

Lecture 12. Equivariant D-modules.

Let X be an ^(smooth) algebraic variety and G an affine algebraic group acting on X . Recall the notion of a G -equivariant quasicoherent sheaf on X . To make ~~the~~ the definition, let

$m: G \times G \rightarrow G$ be the multiplication map, and $p: G \times X \rightarrow X$ be the action of G on X . Clearly, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times X & \xrightarrow{m \times \text{Id}} & G \times X & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ & \searrow \text{Id} \times p & \downarrow p & & \\ & & G \times X & \xrightarrow{p} & X \end{array}$$

i.e. $p \circ (\text{Id} \times p) = p \circ (m \times \text{Id})$.

Definition. A quasicoherent sheaf M on X is said to be G -equivariant if it is endowed with an isomorphism

$\varphi: p^* M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes M$ such that the following

diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\text{Id} \times p)^* p^* M & \xrightarrow{(\text{Id} \times p)^* (\varphi)} & (\text{Id} \times p)^* (\mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes M) = \mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes p^* M & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times \varphi} & \mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes M \\ \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ (m \times \text{Id})^* p^* M & \xrightarrow{(m \times \text{Id})^* (\varphi)} & (m \times \text{Id})^* (\mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes M) = m^* \mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes M \end{array}$$

where $\psi: m^* \mathcal{O}_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_G \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_G = \mathcal{O}_{G \times G}$ is the canonical is. $\psi \circ \text{Id}$

(this means that we have a compatible family $\varphi_g: M^g \rightarrow M$ which depend algebraically on g)

Now we extend this definition to D -modules.

Definition. A ~~quasi-coherent~~ weakly \mathfrak{g} -equivariant D -module on X is a D_X -module M equipped with an equivariant structure as a quasi-coherent sheaf, such that φ is an isomorphism of D_X -modules.

Note that a weakly equivariant D -module carries two actions of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(\mathfrak{G})$ on ~~global~~ sections on any open set $U \subset X$:

1) the intrinsic action, coming from the map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vect } X \subset \mathcal{D}_X$, defined by the \mathfrak{g} -action on X (it does not involve the map φ).

2) The action ρ_* coming from equivariant structure. Namely, if $L \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\gamma(t)$ is a ~~parameter~~ curve in \mathfrak{G} such that $\gamma(0) = 1$, $\gamma'(0) = L$ then for a local section v of M , $L \cdot v = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \gamma(t) \cdot v$

In general, these two actions are not the same.

Definition. A weakly G -equivariant D -module M is called G -equivariant if the above two actions are the same.
 Rem. This is equivalent to φ being a D -module isomorphism.

Example. Let X be a point. A D -module on X is just a vector space V . Then a weakly G -equivariant D -module on X is the same thing as an ~~weakly~~ equivariant \mathcal{O} -module, so it is an ~~locally~~ algebraic representation of G .

However, the intrinsic action on \mathfrak{g} on V is zero. So an equivariant D -module on X is an algebraic repr. of \mathfrak{g} such that $\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts trivially, so it's an action of G/G_0 on V . (where G_0 is the connected component of the identity)

Lemma. ~~Suppose that a D -module~~
 Let $L \in \mathcal{O}_X$. Then $\rho_*(L) - \rho_{\varphi^*}(L)$ is an endomorphism of M .

Proof. The group G acts on D_X by $g \circ A = g A g^{-1}$. It's easy to check that $[\rho_*(L), A] = [\rho_{\varphi^*}(L), A] = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \gamma(t) \circ A$.

Thus we get that

$$P_{\varphi_*}(L) = P_*(L) + \lambda(L)$$

with diff. operators. Thus (e.g. if $\text{End } M = \mathbb{Q}$
if M is irreducible) then

$$[P_{\varphi_*}(L), P_{\varphi_*}(L')] = [P_*(L), P_*(L')] = P_*([\![L, L']\!]_{\varphi_*})$$

i.e. $P_{\varphi_*} = P_*$ on $[\![L, L']\!]$, i.e. $\lambda([\![L, L']\!]) = 0$

i.e. $\lambda: \mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow \text{End } M \cong k$ a character.

