

lecture 17.

The derived category of holonomic D-modules.

Recall that the dual module $\mathbb{D}M$ is defined if M is coherent, and then $\mathbb{D}^2 M = M$.

let us define for $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ the functor $\pi_!$ by the formula

$\pi_! M = \mathbb{D} \pi_* \mathbb{D} M$. Note that it's only partially defined, (even if M is coherent (namely, $\pi_* \mathbb{D} M$ should be coherent)).

If $\pi_! M$ is defined then there is a canonical morphism $\pi_! M \rightarrow \pi_* M$, which is defined as follows. First decompose π into a composition of an open embedding and a projective morphism. If $j: U \hookrightarrow X$

is an open embedding then \forall \mathbb{D} -module M we have $\text{Hom}(N, j_* M) = \text{Hom}(N|_U, M)$. So $\text{Hom}(j_! M, j_* M) = \text{Hom}((j_! M)|_U, M) = \text{Hom}(M, M)$, so the identity map $M \rightarrow M$ defines a morphism $j_! M \rightarrow j_* M$.

But for a projective morphism $\pi_* = \pi_!$,

Similarly, we can define functors

$\pi_M^* = \mathbb{D} \pi^! \mathbb{D} M$ (defined if $\pi^! \mathbb{D} M$ is coherent).

Theorem. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism.

(1) $\pi^!$ maps $\mathcal{D}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ to $\mathcal{D}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$

(2) $\pi^* = \mathbb{D} \pi^! \mathbb{D} = \pi^! [2(\dim Y - \dim X)]$

(3) π^* is left adjoint to π_* .

E.g. in the case of open embedding, $\pi^* = \pi^!$

Example. Let Y be a point.

Then $\pi^! k = \mathcal{O}_X[-\dim X]$, and

$$\pi^* k = \mathbb{D} \pi^! \mathbb{D} k = \mathbb{D} \pi^! k = \mathbb{D} \mathcal{O}_X[-\dim X] = \mathcal{O}_X[-2\dim X] = \pi^! k[-2\dim X].$$

More generally if $X = W \times Y$, W smooth

$$\text{We will skip the proof. } \begin{cases} \pi^* M = M \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_W[\dim W] \\ \pi^! M = M \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_W[-\dim W] \end{cases}$$

Of course, it would be nice to have a situation when π_* and π^* are guaranteed to exist. In fact, the following theorem shows that it happens for holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules.

Theorem. $\mathcal{D}_{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is stable under π_* , $\pi^!$, \mathbb{D} , and \boxtimes .

Corollary On D_{hol} we can always define $\pi_! = \mathbb{D}\pi_* \mathbb{D}$ and $\pi^* = \mathbb{D}\pi^! \mathbb{D}$.

Defined this way $\pi_!$ is left adjoint to $\pi^!$ and right adjoint to π^* .

~~(The fact that $\pi_!$ is right adjoint to π^* comes from dualizing the statement that π^* is left adjoint to $\pi^!$.)~~

~~$\mathbb{D}\pi_!$ is left adjoint to $\pi^!$~~

Proposition 1) π^* is left adjoint to π_*

2) $\pi^!$ is right adjoint to $\pi_!$

3) ~~π^*~~

Proof. 2) is obtained from (1) by dualization. To prove (1), it suffices to prove it for an open embedding and for projective morphism. For projective morphism, $\pi_* = \pi_!$, so we are done. For open embedding, $\pi^* = \pi^!$, so again we are done by dualization.

Thus, on D_{hol} we have "formalism of 6 functors" $\pi^*, \pi^!, \pi_*, \pi_!, \boxtimes, \mathbb{D}$.

Before proving the theorem, let's give an example of application. Namely, let us classify irreducible holonomic D -modules

Lemma. Let M be a holonomic on X .

Then there is an open set $U \subset X$ such that for $j: U \rightarrow X$, j^*M is \mathcal{O} -coherent.

Pf. We know $j^!U$ is \mathcal{O} -coherent iff $\text{SS}(M) = \text{zero section of } T^*U$. Since $\text{SS}(M)$ is conic, it follows that every fiber of $\text{SS}(M) \rightarrow X$ is either 0 or of dimension ≥ 1 . Since $\dim \text{SS}(M) = \dim X$, the fiber over generic point must be 0 . The set U of such points satisfies the conditions of the lemma. \square

It is clear that we can choose U in the lemma to be affine.

