

lectures 4,5

\mathcal{O} -coherent \mathcal{D} -modules. Let $\mathcal{O} = k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subset \mathcal{D}$.
Let M be a f.g. \mathcal{D} -module. Then $SS(M) \subseteq \{\xi_1 = \dots = \xi_n = 0\}$
 $\Leftrightarrow M$ is f.g. over \mathcal{O} . This is equivalent to
saying that on $gr M$, ξ_i act nilpotently. Such
 \mathcal{D} -modules will be called \mathcal{O} -coherent.

Theorem 1 If M is \mathcal{O} -coherent then M is
locally free over \mathcal{O} ($\Leftrightarrow M$ is a module of
sections of a vector bundle over A^n).

Proof. Let $x \in A^n$ and \mathcal{O}_x be the local ring of x .
Let $\mathfrak{m}_x \subset \mathcal{O}_x$ be the max. ideal. Let M_x be
the localization of M , $M_x = \mathcal{O}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M$. Since M is
 \mathcal{O} -coherent, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_x / (\mathfrak{m}_x \cdot M_x) < \infty$. Let $\bar{s}_1, \dots, \bar{s}_r$ be
a basis of this space. Lift this basis to
elements $s_1, \dots, s_r \in M$. By Nakayama's lemma,
 s_1, \dots, s_r generate M_x . We have to show
that these elements are linearly independen-
dent over \mathcal{O}_x . So assume that $\sum_i \varphi_i s_i = 0$,
 $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{O}_x$, and assume that not all $\varphi_i = 0$.

We say that $ord_x \varphi = n$ if $\varphi \in \mathfrak{m}_x^n$, but
 $\varphi \notin \mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1}$. Let $v = \min ord \varphi_i$. WLOG can
assume that v is minimal, possible. clearly $v \neq 0$,
then \exists a vector
field η (defined locally around x)
such that $\eta(\varphi_i) \neq 0$ and $ord_x \eta(\varphi_i) < v$.

let's apply such an η to the expression

$$\sum_i \varphi_i s_i = 0. \text{ We get}$$

$$0 = \sum_i \eta(\varphi_i) s_i + \sum_i \varphi_i \eta(s_i).$$

Since s_i generate M_x , we have

$$\eta(s_i) = \sum_j a_{ij} s_j, \quad a_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_x.$$

So

$$0 = \sum_i (\eta(\varphi_i) + \sum_j \varphi_j a_{ij}) s_i.$$

The coeff. of s_i is $\eta(\varphi_i) + \sum_j \varphi_j a_{ij}$

so this coefficient is nonzero and has order $< \nu$ at x . This is a contradiction since we assumed that ν is minimal possible. Thus $\varphi_i = 0$ for all i .

Cor M is \mathcal{O} -coherent $\Leftrightarrow SS(M) = \Gamma^{\downarrow}(x, 0) / x \in A^n$, the 0-section of T^*A^n .

Pf. Let M be \mathcal{O} -coherent. Take a filtration on M defined by $F_i M = M$ (for the order filtration on \mathcal{D}). Then F is good, as $gr M = M$ as an \mathcal{O} -module. So $SS(M) = \{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathbb{A}^n\}$. Conversely, if $SS(M) = \{(x, 0) \mid x \in \mathbb{A}^n\}$, then $gr M$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, so M is also finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. \square

Ex. If $M = \delta_a$ then $SS(M) = \{x = a\}$, so M is not \mathcal{O} -coherent. (note that $SS_a(M) = \{x = 0\}$.)

Flat connections. One can think of \mathcal{D} -modules as \mathcal{O} -modules with an additional structure. This additional structure turns out to be a flat connection. Namely, any \mathcal{D} -module M comes with a map $\nabla: M \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M$, where Ω^1 is the module of 1-forms, defined as follows: If v is a vector field then $\nabla_v = (\nabla, v \otimes 1): M \rightarrow M$ is $\nabla_v(m) = v(m)$. This map satisfies the following properties: $\nabla(fm) = df \otimes m + f \nabla(m)$, $\forall f \in \mathcal{O}, m \in M$. Such a map ∇ is called a connection on an \mathcal{O} -module.

