

~1-
Lecture 3.

let us study some further properties of the algebra D .

Lemma 1. D is left & right noetherian.

Pf. Let M be a f.g. D -module, and $N \subset M$. Let F be a good filtration on M . Then $\text{gr} M$ is a f.g. module over polynomials, and $\text{gr} N \subset \text{gr} M$. Hence by the Hilbert basis thm, $\text{gr} N$ is f.g., hence so is N . \square

Let us explain that D -modules are algebraic objects which corresponds to systems of linear PDEs.

Suppose we have a system of linear PDEs:

$$(1) \sum_j L_{ij} f_j = 0, \quad i=1, \dots, m, \quad j=1, \dots, r$$

where L_{ij} are linear differential operators. Let \mathcal{Y} be the space of solutions of (1) in some class of functions \mathcal{S} , e.g. $\mathcal{S} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $\mathcal{S} = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (distributions). Then

$\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{S}}$ can be described in terms of the theory of D -modules. Namely

let $M = \mathcal{D}^r / \sum_j L_{ij} f_j = 0$ $\mathcal{D}^r = \mathcal{D}f_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{D}f_r$

Then solutions γ_s of (1) in \mathcal{S} are

$$\gamma_s = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(M, \mathcal{S}).$$

So in a sense a system of linear PDE in f_1, \dots, f_r is a \mathcal{D} -module with a system of generators. Note that the Noetherian property implies that it's always enough to consider finitely many equations.

It is especially interesting to look at the case when M is generated by one element (cyclic \mathcal{D} -module).

Then $M = \mathcal{D}/I$, where I is a left ideal.

~~We have $I \cong \mathcal{D}/I$~~

It turns out that there are in some sense a lot of cyclic modules.

Proposition 2. Any holonomic \mathcal{D} -module M is cyclic.

The proof is based on the following:

Lemma 3. \mathcal{D} is a simple algebra, i.e. it has no proper 2-sided ideals.

Pf. Let $I \subsetneq \mathcal{D}$ be a 2-sided ideal, and $a \neq 0 \in I$. Then $a \in F_i \mathcal{D}$ for some i . Then $[a, x_m]$ or $[a, \partial_m]$ is nonzero and in $F_{i-1} \mathcal{D}$. So $\exists a \neq 0 \in I$, $a \in F_0 \mathcal{D} = k$.

Thus $I = \mathcal{D}$. \square

Proposition 4. Let A be a simple algebra which has infinite length as a left A -module. Then any A -module of finite length is cyclic.

Proof. By induction on the length of M it's enough to show that if we have an exact sequence of A -modules

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} N \rightarrow 0$$

where $K \neq 0$ is simple and N is cyclic of finite length, then M is also cyclic.

Let $n \in N$ be a generator, $I = \text{Ann}_A(n)$ the annihilator of n in A . Assume that there is no $m \in \pi^{-1}(n)$ generating M . We claim that then I annihilates any element of K .

Indeed, let $m \in \pi^{-1}(n)$, and let $M' = \mathbb{A} \cdot m$ ($M' \neq M$). Then $\pi: M' \rightarrow N$ is an isomorphism, since $M' \cap K = 0$ (if $M' \cap K \neq 0$ then $K \subset M'$ since K is simple, and $M = M'$ because of the exactness of the sequence). Thus $\text{Ann}_A(m) = I$. So $\forall k \in K$ $\text{Ann}(m+k) = I$, so $I \cdot k = 0$ for any $k \in K$. Thus $I \subset I' = \bigcap_{k \in K} \text{Ann}(k)$. I' is a 2-sided ideal, so $I' = 0$, hence $I = 0$. Thus, $N = A$, but it has infinite length.

Corollary 5. Any D -module of finite length is cyclic.

This in particular implies Prop. 2.

The singular support of a D -module

If M is a D -module on A^n with a good filtration (under Bernstein filtration on D), then $\text{gr} M$ is a f.g. module over $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$, so it has support $\text{supp}(\text{gr} M)$, a closed subvariety of A^{2n} . It is clear from commutative algebra that $\dim \text{supp}(\text{gr} M) = d(M)$.

