(t) = \sum_{\rho(v)=t} M_n(v),$$

summed over all words  $v \in A(r)^*$  of rank  $t$ .

For each  $u \in A(r)^*$  of rank  $t - 1$  there are  $r$  words  $v = 1_ju$  (provided that  $t \geq 1$ ); while for each  $u \in A(r)^*$  of rank  $t - 2$  there is one word  $v = 2u$  of rank  $t$  (provided that  $t \geq 2$ ). Hence

$$f_n(t) = r \sum_{\rho(u)=t-1} M_n(1u) + \sum_{\rho(u)=t-2} M_n(2u).$$

By (1) and (2) there follows

$$f_n(t) = r \sum_{\rho(u)=t-1} \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(u) + \sum_{\rho(u)=t-2} \sum_{i=1}^n ((i-1)r + 1)M_i(u),$$

so (since  $n > 0$ )

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(t) - f_{n-1}(t) &= r \sum_{\rho(u)=t-1} M_n(u) + \sum_{\rho(u)=t-2} ((n-1)r + 1)M_n(u) \\ &= rf_n(t-1) + ((n-1)r + 1)f_n(t-2), \end{aligned}$$

proving (14).

Now multiply (14) by  $x^t$  and sum on  $t \geq 0$ . This results in (writing  $F_j(q)$  for  $F_j(\text{Fib}(r), q)$ )

$$F_n(q) - F_{n-1}(q) = rqF_n(q) + ((n - 1)r + 1)q^2F_n(q),$$

for  $n > 0$ , whence

$$F_n(q) = F_{n-1}(q)/(1 - rq - ((n - 1)r + 1)q^2).$$

The proof follows by induction.  $\square$

Given a graded poset  $P$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$P_{[0,t]} = \{x \in P : 0 \leq \rho(x) \leq t\}. \tag{15}$$

In the terminology of [2, Ch. 3.12],  $P_{[0,t]}$  is a *rank-selected subposet* of  $P$ . Thus, in the notation of (13),  $f_n(t)$  is the number of  $n$ -element multichains in  $\text{Fib}(r)_{[0,t]}$  or  $Z(r)_{[0,t]}$ , so  $f_{n-1}(t)$  (as a function of  $n$ ) is the zeta polynomial of  $\text{Fib}(r)_{[0,t]}$  or  $Z(r)_{[0,t]}$ . By (11),  $f_n(t)$  (or  $f_{n-1}(t)$ ) is a polynomial of degree  $t$  and leading coefficient  $m_t/t!$ , where  $m_t$  is the number of maximal chains in  $\text{Fib}(r)_{[0,t]}$  or  $Z(r)_{[0,t]}$ . By [3, Prop. 3.1], we have

$$\sum_{t \geq 0} m_t x^t / t! = \exp(rt + \frac{1}{2}rt^2).$$

Equivalently,

$$m_t = \sum_{\pi} r^{c(\pi)}, \tag{16}$$

where  $\pi$  ranges over all involutions in the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_t$  and where  $c(\pi)$  denotes the number of cycles of  $\pi$ .

We may ask what more can be said about the polynomials  $f_n(t)$ . By standard properties of rational generating functions [2, Cor. 4.3.1], we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f_n(t)x^n = \frac{W_t(x)}{(1-x)^{t+1}},$$

where for fixed  $t$ ,  $W_t(x)$  is a polynomial in  $x$  (called the  $f_n(t)$ —*Eulerian polynomial*) of degree  $\leq t$  with integer coefficients summing to  $m_t$  (as defined in (16)). Since  $Z(r)$  is a modular lattice (or since  $\text{Fib}(r)$  is semimodular), it follows from known results (see [2, Example 3.13.5 and Exercise 3.67(b)]) that  $W_t(x)$  has non-negative coefficients. Since  $\text{Fib}(1)$  is a distributive lattice, the following combinatorial interpretation of the coefficients of  $W_t(x)$  (when  $r = 1$ ) follows easily from the theory of  $P$ -partitions [2, Ch. 4.5].

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Given a permutation  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_t$ , write  $\pi$  as a product of disjoint cycles where (a) each cycle is written with its smallest element first, and (b) the cycles are written in increasing order of their smallest element. Let  $\tilde{\pi}$  be the permutation (written as a word) in  $\mathfrak{S}_t$  which results from erasing all parentheses from the above cycle notation. (We may have  $\tilde{\pi} = \bar{\sigma}$  even though  $\pi \neq \sigma$ ; contrast this with the standard representation of [2, p. 17].) Then, when  $r = 1$ , we have*

$$W_t(x) = \sum_{\pi} x^{1+d(\tilde{\pi}^{-1})},$$

where  $\pi$  ranges over all involutions in  $\mathfrak{S}_t$ , and where  $d(\tilde{\pi}^{-1})$  denotes the number of descents [2, pp. 21–23] of  $(\tilde{\pi})^{-1}$ .

For instance, when  $t = 4$  we have the following table:

$\pi$	$\tilde{\pi}$	$\tilde{\pi}^{-1}$	$d(\tilde{\pi}^{-1})$
(1)(2)(3)(4)	1234	1234	0
(12)(3)(4)	1234	1234	0
(13)(2)(4)	1324	1324	1
(14)(2)(3)	1423	1342	1
(1)(23)(4)	1234	1234	0
(1)(24)(3)	1243	1243	1
(1)(2)(34)	1234	1234	0
(12)(34)	1234	1234	0
(13)(24)	1324	1324	1
(14)(23)	1423	1342	1

Hence  $W_4(x) = 5x + 5x^2$  when  $r = 1$ . Presumably a similar result holds for any  $r$ , but we will not consider this here.

