

-1-

Lecture 13. Support

Spectrum of a ring. If R is a ring, its set of prime ideals is called the (prime) spectrum of R and denoted $\text{Spec}(R)$. Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal. Let $V(\mathfrak{a})$ be the subset of primes containing \mathfrak{a} . We call $V(\mathfrak{a})$ the variety of \mathfrak{a} .

Let \mathfrak{b} be another ideal. $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{b} \Rightarrow V(\mathfrak{b}) \subset V(\mathfrak{a})$. Conversely, if $V(\mathfrak{b}) \subset V(\mathfrak{a})$ then $\mathfrak{a} \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$ (Scheinul'stellenatz). ($\sqrt{\mathfrak{b}} = \bigcap \mathfrak{p}$).

Thus $V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(\mathfrak{b}) \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} = \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$. Also we get $V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$.

[Exer. $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ or $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{p}$

Also $\mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{a}_\lambda \forall \lambda \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \supset \sum \mathfrak{a}_\lambda$. So

$$\bigcap V(\mathfrak{a}_\lambda) = V(\sum \mathfrak{a}_\lambda).$$

Also $V(R) = \emptyset$, $V(\langle 0 \rangle) = \text{Spec } R$.

Thus $V(\mathfrak{a})$ can serve as closed sets of a topology on $\text{Spec } R$. This topology is called Zariski topology.

Given $f \in R$, call the open set

$$D(f) := \text{Spec } R \setminus V(\langle f \rangle),$$

principal open set. These sets form a basis of the topology of $\text{Spec } R$.

-2-

Indeed, $\forall \mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{a} \exists f \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, so

$$\mathfrak{p} \in D(f) \subset \text{Spec } R - V(\mathfrak{a})$$

Also, $f, g \notin \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow fg \notin \mathfrak{p} \quad \forall f, g \in R$ and prime \mathfrak{p} , i.e. $D(f) \cap D(g) = D(fg)$.

A ring map $\varphi: R \rightarrow R'$ induces a set map

$$\text{Spec}(\varphi) = \text{Spec}(R') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R) \text{ by}$$

$$\text{Spec}(\varphi)(\mathfrak{p}') = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}')$$

Notice $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}') \supset \mathfrak{a} \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p}' \supset \mathfrak{a}R'$ so

$$\text{Spec}(\varphi)^{-1} V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(\mathfrak{a}R'). \text{ Thus } \text{Spec} \varphi \text{ is}$$

continuous, and Spec is a contravariant functor from ring to top. spaces.

E.g. The quot. map $R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{a}$ gives rise to an embedding

$$\text{Spec}(R/\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow \text{Spec } R, \text{ whose image is}$$

$$V(\mathfrak{a}). \quad \mathfrak{p} \mapsto \mathfrak{p}$$

Also, the localization map

$$R \rightarrow R_f \text{ gives rise to a topological}$$

$$\text{embedding } \text{Spec}(R_f) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$$

whose image is $D(f)$. (bijection between primes of R_f and primes of R not cont f).

-3-

Prop. R a ring, $X = \text{Spec } R$. Then X is quasicompact.
 If $X = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ with U_{λ} open, then
 $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\lambda_i}$ for some $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$.

Pf. Say $U_{\lambda} = X \setminus V(\sigma_{\lambda})$. As $X = \bigcup_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}$,
 $\bigcap_{\lambda} V(\sigma_{\lambda}) = \emptyset = V(\sum \sigma_{\lambda})$. So $\sum \sigma_{\lambda}$ lies in
 no prime. So $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ and $f_i \in \sigma_{\lambda_i}$ s.t.
 $\boxed{1 \in \sum \sigma_{\lambda_i} = R}$

$\sum_i f_{\lambda_i} = 1$. Thus $R = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{\lambda_i}$, and
 $\emptyset = \bigcap V(\sigma_{\lambda_i}) = V(\sum \sigma_{\lambda_i})$, and $X = \bigcup U_{\lambda_i}$.

