

Lecture 18

Fix a simple Lie algebra of rank r .

Last time we defined the ring

$$R = \varinjlim_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} e^\mu \mathbb{C}[[e^{-\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_r}]]$$

Then the formal character of every module in category \mathcal{O} is in R , and

$$\text{ch } M_\lambda = \sum_{\beta \in Q_+} p(\beta) e^{\mu - \beta} = \frac{e^\mu}{\prod_{\alpha > 0} (1 - e^{-\alpha})}$$

$p(\beta)$ - number of partitions of β into positive roots

This shows that $\{\text{ch } M_\lambda\}$ are a "topological basis" of R (in fact,

$\{\text{ch } M_\lambda, \lambda \in \mu - Q_+\}$ is a topological basis of $e^\mu \mathbb{C}[[e^{-\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_r}]]$. In other words, any $f \in R$ is $f = \sum b_\lambda \text{ch } M_\lambda$ (infinite sum).

Ex. For \mathfrak{sl}_2 , $\text{ch } M_\lambda = e^\lambda + e^{\lambda - \alpha} + e^{\lambda - 2\alpha} + \dots$

$$\text{ch } L_\lambda = \frac{x^\lambda - x^{-\lambda-2}}{1 - x^{-2}} = \frac{x^{\lambda+1} - x^{-\lambda-1}}{x - x^{-1}} = x^\lambda + x^{\lambda-2} + \dots + x^{-\lambda}$$

$= \frac{e^\lambda}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} = \frac{x^\lambda}{1 - x^{-2}}$ if $e^\alpha = x^2$

Prop. 1) $\text{ch}(M_1 \otimes M_2) = \text{ch } M_1 \cdot \text{ch } M_2$

2) Suppose $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$

is an exact sequence in \mathcal{O} .

Then $\text{ch } M = \text{ch } N + \text{ch } M/N$.

Proof 1) $(M_1 \otimes M_2)[\mu] = \bigoplus_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 = \mu} M_1[\mu_1] \otimes M_2[\mu_2]$

2) $0 \rightarrow N[\mu] \rightarrow M[\mu] \rightarrow (M/N)[\mu] \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence, so $\dim M[\mu] = \dim N[\mu] + \dim (M/N)[\mu]$

Remark. Character does not define the module, e.g. for $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ $M(0) \cong M(-2) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ but they have the same character

Now we want to generalize this to Kac-Moody algebras. This is somewhat tricky since in the affine case, weight spaces in the usual sense are infinite dimensional. (for $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$, $h t^n v$ all have the same weight) We need to extend Cartan subalgebra to deal with it.

Def. Let A be a generalized Cartan matrix $(r \times r)$, $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ - the corresponding Kac-Moody algebra. We define

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A) = \mathfrak{g}(A) \oplus \mathbb{C}D_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}D_r$$

where $[D_i, (e, h, f)_j] = 0$ if $i \neq j$

$$[D_i, e_i] = e_i, \quad [D_i, f_i] = -f_i, \quad [D_i, h_i] = 0.$$

We have $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A) = \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$,

$\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}D_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}D_r$, of dimension $2r$

Now α_i can be regarded as functional in $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}^*$, as follows: $\alpha_i(D_j) = \delta_{ij}$

Then $[h, a] = \alpha(h)a$, $a \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}$.

Now let us construct a basis of $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}^*$.
(different from the dual basis to D_i, h_i)

Set $F = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\alpha_r}$

$P = \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus F$ (space of weights)

with basis $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ where δ_i are a dual basis to h_i of \mathfrak{h}^* . We can set $\delta_i(D_j) = 0$, then we get a map

$\varphi: P \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}^*$ The matrix of inner products of δ_i, α_i with h_i, D_i is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & A \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so φ is an identification,

and we can think of δ_i, α_i as elements of $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}^*$.

Now we can define the above notions: Category \mathcal{O} , Verma modules, M_λ irreducibles, L_λ characters, with the same properties as above, and we no longer have inf dimensional weight spaces. Note that this is different from the above even in the special case of simple Lie

algebras, but it is equivalent;
let us explain the connection.

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let \mathcal{O}_λ be the category
of modules in \mathcal{O} with weights from
 $\lambda + \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus F$ (i.e. $\lambda - k_1\alpha_1 - k_2\alpha_2 - \dots - k_r\alpha_r$)

It's easy to see that any $M \in \mathcal{O}$
is a direct sum of modules in \mathcal{O}_λ
for some λ , so $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathcal{O}_\lambda$

Claim.

If $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ is a linear combination of
 α_i (images of α_i in \mathfrak{h}^*) then

$$\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_2}$$

Pf. In Feigin - Zelvinisky paper.

For $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ simple, α_i are linearly independ-
dent, so \mathcal{O}_λ are all the same (as usual
category \mathcal{O} for \mathfrak{g}). For $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ affine KM algebra,
there is one essential parameter,
which is the level of representations.

lemma. 1) The center Z of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ is

$$\{ \sum \beta_i h_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{C}, \sum \beta_i a_{ij} = 0 \} \text{ So } \dim Z = \dim \text{Ker } A$$

2) If A is a gen. Cartan matrix then $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$

3) If A is an indecomposable symmetrizable matrix
matrix, then any graded ideal in $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ is

contained in the center.

Proof. 1) $X \in Z \Rightarrow \langle X \rangle$ is an ideal.