PROPOSITION 3.3. Fix  $r \in \mathbb{P}$ . Then the polynomials  $W_t(x)$  satisfy the recurrence

$$W_t(x) = rW_{t-1}(x) + ((rt - 1)x - r + 1)W_{t-2}(x) + rx(1 - x)W'_{t-2}(x), \quad t \geq 3, \quad (17)$$

with the initial conditions

$$W_0(x) = 1, \quad W_1(x) = rx, \quad W_2(x) = (r - 1)x^2 + (r^2 + 1)x.$$

PROOF. Multiply (14) by  $x^n$  and sum on  $n \geq 0$ . Since (14) is valid for  $n \geq 0$  when  $t \geq 3$ , we obtain for  $t \geq 3$  that

$$\frac{W_t(x)}{(1-x)^{t+1}} - \frac{xW_t(x)}{(1-x)^{t+1}} = \frac{rW_{t-1}(x)}{(1-x)^t} + rx \frac{d}{dx} \frac{W_{t-2}(x)}{(1-x)^{t-1}} - \frac{(r-1)W_{t-2}(x)}{(1-x)^{t-1}}. \quad (18)$$

When equation (18) is simplified, the recurrence (17) results. It is easy to compute  $W_t(x)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 2$  by a direct argument, so the proof follows.  $\square$

The values of  $W_t(x)$  for  $3 \leq t \leq 7$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} W_3(x) &= r(3r - 2)x^2 + r(r^2 + 2)x, \\ W_4(x) &= (r - 1)(2r - 1)x^3 + (6r^3 - 2r^2 + 3r - 2)x^2 + (r^4 + 3r^2 + 1)x, \\ W_5(x) &= r(11r^2 - 12r + 3)x^3 + r(10r^3 + 12r - 6)x^2 + r(r^4 + 4r^2 + 3)x, \\ W_6(x) &= (r - 1)(2r - 1)(3r - 1)x^4 + (35r^4 - 22r^3 + 13r^2 - 12r + 3)x^3 \\ &\quad + (15r^5 + 5r^4 + 31r^3 - 8r^2 + 6r - 3)x^2 + (r^6 + 5r^4 + 6r^2 + 1)x, \\ W_7(x) &= 2r(5r - 2)(5r^2 - 5r + 1)x^4 + r(85r^4 - 10r^3 + 60r^2 - 60r + 12)x^3 \\ &\quad + r(21r^5 + 14r^4 + 65r^3 + 30r - 12)x^2 + r(r^6 + 6r^4 + 10r^2 + 4)x. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude with a brief discussion of a natural generalization of the polynomials  $W_t(x)$ . Let  $P$  be a graded poset and  $S$  a finite subset of  $\mathbb{P}$ . Generalizing (15), define the rank-selected poset [2, p. 131]

$$P_S = \{z \in P: \rho(z) \in S\}.$$

Let  $\alpha(P, S)$  denote the number of maximal chains of  $P_S$ , and define

$$\beta(P, S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} (-1)^{|S-T|} \alpha(P, T).$$

Equivalently,

$$\alpha(P, S) = \sum_{T \subseteq S} \beta(P, T).$$

For more information concerning the numbers  $\alpha(P, S)$  and  $\beta(P, S)$ , see [2, Sect. 3.12–3.13]. In particular [2, Exer. 3.67], we have for  $P = \text{Fib}(r)$  and  $P = Z(r)$  that

$$W_i(x) = \sum_S \beta(P, S) x^{\#(S-t)}, \quad (19)$$

where  $S$  ranges over all subsets of  $\{1, \dots, t\}$ . Moreover, since  $\text{Fib}(r)$  is semimodular and  $Z(r)$  is modular, we have [2, Exam. 3.13.5] that  $\beta(\text{Fib}(r), S) \geq 0$  and  $\beta(Z(r), S) \geq 0$ . However, it is false in general that  $\beta(\text{Fib}(r), S) = \beta(Z(r), S)$ . For instance,

$$\beta(\text{Fib}(1), \{2, 4\}) = 1, \quad \beta(Z(1), \{2, 4\}) = 2.$$

The techniques of [2, Sect. 3.12] lead to the following result, which together with (19) imply Proposition 3.2 by an easy argument (so that Proposition 3.4 may be regarded as a generalization of Proposition 3.2).

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Let  $S$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{P}$ . Then  $\beta(\text{Fib}(1), S)$  is equal to the number of permutations  $\pi = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$  of  $\mathbb{P}$  satisfying:*

- (a)  $a_i = i$  for all but finitely many  $i$ ;
- (b)  $2i$  and  $2i + 1$  appear to the right of  $2i - 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{P}$ ;
- (c)  $D(\pi) = S$ , where  $D(\pi)$  denotes the descent set of  $\pi$  [2, p. 21].

It would be interesting to find a similar result for  $\text{Fib}(r)$  when  $r \geq 2$  and for  $Z(r)$  when  $r \geq 1$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was partially supported by NSF grant #DMS 8401376.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Stanley, The Fibonacci lattice, *Fibonacci Q.*, **13** (1975), 215–232.
2. R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. I, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Monterey, California, 1986.
3. R. Stanley, Differential posets, *J. Am. Math. Soc.*, **1** (1988), 919–961.

*Received 6 March 1989 and accepted 17 August 1989*

RICHARD P. STANLEY  
*Department of Mathematics,  
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology,  
 Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, U.S.A.*