Also $\text{supp}(\text{gr} M)$ is invariant under dilations (as $\text{gr} M$ is a graded module).

Therefore, $S = \text{supp}(gr M)$ defines a closed subvariety in \mathbb{P}^{2n-1} . In fact, it is more than that: every irreducible component Z of S comes with a multiplicity c_Z , which is the rank of $gr M$ at a generic point of Z . It is clear that $c(M) = \sum_{Z: d(Z)=d(M)} c_Z \cdot \text{deg}(PZ)$, where for a projective variety $X^d \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, $\text{deg}(X)$ is $d!$ times the leading coefficient of the Hilbert polynomial of X . Thus the sum $\sum_{Z: \dim(Z)=d(M)} c_Z \cdot PZ$ is a cycle of dimension $d(M)$ and degree $c(M)$ in \mathbb{P}^{2n-1} (here PZ is the projection of Z). One can also consider $\sum c_Z PZ$, cycle of mixed dimension.

Lemma 6. In the above notation, let $I_F = \text{Ann}(gr^F M)$. Then $\sqrt{I_F}$ does not depend on F (i.e., S does not depend on F).

Proof. Let F, F' be two good filtrations on M . They are equivalent: $F'_j M \subset F_j M \subset F'_{j+1} M$. Let $t = j_0 + j_1 + 1$. Let $\bar{f} \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$, $\text{deg}(\bar{f}) = p$, $\bar{f} \in \sqrt{I_F}$. Let's lift \bar{f} to $f \in F_p \mathcal{D}$. Since $\bar{f} \in \sqrt{I_F}$, $\exists q$ such that $f^q \cdot F_j M \subset F_{j+pq-1} M$. Then it's easy to check that $f^{qt} F'_i M \subset F'_{i+pq-1} M$. Thus $\bar{f}^{qt} \in I_{F'}$ and thus $\bar{f} \in \sqrt{I_{F'}}$.

In fact, the same argument proves a more general result:

Prop 7. If A is a filtered algebra such that $\text{gr}A$ is commutative and $\sqrt{\text{Ann} \text{gr}M}$ is a Noetherian module over $\sqrt{\text{Ann} \text{gr}M}$. Then for a finitely generated module M over A , $\text{supp}(\text{gr}M)$ is canonically defined i.e. \forall good filtration F on M , $\sqrt{\text{Ann} \text{gr}M}$ does not depend on F .

Example. The ideal I_F itself may depend on F . For example, let $M = \mathbb{C}[x]$ for $n=1$ (a module over $\mathbb{D}(A^1)$). For the usual filtration ($F_i M = \langle 1, \dots, x^i \rangle$), $I_F \subset \mathbb{C}[x, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}]$ is $I_F = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x})$. However, consider the filtration F' on $M = \mathbb{C}[x]$: $F'_0 M = \langle x \rangle$, $F'_1 M = \langle 1, x, x^2 \rangle$, $F'_2 M = \langle 1, x, x^2, x^3 \rangle, \dots$. Then $\text{gr}^{F'} M$ looks like this (denoting image of x^i in $\text{gr}^{F'} M$ by v_i):

$$\begin{matrix} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & \dots \\ v_i & & v_0 & v_1 & v_2 & \dots \\ & & v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & \dots \end{matrix}$$

and $xv_i = v_{i+1}$, $xv_0 = 0$.

For $\sum v_i = 0, i \neq 1, \sum v_1 = v_0$
 $xv_i = v_{i+1}, i \neq 0, xv_0 = 0$

So $I_{F'} = (\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$.

Proposition 8. If $Z \subset \text{Supp} \text{gr}^F M$ is a component $\dim Z = d_1$, and c_2 is its multiplicity, then c_2 is independent of the filtration.

Proof. The proof follows from Corollary 2 in the Appendix on the Jantzen filtration.

Indeed, Cor. 2. of Appendix implies that for two good filtrations F, F' on M , $\text{gr}^F M$ and $\text{gr}^{F'} M$ have finite filtrations with the same quotients occurring in the opposite orders. So the ranks of these modules over each component are the same.