Now consider the case when M is irreducible. In this case let's restrict M from $j^!M$. First of all, if M is irreducible, so is $j^!M$. Indeed, suppose we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow j^!M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

with $K, N \neq 0$. Then we have a map

~~then we have a~~

$M \rightarrow j_*N$ (note that if U is affine, j_* is exact).

Let \tilde{K} be the kernel of this map.

Then $j^! \tilde{K} = K$, so \tilde{K} is nonzero but different from M . This contradicts the irreducibility of M .

Now let $N = j^! M$ and we want to restore M . In fact, we will see that we can do more: to every holonomic module N on U we can canonically attach a new module $j_{!*} N$ on X (call the minimal or intermediate or Goresky-MacPherson-Deligne) extension of N , which in particular will solve our problem in the case when N is irreducible.

Remark. Note that if M, M' are irreducible and $j^! M \cong j^! M'$ then $M \cong M'$. Indeed, $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(j^! M, j^! M')$ can be viewed as $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Hom}(M, j_{!*} j^! M')$, such that $\tilde{\varphi} \neq 0$.

However, we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow j_{!*} j^! M' \rightarrow i_* i^! M' [1] \rightarrow 0$, where $i: Z \rightarrow X$, $Z = X \setminus U$ divisor.

As $i_* i^! M' [1]$ is supported on Z , $\tilde{\varphi}(M)$ lands in M' . So $\tilde{\varphi}: M \cong M'$

The intermediate extension $j_{!*} N$ is uniquely characterized by the following theorem.

Theorem. Let X be irreducible and $U \subset X$ open subset. For every holonomic D_U -module N there exists a unique D_X -module M satisfying the following properties:

- 1) $j^! j_{!*}(N) = N$ (so $j_{!*} N$ is an extension of N to X).
- 2) $j_{!*}$ has no submodules or quotients concentrated on $X \setminus U$.

We claim that if N is irreducible then $j_{!*} N$ is also irreducible. Indeed, assume not. Clearly, all composition factors of $j_{!*} N$ ~~should~~ except one should be concentrated on $X \setminus U$ otherwise they will have nonzero 'subs' to U . So if there are more than one comp. factor, it will be a sub or a quotient.

In particular, we see that any irreducible ~~HN~~ N has a unique irreducible extension to X , namely

the intermediate extension $j_{!*} N$.

Construction of $j_{!*} N$

As suggested by the notation, it should somehow be constructed from $j_! N$ and $j_* N$. (cohomology in ≥ 0 degrees)

We have $j_* : D_{hol}^{\geq 0}(D_0) \rightarrow D_{hol}^{\geq 0}(D_X)$,
 $j_! : D_{hol}^{\leq 0}(D_0) \rightarrow D_{hol}^{\leq 0}(D_X)$ (cohomology in ≤ 0 degrees)

Consider the map $j_! N \rightarrow j_* N$ that we constructed in the beginning of ~~the~~ the lecture. By the remark above $j_! N \in D_{hol}^{\leq 0}$, $j_* N \in D_{hol}^{\geq 0}$, so the map factorizes as

$$j_! N \rightarrow H^0(j_! N) \rightarrow H^0(j_* N) \rightarrow j_* N$$

Let $j_{!*} N$ be ~~the~~ the image of this map. Note that if j is an affine embedding then $j_{!*} N$ is simply the image of $j_! N$ in $j_* N$. (both functors $j_!$, j_* are exact in this case).

We claim that $j_{!*} N$ defined in this way satisfies property (1) and (2).

First of all, the map $j_! N \rightarrow j_{!*} N$

restricts to the identity on U , so property (1) is satisfied.

Let us now show that property (2) is satisfied. We have maps

$$j_! N \rightarrow j_! * N \rightarrow j_* N.$$

It's easy to see that for any ~~module~~ D_X -module L , the map $\text{Hom}(j_! * N, L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(j_! N, L)$ is injective (since $j_! N \rightarrow j_! * N$ is surjective).

Similarly $\text{Hom}(L, j_! * N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(L, j_* N)$ is injective.

Now suppose L is supported on $Z \stackrel{?}{=} X \setminus U$. Then $\text{Hom}(L, j_* N) = \text{Hom}(j^! L, N) = 0$,

and $\text{Hom}(j_! N, L) = \text{Hom}(N, j^! L) = 0$.