Given a connection ∇ , we can define a map $\nabla^2: M \rightarrow \Omega^2(A^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} M$ in the following way:

$$\nabla^2(m) = (1 \otimes \nabla)(\nabla(m)) - (d \otimes 1)\nabla(m),$$

where d is the De Rham differential.

The map ∇^2 is called the curvature of ∇ .

Checking that ∇^2 is well defined:

Suppose we replace $\nabla(m)$ with $\nabla(m) + \alpha \otimes f m' - f \alpha \otimes m'$. Then $(1 \otimes \nabla)(\nabla(m))$ will change by $\alpha \otimes \nabla(f m') - f \alpha \otimes \nabla(m')$, and $(d \otimes 1)\nabla(m)$ will change by $d\alpha \otimes f m' - d(f\alpha) \otimes m' = -df \wedge \alpha \otimes m' = \alpha \wedge df \otimes m'$ (note that $(1 \otimes \nabla)(\nabla(m))$ and $(d \otimes 1)\nabla(m)$ are defined only on $\Omega^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_M} M$).

Checking that ∇^2 is linear:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2(gm) &= (1 \otimes \nabla)(\nabla(gm)) - (d \otimes 1)(\nabla(gm)) \\ &= (1 \otimes \nabla)((\nabla g)\nabla(m) + dg \otimes m) - (d \otimes 1)((\nabla g)\nabla(m) + dg \otimes m) \\ &= g(1 \otimes \nabla)(\nabla(m)) + dg \otimes \nabla(m) - dg \otimes \nabla(m) - g(d \otimes 1)(\nabla(m)). \end{aligned}$$

Def. A connection ∇ is called flat if $\nabla^2 = 0$.

Prop 2 A \mathcal{D} -module on A^n is the same thing as an \mathcal{O} -module with a flat connection.

Pf. Given an \mathcal{O} -module M with a connection ∇ . We can set $\partial_i = \nabla_{\partial_i} : M \rightarrow M$, and $[\partial_i, \partial_j] = 0 \iff \nabla$ is flat. Also ∇_{∂_i} define the connection uniquely.

Rem. Proof of thm. that an \mathcal{O} -coherent \mathcal{D} -module is locally free is based on the fact that we have a connection so "dimensions of fibers should be the same (we can carry vectors from one fiber to another). Think why this kind of intuition fails for "infinite-dimensional" case (M/\mathcal{O} inf. generated).

On \mathbb{A}^n , any vector bundle is trivial (quitted), so an \mathcal{O} -coherent \mathcal{D} -module on \mathbb{A}^n has the form $\mathcal{O} \otimes V$, and a connection has the form $\nabla = d + \omega$, where $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{A}^n) \otimes \text{End } V$.

Exercise. ∇ is flat $\iff d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] = 0$.
(the Maurer-Cartan equation)

Poisson structures. Recall that if R is a commutative algebra then a Poisson bracket on R is a Lie bracket satisfying the following condition:

$$(*) \quad \{f, f_2, g\} = f_1 \{f_2, g\} + \{f_1, g\} f_2. \quad \forall f_1, f_2, g \in R.$$

Let X be a smooth affine alg. variety / k .
Any skewsymmetric bilinear map $\tau, \beta : \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow k$

satisfying (*) -6-
 gives rise to a bivector field
 $\eta \in \Lambda^2 T_x^*$. Any such η defines a
 skewsymmetric map $\eta: T_x^* \rightarrow T_x$.

If η is an isomorphism, then $\eta^{-1}: T_x \rightarrow T_x^*$
 corresponds to a 2-form $\omega \in \Lambda^2 T_x^*$.

It's well known that $\{, \}$ satisfies
 the Jacobi identity if and only if
 ω is closed: $d\omega = 0$. In this case
 ω is called a symplectic form. I.e.

Def. A closed nondegenerate 2-form ω on X
 is called a symplectic form.