Remark. In fact L. 6 and Prop. 7 also follow from this argument.

Ex. In the previous example, the filtration F' we defined satisfies $F_i M \subseteq F'_i M \subseteq F_{i+1} M$, so we are supposed to have Jantzen filtration of length 2. And indeed,

$\text{gr}^F M = \mathbb{C}[x]$ has filtrations with quotients $\mathbb{C}[x], \mathbb{C}$, while $\text{gr}^{F'} M$ has the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Sub. } \downarrow & & x & & x & & x \\ v_0 & \xleftrightarrow{x} & v_1 & \xleftrightarrow{x} & v_2 & \xrightarrow{x} & v_3 \xrightarrow{x} v_4 \rightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

so has filtration with quotients $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}[x]$.

Consider now the geometric filtration on \mathcal{D} and let M be any finitely generated \mathcal{D} -module.

Definition. The support of $\text{gr} M$ with respect to a good filtration compatible with the geometric filtration of \mathcal{D} is called the singular support of M .

It's denoted $SS(M)$.

The support $\text{supp}(\text{gr}^F M)$ for F compatible to the Bernstein filtration is called the arithmetic singular support and denoted $SS_a(M)$.

We'll see later that similarly to $SS_a(M)$, $SS(M)$ is defined as a cycle, i.e. can attach a multiplicity to each irreducible component.

We saw that $SS_a(M)$ is a conical variety. Similarly, $SS(M)$ is conical in the following sense: it's invariant under action of b_m given by $(x, \xi) \rightarrow (x, \lambda \xi)$, $\lambda \in k^\times$.

Theorem. $\dim SS(M) = \dim SS_a(M)$ (i.e. = $d(M)$)

We will prove it later.

Ex let $M = \langle e^{x^2/2} \rangle$. Then $M = \mathbb{D} / \mathbb{D}(\partial - x)$.

So $\text{gr} M$ for Bernstein filtration is

$\mathbb{C}[x, \xi] / (\xi - x)$, and $SS_a(M)$ is the line $\xi = x$.

But $\text{gr} M$ for geometric filtration is

$\mathbb{C}[x, \xi] / (\xi)$, so $SS(M) = \{ \xi = 0 \}$. I.e. they

are different.

Remark. So if either dimension = n , then M is holonomic.

Appendix to Lecture 3. Jantzen filtration.

We will need a piece of linear algebra called Jantzen filtration. To define it, assume that we have a morphism of $k[[t]]$ -modules $f: V[[t]] \rightarrow W[[t]]$, where V, W are k -vector spaces. Suppose that f is injective and $\text{Coker } f$ is annihilated by t^s . Then we get a filtration $\{V_i\}$ of V , $V = V_0 \supset V_1 \supset \dots \supset V_s = V_{s+1} = 0$ and a filtration $\{W_i\}$ of W , $0 = W_{-1} \subset W_0 \subset \dots \subset W_s = W$, which are called the Jantzen filtrations. They are defined as follows.

We set $V_1 = \text{Ker } f_0$ and $W_1 = \text{Im } f_0$. Note that we have an isomorphism induced by f_0 :

$\varphi_0: V_0/V_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} W_0$. Now we have a map $\varphi_1: V_1 \rightarrow W/W_0$ defined as follows. Pick $v \in V_1$ and choose a lift \tilde{v} of v to V . Then $f(\tilde{v}) \in tW[[t]]$, so we set $\varphi_1(v)$ to be the image of $\frac{1}{t}f(\tilde{v})$ in W/W_0 . This is well defined: if \tilde{v}' is another lift, then $\tilde{v}' = \tilde{v} + tu$, so $\frac{1}{t}f(\tilde{v}') = \frac{1}{t}f(\tilde{v}) + f(u)$, and the images of $\frac{1}{t}f(\tilde{v})$ and $\frac{1}{t}f(\tilde{v}')$ in W/W_0 are the same, as $f(u) \text{ mod } t$ is in W_0 . Define $W_1 \subset W$ to be the preimage $^{\text{in } W}$ of $\text{Im } \varphi_1 \subset W/W_0$. Define $V_2 \subset V_1$ to be $\text{Ker } \varphi_1$.