Thus, $\text{Hom}(L, j_! * N) = \text{Hom}(j_! * N, L) = 0$

and $j_! * N$ satisfies cond. (2). This proves the theorem.

Example. Let $U \subset \mathbb{A}^1$, $j: U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$.

Then $j_* \mathcal{O} = k[x, x^{-1}]$ (extension by poles), so $j_! \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{D} j_* \mathbb{D} \mathcal{O}$ (extension of \mathcal{O} by \mathcal{O}). So $j_! * \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}$. This is $\langle \log x \rangle / \mathcal{O}$.

However, consider a \mathcal{D} -module $N = \langle \log x \rangle$, on U (extension of \mathcal{O} by \mathcal{O}).

Then $j_!^* N = \langle \log x \rangle_{A'}$, with composition series $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}$. Indeed, this \mathcal{D} -module has no submodules and quotients supported on $\{0\}$.

So we see that for reducible N , $j_!^* N$ may contain composition factors supported on $Z = X \setminus U$ (in the middle of the composition series). Also the

$$\text{sequence } 0 \rightarrow j_!^* \mathcal{O} \rightarrow j_!^* N \rightarrow j_!^* \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$$

isn't exact in the middle term, so the functor $j_!^*$ is neither left nor right exact (so does not make sense in the derived category).

Let us now show that properties

(1) and (2) define $j_!^* N$ uniquely.

Let M be another \mathcal{D} -module satisfying conditions 1 and 2. So $j_!^* M = j^* M = N$.

So by adjointness, the map

$$j_! N \rightarrow j_* N \text{ factorizes through the sequence } j_! N \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} j_* N.$$

These maps become isomorphisms when restricted to U .

Thus $\text{Coker } \alpha$ is a quotient of M concentrated on $X \setminus U$, hence 0.

So α is surjective. Similarly, $\ker \beta$ is ~~not~~ a submodule of M concentrated on $X \setminus U$, hence β is injective. Thus $M = j_{!*} N$.

Note that $j_{!*}$ is defined for a locally closed embedding. $j_{!*}$ commutes with \mathbb{D} .

Proof. It's clear that $\mathbb{D} j_{!*} N$ satisfies the axioms of $j_{!*} N$ (and recall that $\mathbb{D}N$ is a D -module if N is holonomic, i.e. not just a complex), since it's so for affine space.

Here is one of the most important applications of this construction (probably one of the most important ones)

Let X be a singular variety. Let $U \subset X$ be a smooth dense open set.

Set $I_{\bullet} C_X = j_{!*} \mathcal{O}_U \in \text{Mod}(\mathbb{D}_X)$.

We call $I C_X$ the Intersection Cohomology D -module of X .

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{pt}$, then $\pi_* IC(X)$ is called the Intersection cohomology of X . If X is smooth then $IC_X = \mathcal{O}_X$, so Intersection cohomology = ordinary cohomology. (We make an appropriate shift in defining the intersection cohomology).

Assume that X is projective. Then \mathbb{D} commutes with π_* , so we have

$$\mathbb{D} \pi_* (IC_X) = \pi_* \mathbb{D} (IC_X) = \pi_* (IC_X),$$

so Intersection cohomology satisfies Poincaré duality (even for singular X). This is a generalization of Poincaré duality for ordinary cohomology.

Description of irreducible holonomic \mathbb{D} -modules.

It's clear from the above discussion that irreducible holonomic \mathbb{D} -module on X are essentially classified by pairs (Z, N) where $Z \subset X$ is a locally closed subvariety of X and N is an irreducible \mathcal{O} -coherent \mathbb{D} -module on ~~some open subset~~ Z .

Namely, the corresponding \mathcal{D} -module is $j_! * N$, where $j: Z \rightarrow X$ is a natural embedding. Indeed, let M be an irreducible \mathcal{D}_X -module, and let $Y \subset X$ be the support of M . This is an irreducible closed subset of X , and by Kashiwara, M corresponds to some irreducible \mathcal{D}_Y -module M_Y . We now choose Z to be any smooth open subset of Y on which M is \mathcal{O} -coherent.

Moreover, if $Z' \subset Z$ is open, then $(Z', M|_{Z'})$ and (Z, N) correspond to the same holonomic \mathcal{D} -module holonomic module on X . In this case we'll say that (Z, N) and (Z', N') , $N' = M|_{Z'}$, are equivalent. Then irreducible holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules correspond to ^{equiv.} classes of pairs (Z, N) .