Ex. Suppose Y is a smooth variety.
 Then T^*Y carries a canonical 1-form

$$\alpha: \forall v \in T_{(x, \xi)} T^*Y, \alpha(v) = \langle \xi, \bar{v} \rangle,$$

where \bar{v} is the projection of v to TY
 under $d\pi: TT^*Y \rightarrow TY$, where $\pi: T^*Y \rightarrow Y$.

If x_i are local coordinates on Y
 and $\xi_i = d^*x_i$ the corresponding elements of T^*Y ,
 then x_i, ξ_i are local coordinates
 on T^*Y , and $\eta = \sum \xi_i dx_i$. So

$\omega = d\eta = \sum d\xi_i \wedge dx_i$, hence ω is a canonical
 symplectic form on $X = T^*Y$. The Poisson bracket
 attached to this form in local coordinates

looks like

$$\{F, G\} = \sum \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \xi_i} \right)$$

So $\{\xi_i, x_j\} = \delta_{ij}$, and other brackets of ξ_i and x_i are zero.

Assume now that A is a filtered algebra, and there exists $l > 0$ such that $[F_i A, F_j A] \subseteq F_{i+j-l} A$. Then $gr A$ is commutative and endowed with a canonical Poisson bracket of degree $-l$. Namely, for $\bar{x} \in gr_i A$ and $\bar{y} \in gr_j A$, let $x \in F_i A$ and $y \in F_j A$ be any lifts, and set $\{\bar{x}, \bar{y}\}$ to be the image of $[x, y]$ in $gr_{i+j-l} A$. It's easy to show that this is well defined and is a Poisson bracket.

Ex. If $A = \mathcal{D}$ with Bernstein filtration, $l=1$, or $A = \mathcal{D}$ with order filtration, $l=1$, then the bracket we get is the standard bracket on A^{2n} discussed above...

Let X be a Poisson affine algebraic variety (i.e. \mathcal{O}_X is a Poisson algebra) and $Z \subset X$ a closed subvariety.

Def. Z is called coisotropic if the defining ideal $I(Z)$ of Z is a Poisson subalgebra of \mathcal{O}_X , i.e. $\{I(Z), I(Z)\} \subset I(Z)$.

Suppose X is ~~symplectic~~ ^{smooth}. We have $T_2 Z \subset T_x X$ and $T_2 Z^\perp \subset T_x^* X$ (say, for smooth points $z \in Z$).

Lemma 4. Z is coisotropic $\Leftrightarrow \forall z \in Z$ (a smooth point), the Poisson bivector $\eta \in \Lambda^2 T_x$ vanishes as a bilinear form on $T_2 Z^\perp$, i.e. $\eta(T_2 Z^\perp) \subset T_2 Z$.

Proof. Exercise.

Corollary 5. If X is symplectic and $Z \subset X$ coisotropic then \forall irreducible component of Z has dimension $\geq \frac{1}{2} \dim X$.

A central role in the theory of D-modules is played by the following theorem, due to Gabber.

Let A be a filtered algebra, and $[F_i A, F_j A] \subset F_{i+j-1} A$. Suppose $gr A$ is finitely generated commutative.

Then it's easy to see that if M is an A -module with a good filtration and $I = \text{Ann}(\text{gr} M)$ then $\{I, I\} \subset I$ (for $l=1$). However, I depends on the filtration, so it is more interesting to look at $J = J(M) = \text{gr} I$, which does not depend on the filtration.

Theorem 5 (Gabber) $\{J, J\} \subset J$.

This theorem is nontrivial, and a proof for $l=1$ is given in the appendix (proof for $l>1$ is similar).

Corollary 6. Let M be a f.g. \mathcal{D} -module on A^n . Then $SS(M)$ and $SS_a(M)$ are coisotropic, so every irreducible component of these varieties has dimension $\geq n$.

(this is a geometric version of the Bernstein inequality, and it implies the Bernstein inequality).