Note that we have an isomorphism induced by φ_1 :

$\varphi_1: V_1/V_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} W_1/W_0$. Now, we have a map

$f_2: V_2 \rightarrow W/W_1$ defined as follows.

Pick $v \in V_2$ and choose a lift \tilde{v} of v to V such that $f(\tilde{v}) \in t^2W[[t]]$. (Such exists: indeed, if \tilde{v} is any lift, then $f(\tilde{v}) \in tW_0 \pmod{t^2W[[t]]} = tW_0$.)

Pick $v_0 \in V_0$ such that $f_0(v_0) = w_0$, and set $\tilde{v} = \tilde{v} - tv_0$. Then $f(\tilde{v}) \in t^2W[[t]]$. Now set $f_2(v)$ to be the image of $\frac{1}{t^2}f(\tilde{v})$ in W/W_1 . This is well defined: if \tilde{v} is another lift as above, then

$\tilde{v} = \tilde{v} + tu$, $u \in V_1$, so $\frac{1}{t^2}f(\tilde{v}) = \frac{1}{t^2}f(\tilde{v}) + \frac{1}{t}f(u)$, and $\frac{1}{t}f(u) \in W_1$. Define $W_2 \subset W$ to be the preimage ^{in W} of $\text{Im } f_2 \subset W/W_1$.

Define $V_3 \subset V_2$ to be $\text{Ker } f_2$. Note that we have an isomorphism induced by $f_2: \varphi_2: V_2/V_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} W_2/W_1$. And we continue like this for s steps, at which point everything ends, as t^s kills $\text{oker } f$.

As a result we obtain the filtrations as stated above, and also isomorphisms between successive quotients.

$\varphi_i: V_i/V_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_i/W_{i-1}$. (Note that $V_i = \{v \in V_0, v \text{ has a lift } \tilde{v} \text{ with } f(\tilde{v}) \in t_i^i\}$ and W_i is defined in the same way using t_i^i .)

This can be applied to representation theory as follows.

Suppose that A is an algebra which is a flat deformation over $k[[t]]$ of $\bar{A} = A/tA$ (i.e.

$A \cong \bar{A}[[t]]$ as a $k[[t]]$ -module) and

V, W are A -modules which are flat deformations of $\bar{V} = V/tV$ and $\bar{W} = W/tW$. Suppose

that $f: V \rightarrow W$ is a morphism, which becomes an isomorphism after inverting t , $t \cdot \text{Coker} f = 0$.

Corollary 1: Then \bar{V}, \bar{W} admit finite filtrations (of length $\leq s+1$), whose successive quotients are the same, but occur in opposite orders.

Proof: As above, noting that all the spaces we considered are now \bar{A} -modules.

Corollary 2: Suppose that A is a filtered algebra, and M is an A -module with two filtrations F, F' such that $F_i M \subset F'_{i+s} M$.

Then the $gr A$ -modules $gr^F M$ and $gr^{F'} M$ admit finite filtrations with the same quotients occurring in the opposite order.

Pf. Let \hat{A} be the completed Rees algebra of A ($\hat{A} = \{a_0 + ta_1 + t^2 a_2 + \dots \mid a_i \in F_i A\}$).

Then $\hat{A}/t\hat{A} = gr A$. Let \hat{M}, \hat{M}' be the completed Rees modules of M , constructed similarly

- 4 -

Obviously, if $\alpha \in \hat{M}$ then $t^s \alpha \in \hat{M}'$, and vice versa, so we have morphisms

$$\hat{M} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xleftarrow{f'} \end{array} \hat{M}' \quad \text{such that } f' \circ f = t^{2s} \left(\begin{array}{l} f(\alpha) = t^s \alpha \\ f'(\alpha) = t^s \alpha \end{array} \right).$$

So we can apply Corollary 1, and we get the statement.