

**THIRD ASSIGNMENT, DUE SEPTEMBER 25 IN CLASS  
18.155 FALL 2001**

RICHARD MELROSE

Special note: Full marks may be achieved without doing the last three parts of the third problem.

These three problems are all about homogeneous distributions on the line, extending various things I did in class. We observed that

$$x_+^z = \begin{cases} \exp(z \log x) & x > 0 \\ 0 & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  if  $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$  and is differentiable if  $\operatorname{Re} z > 1$  and then satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dx} x_+^z = z x_+^{z-1}.$$

We used this to define

$$(1) \quad x_+^z = \frac{1}{z+k} \frac{1}{z+k-1} \cdots \frac{1}{z+1} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} x_+^{z+k} \text{ if } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus -\mathbb{N}.$$

*Problem 1.* [Hadamard regularization]

i) Show that (1) just means that for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

$$x_+^z(\phi) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(z+k) \cdots (z+1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{d^k \phi}{dx^k}(x) x^{z+k} dx, \operatorname{Re} z > -k, z \notin -\mathbb{N}.$$

ii) Use integration by parts to show that

$$(2) \quad x_+^z(\phi) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left[ \int_\epsilon^\infty \phi(x) x^z dx - \sum_{j=1}^k C_j(\phi) \epsilon^{z+j} \right], \operatorname{Re} z > -k, z \notin -\mathbb{N}$$

for certain constants  $C_j(\phi)$  which you should give explicitly. [This is called Hadamard regularization after Jacques Hadamard, feel free to look at his classic book [1].]

iii) Assuming that  $-k+1 \geq \operatorname{Re} z > -k$ ,  $z \neq -k+1$ , show that there can only be one set of the constants with  $j < k$  (for each choice of  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ) such that the limit in (2) exists.

iv) Use ii), and maybe iii), to show that

$$\frac{d}{dx} x_+^z = z x_+^{z-1} \text{ in } \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \forall z \notin -\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, \dots\}.$$

v) Similarly show that  $x x_+^z = x_+^{z+1}$  for all  $z \notin -\mathbb{N}$ .

vi) Show that  $x_+^z = 0$  in  $x < 0$  for all  $z \notin -\mathbb{N}$ . (Duh.)

*Problem 2.* [Null space of  $x \frac{d}{dx} - z$ ]

- i) Show that if  $u \in \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  then  $\tilde{u}(\phi) = u(\tilde{\phi})$ , where  $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \phi(-x) \forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , defines an element of  $\mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ . What is  $\tilde{u}$  if  $u \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R})$ ? Compute  $\tilde{\delta}_0$ .
- ii) Show that  $\frac{d}{dx}\tilde{u} = -\widetilde{\frac{d}{dx}u}$ .
- iii) Define  $x_-^z = \widetilde{x_+^z}$  for  $z \notin -\mathbb{N}$  and show that  $\frac{d}{dx}x_-^z = -zx_-^{z-1}$  and  $xx_-^z = -x_-^{z+1}$ .
- iv) Suppose that  $u \in \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies the distributional equation  $(x\frac{d}{dx} - z)u = 0$  (meaning of course,  $x\frac{du}{dx} = zu$  where  $z$  is a constant). Show that

$$u|_{x>0} = c_+x_-^z|_{x>0} \text{ and } u|_{x<0} = c_-x_-^z|_{x<0}$$

for some constants  $c_\pm$ . Deduce that  $v = u - c_+x_+^z - c_-x_-^z$  satisfies

$$(3) \quad \left(x\frac{d}{dx} - z\right)v = 0 \text{ and } \text{supp}(v) \subset \{0\}.$$

- v) Show that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(x\frac{d}{dx} + k + 1)\frac{d^k}{dx^k}\delta_0 = 0$ .
- vi) Using the *fact* that any  $v \in \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\text{supp}(v) \subset \{0\}$  is a finite sum of constant multiples of the  $\frac{d^k}{dx^k}\delta_0$ , show that, for  $z \notin -\mathbb{N}$ , the only solution of (3) is  $v = 0$ .
- vii) Conclude that for  $z \notin -\mathbb{N}$

$$(4) \quad \left\{ u \in \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}); \left(x\frac{d}{dx} - z\right)u = 0 \right\}$$

is a two-dimensional vector space.

*Problem 3.* [Negative integral order] To do the same thing for negative integral order we need to work a little differently. Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- i) We define *weak convergence* of distributions by saying  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$ , where  $u_n, u \in \mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(X)$ ,  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  being open, if  $u_n(\phi) \rightarrow u(\phi)$  for each  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X)$ . Show that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  implies that  $\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_j} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $fu_n \rightarrow fu$  if  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ .
- ii) Show that  $(z+k)x_+^z$  is weakly continuous as  $z \rightarrow -k$  in the sense that for any sequence  $z_n \rightarrow -k$ ,  $z_n \notin -\mathbb{N}$ ,  $(z_n+k)x_+^{z_n} \rightarrow v_k$  where

$$v_k = \frac{1}{-1} \cdots \frac{1}{-k+1} \frac{d^{k+1}}{dx^{k+1}}x_+, \quad x_+ = x_+^1.$$

- iii) Compute  $v_k$ , including the constant factor.
- iv) Do the same thing for  $(z+k)x_-^z$  as  $z \rightarrow -k$ .
- v) Show that there is a linear combination  $(k+z)(x_+^z + c(k)x_-^z)$  such that as  $z \rightarrow -k$  the limit is zero.
- vi) If you get this far, show that in fact  $x_+^z + c(k)x_-^z$  also has a weak limit,  $u_k$ , as  $z \rightarrow -k$ . [This may be the hardest part.]
- vii) Show that this limit distribution satisfies  $(x\frac{d}{dx} + k)u_k = 0$ .
- viii) Conclude that (4) does in fact hold for  $z \in -\mathbb{N}$  as well. [There are still some things to prove to get this.]

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Hadamard, *Le problème de Cauchy et les équations aux dérivées partielles linéaires hyperboliques*, Hermann, Paris, 1932.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
*E-mail address:* `rbm@math.mit.edu`