Def. R a ring M an R -module. Its
Support is the set $\text{Supp } M = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \mid M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0 \}$.

Prop. (1) $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ exact

$\Rightarrow \text{Supp } M = \text{Supp } L \cup \text{Supp } N$

(2) let M_{λ} be submodules with $\sum M_{\lambda} = M$.

Then $\bigcup \text{Supp } M_{\lambda} = \text{Supp } M$.

(3) $\text{Supp } M \subset V(\text{Ann } M)$, and they are equal if M is finitely generated.

Pf. (1) $\forall \mathfrak{p}, 0 \rightarrow L_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

$\Rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ iff $L_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0, N_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ (1).

(2) $M_\lambda \subset M$, so (1) gives $\bigcup \text{Supp } M_\lambda \subset \text{Supp } M$.
Conversely, let $\mathfrak{p} \notin \bigcup \text{Supp } M_\lambda$. Then

$\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Supp } M_\lambda \forall \lambda$, so $\forall \lambda (M_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 \Rightarrow$

$(\bigoplus M_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigoplus (M_\lambda)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. But $\bigoplus M_\lambda \Rightarrow M$,
so $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$.

(3) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M \Rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$. So $\exists m \in M$

$\forall f \in R - \mathfrak{p} \quad fm \neq 0$. Hence $\text{Ann}(M) \subset \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow$
 $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\text{Ann } M)$. Conversely, let $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\text{Ann } M)$,
i.e. $\mathfrak{p} \supset \text{Ann}(M)$. Let m_1, \dots, m_n generators of
 M . $\text{Supp } M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$. Then $\exists s_i \notin \mathfrak{p}, i=1, \dots, n$

$s_i m_i = 0 \Rightarrow \sum s_i \cdot m_j = 0 \forall j$ so $f = \sum s_j$
satisfies $s \in \text{Ann}(M) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ (as $s \notin \mathfrak{p}$).

Thus $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$.

Def. R a ring, $x \in R$. Say x nilpotent on M
if $\exists n$ s.t. $x^n M = 0 \forall m \in M$; i.e.,
 $x \in \sqrt{\text{Ann } M}$. Denote the set of nilpotents
by $\text{nil}(M)$, i.e. $\text{nil}(M) = \sqrt{\text{Ann } M}$.

Prop. R ring, M f.g. module. Then

$\text{nil}(M) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M} \mathfrak{p}$

Pf. First $\text{nil } M = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \supset \text{Ann } M} \mathfrak{p}$ by Schein nulstellen Satz.
But $\mathfrak{p} \supset \text{Ann } M \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M$.

Prop. R a ring, M, N modules. Then

$$\text{Supp}(M \otimes_R N) \subset \text{Supp} M \cap \text{Supp} N,$$

with equality if M, N fin. gen.

Pf. $(M \otimes_R N)_\mathfrak{p} = M_\mathfrak{p} \otimes_{R_\mathfrak{p}} N_\mathfrak{p}$, so the inclusion holds. The opposite incl. follows from lemma

L. A local ring with res. field k , M, N f.g. mod. Then $M \otimes_A N \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow M \neq 0, N \neq 0$.

Pf. Nakayama: $M \otimes_A N \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow M \otimes_A N \otimes_A k \neq 0$
But this is $M \otimes_A k \otimes_A N \otimes_A k$. And this is $\neq 0 \Leftrightarrow M \otimes_A k \neq 0, N \otimes_A k \neq 0$, which is equiv. $M \neq 0, N \neq 0$ for f.g. M, N .

Prop. R a ring, M a module. TFAE:

- (1) $M = 0$
- (2) $\text{Supp} M = \emptyset$
- (3) $M_m = 0$ for each max. ideal $m \in R$.