More generally, if Y is a smooth affine variety, then similarly to the case $Y = A^n$, we can define, for any f.g. \mathcal{D} -module M over $\mathcal{D}(Y)$, a subvariety $SS(M) \subset \mathcal{O}(T^*Y) = \text{gr} \mathcal{D}(Y)$, and $SS(M)$ is coisotropic. We'll see it later.

Gabber's theorem. Let \bar{A} be a commutative finitely generated k -algebra (in applications ~~usually~~ often $\bar{A} = k[y_1, \dots, y_n]$). Let \bar{M} be a f.g. \bar{A} -module. Let A, M be flat deformations of \bar{A}, \bar{M} over $k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$. For $\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2 \in \bar{A}$, let $\{\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2\} \in \bar{A}$ be defined by the condition that $[a_1, a_2] = \epsilon \{\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2\}$ for any lifts a_1, a_2 of \bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2 . Let $I = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_{\bar{A}} \bar{M}}$.

Theorem 1 (Gabber). One has $\{\bar{I}, \bar{I}\} \subseteq \bar{I}$.

Proof. Let Z be an irreducible component of the zero set $Z(I) \subset \text{Spec } \bar{A}$, and $\mathfrak{p} \subset \bar{A}$ be the corresponding prime ideal. The ideal I is the intersection of finitely many such primes corresponding to components of $Z(I)$, so it suffices to check that $\{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}\} \subset \mathfrak{p}$.

Now, $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is a biderivation of \bar{A} , so $\{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}\} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ is a local condition, and can be checked after replacing \bar{A} by $A_f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A[f^{-1}]$, where $f \in A$ is such that $\bar{f} \in \bar{A}/\mathfrak{p}$ (image of f) is a nonzero divisor, ~~not contained in \mathfrak{p}~~ (i.e. $\bar{f}|_Z \neq 0$).

Pick $x_1, \dots, x_e \in A$ such that \bar{x}_i define a maximal set of algebraically independent elements in \bar{A}/\mathfrak{p} . Let $R \subset \bar{A}$ be $R = k[\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_e]$. We'll pick $\bar{f} \in R$ in such a way that $\bar{f} = \bar{x}_i \cdot \bar{f}'$ and \bar{f}' vanishes on all components of $Z(I)$ except Z .

1) $\mathfrak{p}^s \bar{M}_f = 0$ for some $s \geq 0$ (where M_f is the localization of M with respect to f). This ~~means~~ ^{is true} ~~that~~ all components of $Z(I)$ other than Z are contained in $\bar{f} = 0$ (but $\bar{f}/Z \neq 0$).

2) $B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{A}_f / \mathfrak{p}_f$ is a free R_f -module (here subscript f means localization w.r.t. f).

3) $\mathfrak{p}^i \bar{M}_f / \mathfrak{p}^{i+1} \bar{M}_f$ is a free B -module (hence a free R_f -module) for all i .

It's easy to see that such f exists.

Now choose an R_f -basis $\bar{m}_1, \dots, \bar{m}_{N_1}$ of $\bar{M}_f / \mathfrak{p} \bar{M}_f$, $\bar{m}_{N_1+1}, \dots, \bar{m}_{N_2}$ of $\mathfrak{p} \bar{M}_f / \mathfrak{p}^2 \bar{M}_f$, and so on. We have $\mathfrak{p}_f^i \bar{m}_i \subseteq \sum_{j \geq i} R_f \bar{m}_j$ (here $j \geq i$ means that $\bar{m}_j \in \mathfrak{p}^{j-i} \bar{M}_f / \mathfrak{p}^{j-i+1} \bar{M}_f$, $\bar{m}_i \in \mathfrak{p}^i \bar{M}_f / \mathfrak{p}^{i+1} \bar{M}_f$ and $j > i$).

Let m_i be lifts of \bar{m}_i to M_f .

Lemma. Let $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathfrak{p}_f$. Then there exist $e_{ij} \in R_f$ such that $\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\} m_i = \sum_j e_{ij} m_j$ and $\sum_i e_{ii} = 0$.

Let us show how the lemma implies the theorem, and then prove the lemma.