In particular, if \mathfrak{g} is perfect (e.g. semisimple), any weakly equivariant D -module is automatically equivariant (for connected G).

Also if M is irreducible (or more generally $\text{End } M = k$) then a weakly equivariant D -module can be made equivariant by multiplying φ by a character of G .

Remark. If X is affine, $\text{End } M = k$ then a weakly G -equivariant structure on M is just a locally algebraic action of G on M whose derivative differs from the intrinsic action by

a map $\lambda: \mathcal{O}_X[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow \text{End}_k M$

Remark. We see that equivariance of a \mathcal{D} -module ^{for connected G} unlike that of \mathcal{O} -module, is a property and not a structure, since the action of G is determined by the action of \mathfrak{g} . Equivariance is just the condition that the \mathfrak{g} -action on M integrates to an algebraic action of G on M

(for affine X). If X is not affine, "integrates" should be understood in the sense of the definition of an equivariant quasicoherent sheaf. In particular, we see that the category of G -equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules for connected G is a full subcategory of the category of all \mathcal{D} -modules.

Example. let us classify G^* -equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules on \mathbb{C} . These are precisely the \mathcal{D} -modules ~~where~~ in which the Euler element $x\partial$ acts semisimply with integer eigenvalues. The examples we see are: \mathcal{O} , \mathcal{D}_0 , extension of \mathcal{O} by \mathcal{D}_0 , extension of \mathcal{D}_0 by \mathcal{O} .

let us show that any irreducible equivariant D -module is either \mathcal{O} or \mathcal{S}_0 . To do so, let M be irreducible and let $v \in M$ be such that $x\partial v = nv$ for some $n \neq 0$. We know from homework that $\mathcal{D}/(x\partial - n)$ generates an extension of \mathcal{O} by \mathcal{S}_0 if $n \geq 0$ and an extension of \mathcal{S}_0 by \mathcal{O} otherwise. This implies the statement.

From this it's easy to see that the only indecomposable equivariant D -modules are the four modules as above.

Exercise 1. Let X be a variety with a free action of G , i.e. X is a G -bundle over $X/G = Y$. Then if $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is the projection then $\pi^*: M^e(\mathcal{D}_Y) \rightarrow M^e(\mathcal{D}_X)$ is an equivalence of $M^e(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ onto $M^e_G(\mathcal{D}_X)$, the category of G -equivariant D -modules on X .

2. Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on Y , X - set of nonzero vectors in the total space, and $G = \mathbb{C}^*$ (so $Y = X/\mathbb{C}^*$). Consider $M^e_{\mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{D}_X)_m$, the category of weakly equivariant D -modules where two \mathfrak{g} -actions differ by m -th power of the generating character of \mathbb{C}^* . Then

Also let $\mathcal{M}^l(\mathcal{D}_Y(L^{\otimes m}))$ be the category of modules over the twisted differential operators (acting on $L^{\otimes m}$). Then Π^* is an equivalence $\mathcal{M}^l(\mathcal{D}_Y(L^{\otimes m})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}^*}^l(\mathcal{D}_X)_m$.

Remark. The above applies to smooth X . If X is singular, ^{affine} we can G -equivariantly embed X into an affine space, and use

Kashiwara's theorem. Also, the definition requiring that φ is an isomorphism of \mathcal{D} -modules works for singular X .

Theorem. Let X be affine, and G have finitely many orbits on X . Then any f.g. G -equivariant \mathcal{D}_X -module is holonomic.

Proof. By picking a G -invariant subfamily of generators, we can define a G -invariant good filtration on M . For $v \in E$, we have $\rho(a)v = av$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, so $gr M$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{O}(T^*X) \otimes E / I$, where I is defined by the relation $\rho(a) = 0, a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Thus, the singular support of M is contained in the union of conormal bundles of G -orbits, i.e. is Lagrangian. ~~\mathbb{P}^1~~

Ex. Suppose $X = G/H$. Then a G -equivariant \mathcal{D} -module on X is the same thing as a $\Pi_0(H)$ -module.