Pf. (1) $\Rightarrow S^{-1}M = 0 \forall S \Rightarrow$ (2) \Rightarrow (3)

Also if $M \neq 0$, then can take a max id

$m \supset \text{Ann} M$ and $M_m \neq 0$, so (3) \Rightarrow (1).
($\exists f \notin m$ s.t. $fM \neq 0$) so $M_m \neq 0$.)

Also (2) \Rightarrow (3) since any ~~prime~~ max. ideal is prime.

Prop. A sequence $L \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} N$ is exact

\Leftrightarrow localization $L_m \rightarrow M_m \rightarrow N_m$ is exact for each max. ideal m .

"If" follows from the fact that localization is exact. Conversely: ~~Assume~~ We have $\text{Im}(\beta_m \alpha_m) = 0$, so $(\text{Im}(\beta \alpha))_m = 0$

So $\text{Im}(\beta \alpha) = 0$ By the above, so $\beta \alpha = 0$ i.e. $\text{Im} \alpha \subset \text{Ker} \beta$. Let $H = \text{Ker} \beta / \text{Im} \alpha$.

Then $H_m = \text{Ker} \beta_m / \text{Im} \alpha_m$ by exactness of localization. So $H_m = 0$ Hence $H = 0$ by the above.

Prop. A a semilocal ring, m_1, \dots, m_n max. ideals, M, N fin. presented modules. Supp. $M_{m_i} \cong N_{m_i} \quad \forall i$, then $M \cong N$.

Pf. $\forall i$ let $\psi_i : M_{m_i} \cong N_{m_i}$. Then $\exists s_i \in A \setminus m_i$ and $\varphi_i : M \rightarrow N$ s.t. $(\varphi_i)_{m_i} = s_i \psi_i$ ($\frac{\varphi_i}{s_i} = \psi_i$) (localization gives isom. at the level of morphisms). But

so $\exists x_i \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} m_j$ with $x_i \notin m_i$. Let

$$\gamma = \sum x_i \psi_i.$$

$\forall j$ define $\alpha_j = \sum_{i \neq j} x_i \psi_i$. Then $\alpha_j : M_{m_j} \xrightarrow{\sim} N_{m_j}$

as x_i and s_j are units of R_{m_j} .

Let $\beta_j = \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i$. Then $\beta_j (M_{m_j}) \subset m_j N_{m_j}$, as $x_i \in m_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Now $\gamma = \alpha_j + \beta_j$, so γ_{m_j} is an isom.

($\alpha_j + \beta_j$ is a "small perturbation" of α_j).

Hence ϕ is an isom.

Prop. R a ring, M an R -module. Then M is flat/ R iff \forall maximal $m \subset R$, M_m is flat over R_m .

Pf. M flat/ $R \Rightarrow M_m \otimes_R R_m$ is flat over R_m . (by cancellation). Conversely, assume M_m is flat over $R_m \forall m$. Let $\alpha: N \rightarrow N'$ be an injection of R -modules. Then α_m is inj since $\otimes_R R_m$ is exact. So $M_m \otimes_R \alpha_m$ is injective.

So $(M \otimes \alpha)_m$ is injective. Thus by the above $M \otimes \alpha$ is injective, so M is flat.

Def. R a ring, M a module. Say that M is locally finitely generated if $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ has a nbhd on which M becomes fin. gen.; i.e. $\exists f \in R - \mathfrak{p}$ s.t. M_f is f.g. over R_f .

It suffices that f exist for each max. ideal m since each \mathfrak{p} lies in some m .

Similarly, we can define the property of being locally finitely presented, locally free of finite rank, locally free of rank n .

Prop. Let M be A_n module.

(1) If M is locally f.g. \Rightarrow it is f.g.

(2) If M is locally f-pres \Rightarrow it is f-pres.