Lemma \Rightarrow Theorem. The lemma implies that $\text{tz}_{R_f}(\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\}, \bar{M}_f) = 0$. In this identity, we can replace \bar{a} by $x\bar{a}$ for any $x \in \bar{A}_f$ (as $x\bar{a} \in \mathfrak{p}_f$).

$$0 = \text{tr}_{R_f}(\{x\bar{a}, \bar{b}\}, \bar{M}_f) = \text{tr}_{R_f}(x\{a, b\} + \bar{a}\{x, b\}, \bar{M}_f).$$

Now, since $\bar{a} \in \mathfrak{p}$, multiplication by $\bar{a}\{x, b\}$ strictly preserves the filtration on \bar{M}_f (by $\mathfrak{p}^i \bar{M}_f$), so the trace of the second term is zero, and we get that $\text{tr}_{R_f}(x\{a, b\}, \bar{M}_f) = 0$.

~~But \bar{M}_f is not a free B -module~~

Now, $x\{a, b\}$ preserves the filtration, so we get $0 = \text{tr}_{R_f}(x\{a, b\}, \text{gr } \bar{M}_f) = \sum_i \text{tr}_{R_f}(x\{a, b\}, \mathfrak{p}^i \bar{M}_f / \mathfrak{p}^{i+1} \bar{M}_f)$.

But $\mathfrak{p}^i \bar{M}_f / \mathfrak{p}^{i+1} \bar{M}_f$ are free B -modules of some rank r_i . So we get

$$0 = \left(\sum_{i \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}} r_i \right) \text{tr}_{R_f}(x\{a, b\}, B) \quad \forall x \in B.$$

Thus, as $\text{char } k = 0$, we get $\text{tr}_{R_f}(x\{a, b\}, B) = 0$.

But generically over $\text{Spec } R_f$, B is a semi-simple algebra, so if $\text{tr}_{R_f}(x\{a, b\}, B) = 0 \quad \forall x \in B$ then $\{a, b\} = 0$ in B as desired. (Frobenius property of B).
 ($\Rightarrow \{a, b\} \in \mathfrak{p}$ as element of \bar{A}_f). we used

Proof of the Lemma.

- let $A' \subseteq A_f$ be the set of z such that $\bar{z} \in R_f$.
- let $a, b \in A_f$ be any lifts of \bar{a}, \bar{b} .
- let \hat{m}_i be any lifts of m_i to M_f .

We have (since $\frac{-4-}{\bar{a}} \in \mathcal{O}$):

$$a\hat{m}_i = \sum_{j \succ i} u_{ij}^0 \hat{m}_j + \varepsilon \sum_j u_{ij}^1 \hat{m}_j,$$

$u_{ij}^0 \in A', u_{ij}^1 \in R_f$, Similarly

$$b\hat{m}_i = \sum_{j \succ i} v_{ij}^0 \hat{m}_j + \varepsilon \sum_j v_{ij}^1 \hat{m}_j$$

$$v_{ij}^0 \in A', v_{ij}^1 \in R_f.$$

(indeed, we have $\bar{a}m_i = \sum_{j \succ i} \bar{u}_{ij}^0 m_j$, $\bar{b}m_i = \sum_{j \succ i} \bar{v}_{ij}^0 m_j$

and we can pick u_{ij}^0, v_{ij}^0 to be any lifts of $\bar{u}_{ij}^0, \bar{v}_{ij}^0$; then $a\hat{m}_i - \sum_{j \succ i} u_{ij}^0 \hat{m}_j$ is a multiple of ε , so can be written as $\varepsilon \sum_j u_{ij}^1 \hat{m}_j$ ($u_{ij}^1 \in R_f$), and similarly for $b\hat{m}_i$).

Thus, denoting by U^0, U^1, V^0, V^1 the matrices formed by $u_{ij}^0, u_{ij}^1, v_{ij}^0, v_{ij}^1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} ab\hat{m}_i &= \sum_{j \succ i} (v_{ij}^0 a\hat{m}_j + \varepsilon \{\bar{a}, v_{ij}^0\} \hat{m}_j) + \varepsilon \sum_j v_{ij}^1 a\hat{m}_j \\ &= \sum_{j \succ i} ((V^0 U^0)_{ij} \hat{m}_j + \varepsilon \{\bar{a}, v_{ij}^0\} \hat{m}_j) + \\ &+ \varepsilon \sum_j (V^0 U^1 + V^1 U^0)_{ij} \hat{m}_j. \end{aligned}$$

There is a similar formula for $ba\hat{m}_i$.