May assume X is homogeneous. Then $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, so $Z \subset \mathfrak{h}$. If $\sum \beta_i h_i$ central, then

$$[\sum \beta_i h_i, e_j] = \sum \beta_i a_{ij} = 0$$
 Conversely, if

this is true then $\sum \beta_i h_i$ is central

2) For gen. Cartan matrix, e_i, f_i, h_i are commutators (in fact, suffices that $a_{ii} \neq 0$)

3) If $I \neq 0$ is a graded ideal, $I \cap \mathfrak{h} \neq 0$.

$$I = I_+ \oplus I_0 \oplus I_-, \quad I_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}, \quad I_+, I_- \text{ in pos/neg degs}$$

Suppose $I \not\subset Z$. Then I_+ or $I_- \neq 0$. Otherwise

$I \supset \mathfrak{h} \not\subset Z$ and $[h, e_i] \neq 0$ for some i , ~~$= X$~~ .

Assume $I_+ \neq 0$. Let $a \in I_+ \setminus Z, J = \langle a \rangle$.

Then J is a graded ideal. So $J \cap \mathfrak{h} \neq 0$.

So $\exists x \in U(\mathfrak{g}(A)), x \circ a \neq 0 \in \mathfrak{h}$. We may assume that x is homogeneous of degree $-d$.

We may assume $x = f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_n} e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_m}$.

then $x \circ a \sim h_i$, so $e_i, h_i \in I$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.
Hence $f_i \in I$.

Then $e_j, f_j, h_j \in I$ if $a_{ij} \neq 0$, so are e_j, f_j, h_j (as $[h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j, [h_i, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j$)

So all generators are in I and $I = \mathfrak{g}(A)$.

Now we want to introduce invariant form and Casimir operator for $\mathfrak{g}(A)$.

Let A be an indecomposable complex matrix. We want to classify

^{symmetric}
forms

$B: \mathfrak{g}(A) \times \mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

B has degree 0, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is zero unless $\alpha + \beta = 0$, and B is invariant (and similarly for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$) (we don't ask for B to be nondegenerate).

We denote:

$$(e_i, f_i) = d_i$$

Let us assume $d_i \neq 0$ (otherwise form is "too degenerate"). We get

$$(h_i, h_j) = (h_i, [e_j, f_j]) = ([h_i, e_j], f_j)$$

$$= a_{ij} d_j \Rightarrow \text{we need } a_{ij} d_j = a_{ji} d_i$$

This means that we need the

matrix A to be symmetrizable

($\exists D$ diagonal, nong. s.t. AD is symmetric).

Exercise. If A is indecomposable symmetrizable, D is unique up to a common factor (without assuming nong. of D).

Now suppose that A is symmetrizable and let us see when the form (1) exists.

146 -

Prop. If A is ^{indec.} symmetrizable and the form $(,)$ exists, it is unique up to scaling.

Pf. Supp. $B(,)$ is such a form. We can regard B as a homomorphism

$$B^\vee : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

(where \mathfrak{g}^* is the restricted dual)

This is a \mathfrak{g} -module hom, since B is invariant. Set $(e_i, f_i) = d_i$. (unique up to scaling) Then

$$1) B(h_i) \in \mathfrak{g}^* \quad B(h_i)(h_j) = a_{ij} d_j$$

$$2) B(e_i) \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}^* \Rightarrow B(e_i) = c_i f_i^*$$

$$B(e_i)(f_i) = d_i \quad \text{so} \quad B(e_i) = d_i f_i^*$$

$$\text{Similarly } B(f_i) = d_i e_i^*$$

But then B extends in a unique way since e_i, h_i, f_i generate \mathfrak{g} as a \mathfrak{g} -module.

Now let us talk about the existence of B .

Prop. If A is an indecomposable symmetrizable then the form on $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ exists.

One can extend by linearity:

$$\gamma(\alpha) = h_\alpha$$

Claim: $(h_\alpha, h) = \bar{\alpha}(h)$, $h \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\bar{\alpha}$ - image of α in \mathfrak{h}

Proof. $(h_{\alpha_i}, h_j) = (d_i^{-1} h_i, h_j) = d_i^{-1} a_{ij} d_j = d_i^{-1} a_{ji} d_i = a_{ji}$; also $d_i(h_j) = a_{ji}$

Prop. If $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, then

$$[x, y] = (x, y) h_\alpha \quad (\alpha = \sum k_i \alpha_i, k_i \geq 0)$$

Pf Proof by induction on $|\alpha| = \sum k_i$

1) $|\alpha| = 1$. $\alpha = \alpha_i$. $[e_i, f_i] = (e_i, f_i) h_{\alpha_i} = d_i d_i^{-1} h_i$ - OK.

2) $|\alpha| > 1$. $x = [e_i, x']$, $y = [f_i, y']$

where $x' \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha - \alpha_i}$, $y' \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha + \alpha_i}$, where $\alpha - \alpha_i$ is a "positive root".

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [[e_i, x'], [f_i, y']] &= [[e_i, [y', f_i]], x'] \\ &+ [e_i, [x', [f_i, y']]] \stackrel{\text{ind. hyp.}}{=} -([e_i, [y', f_i]], x') h_{\alpha - \alpha_i} \\ &+ (e_i, [x', [f_i, y']]) h_i = ([e_i, x'], [f_i, y']) (h_{\alpha - \alpha_i} + h_{\alpha_i}) \\ &= (x, y) h_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary. $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ is a nondegenerate Lie algebra