Pf. $\exists f_1, \dots, f_n \in R$ s.t. $UD(f_i) = \text{Spec } R$

and $m_{ij} \in M$ s.t. $\frac{m_{ij}}{f_i^{n_{ij}}}$ generate M_{f_i}
(fin. many)

Then $\frac{m_{ij}}{1}$ also gen. $M_{f_i} \forall i$

Given any max. ideal m ,

$\exists i$ s.t. $f_i \notin m$. Let S_i be the image of $R \setminus m$ in R_{f_i} . Then $M_m = S_i^{-1} M_{f_i}$.

So $\frac{m_{ij}}{1}$ generate M_m .

Lemma. Elements $m_i \in M$ generate M iff they generate after localiz. by all max ideals.

Pf. Clearly, generate \Rightarrow generate after localiz. Also if m_i generate after localiz. then $R_m^\wedge \rightarrow M_m$ is exact $\forall m$, so $R^\wedge \rightarrow M$ is exact.

By lemma, this means that m_{ij} generate M , which gives (1).

To prove (2), assume M loc. fin. pres.
 Then M is f.g. by (1). So have a
 surjection $R^k \rightarrow M$. Let K be kernel.
 Then K is loc. f.g. So K is f.g.,
 as desired. (get (2)).

Thm. The foll cond on R are equiv:

- (1) P f.g. proj.
- (2) P f. pres. and flat
- (3) P f. pres, P_m free over R_m at each
max. ideal m .
- (4) P loc. free of fin. rank.
- (5) P f.g., $\forall p \in \text{Spec } R \exists f, n$
s.t. $p \in D(f)$ and P_f is free of rank n
 N for each $g \in D(f)$.

Pf. (1) \Rightarrow (2) proved before.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) let m max. ideal. R_m local,
 P_m fin. pres., and flat, so by a result
 from before, P_m is free.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Fix a surjection $\alpha: M \rightarrow N$.

Then $\alpha_m: M_m \rightarrow N_m$ is surjective. So $\text{Hom}(P_m, \alpha_m) =$
 $\text{Hom}(P_m, M_m) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_m, N_m)$ is surjective.

But $\text{Hom}(P_m, \alpha_m) = \text{Hom}(P, \alpha)_m$, as P is

finitely presented. Thus

$\text{Hom}(P, \alpha)_m$ is surjective for all m , so $\text{Hom}(P, \alpha)$ is surjective. So P is proj. $(\text{Hom}(P, ?)) \{ \text{exact} \}$. This implies (1).

~~At~~ $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ Given a prime \mathfrak{p} , let $m \supset \mathfrak{p}$ be a max. ideal. ~~The~~ P_m is free of finite rank since f -gen. Then $\exists f \in R \setminus m$ s.t. P_f is free of f -rank over $R_f \Rightarrow (4)$.

$(4) \Rightarrow (5), (3)$ P loc. fin. pres. So P is f -pres.

Also $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, $\exists f \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ s.t. P_f is free of fin. rk over R_f . Given $g \in \mathcal{D}(f)$, let S be the image of $R \setminus g$ in R_f .

Then $P_g = S^{-1}P_f$. So P_g is free of rank n over $R_g \Rightarrow (5)$. Also taking $p = q = m$, get $(3), (5) \Rightarrow (4)$.

Given $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$, let f, n be given by (5). Let $\frac{P_1}{f^{k_1}}, \dots, \frac{P_n}{f^{k_n}}$ be the free basis of $P_{\mathfrak{p}}$ over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then

ρ : define a map $R^n \rightarrow P$, and α_{ρ} is bijective, in partic, surjective.

As P is f -gen., $\exists g$ s.t. $R_g^n \rightarrow P_g$ is surjective (as we showed before).

So $\alpha_g: R_g^n \rightarrow P_g$ is surj. $\forall g \in D(g)$.
If also $g \in D(f)$, then $R_g^n \cong P_g$, so
 α_g is bij. (any surj. map of free modules
is bijective).

Let $h = fg$. Then $D(f) \cap D(g) = D(h)$.
Now $D(h) = \text{Spec}(R_h)$. So $\alpha_h: R_h^n \rightarrow P_h$ is
bijective \Rightarrow (4).