-5-

Subtracting them, we get

$$[a, b] \hat{m}_i = \sum_{j \succ i} c_{ij}^0 \hat{m}_j + \varepsilon \sum_j c_{ij}^1 \hat{m}_j,$$

where $(c_{ij}^0) = C^0$, $(c_{ij}^1) = C^1$ and

$$C^0 = [V^0, U^0] + \varepsilon (\{\bar{a}, V^0\} - \{\bar{b}, U^0\}),$$

and

$$C^1 = [V^0, U^1] + [V^1, U^0].$$

Now, since $[\bar{a}, \bar{b}] = 0$, we have $[V^0, U^0] = 0$ modulo ε . So $C_{ij}^0 \in \varepsilon A$. So

$$\sum_{j \succ i} c_{ij}^0 \hat{m}_j = \varepsilon \sum_{j \succ i} d_{ij} \hat{m}_j, \text{ where } d_{ij} \in R_f \text{ (we rewrite the } A\text{-action via } R_f\text{)}.$$

Now we can set $e_{ij} = d_{ij} + c_{ij}^1$. Then $\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\} m_i = \sum_j e_{ij} m_j$.

As $d_{ii} = 0$, we have $\sum e_{ii} = \sum c_{ii}^1 = \text{Tr } C^1$, and $\text{Tr } C^1 = 0$ as C^1 is a sum of two commutators. The lemma is proved.

Corollary 2 let A be a filtered algebra such that $\text{gr } A$ is commutative and finitely generated, and let M be an ~~filtered~~ A -module with a good filtration. Let $I = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_{\bar{A}} M}$, and let $\{, \}$ be the Poisson bracket on \bar{A} induced by A . Then $\{I, I\} \subseteq I$.

Proof. Let $\text{Rees}(A) = \bigoplus_i F_i A \cdot \varepsilon^i$, $\text{Rees } M = \bigoplus_i F_i M \cdot \varepsilon^i$ and $\tilde{A} = \text{Rees}(A) / \varepsilon^2 \text{Rees}(A)$, $\tilde{M} = \text{Rees } M / \varepsilon^2 \text{Rees } M$. Apply this to

Generalization: \bar{A} commutative
 finitely generated algebra, \bar{M} f.g. \bar{A} -module,
 A, M flat deformations of \bar{A}, \bar{M} over
 $k[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^{\ell+1}$ ~~such that~~ ^{s.t.} A is trivialised modulo
 ε^ℓ . For $\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2 \in \bar{A}$ let $\{\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2\} \in \bar{A}$ be defined
 by $[a_1, a_2] = \varepsilon^\ell \{\bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2\}$ for any lifts
 a_1, a_2 of \bar{a}_1, \bar{a}_2 . Let $I = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_A \bar{M}}$.

Thm. 3 One has $\{I, I\} \subseteq I$.

The proof is the same.

Corollary 4 Let A be a filtered algebra
 with $F_i A \cdot F_j A \subseteq F_{i+j-\ell} A$, s.t. $\bar{A} = \text{gr} A$ is
 commutative f.g., and let M be an
 A -module with a good filtration.

Let $I = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_{\bar{A}} \bar{M}}$, and $\{, \}$ be the Poisson
 bracket on \bar{A} of degree $-\ell$ induced by A .
 Then $\{I, I\} \subseteq I$.

Pf. Let $\tilde{A} = \text{Rees}(A)/\varepsilon^{\ell+1} \text{Rees}(A)$
 $\tilde{M} = \text{Rees}(M)/\varepsilon^{\ell+1} \text{Rees}(M)$.

Apply Thm 3 to \tilde{A}, \tilde{M} .