# **Modular Elements of Geometric Lattices**

**RICHARD P. STANLEY** 

## 1. Modular Elements

Let L be a finite geometric lattice of rank n with rank function r. (For definitions, see e.g., [3, Chapter 2], [4], or [1, Chapter 4].) An element  $x \in L$  is called a modular element if it forms a modular pair with every  $y \in L$ , i.e., if  $a \leq y$  then  $a \lor (x \land y) = (a \lor x) \land y$ . Recall that in an upper semimodular lattice (and thus in a geometric lattice) the relation of being a modular pair is symmetric; in fact (x, y) is a modular pair if and only if  $r(x)+r(y)=r(x\lor y)+r(x\land y)$  [1, p. 83]. Every point (atom) of a geometric lattice is a modular element. If every element of L is modular, then L is a modular lattice. The main object of this paper is to show that a modular element of L induces a factorization of the characteristic polynomial of L. This is done in Section 2. First we discuss some other aspects of modular elements.

The following theorem provides a characterization of modular elements.

THEOREM 1. An element  $x \in L$  is modular if and only if no two complements of x are comparable.

*Proof.* If x is modular and x' is a complement of x, then r(x')=n-r(x). Hence all the complements of x have the same rank and are incomparable.

Conversely, assume x is not modular. Then there are elements y < z such that  $x \wedge y = x \wedge z$  and  $x \vee y = x \vee z$ . Let  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$  be a basis for L such that  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_s$  is a basis for  $x \wedge y$ ;  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_t$  is a basis for y;  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_u$  is a basis for z; and  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_v$  is a basis for  $x \vee y$ . Thus  $0 \le s < t < u < v \le n, r(x \wedge y) = s, r(y) = t, r(z) = u, r(x \vee y) = v$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= p_{s+1} \lor p_{s+2} \lor \cdots \lor p_t \lor p_{v+1} \lor p_{v+2} \lor \cdots \lor p_n \\ x'' &= x' \lor p_{t+1} \lor p_{t+2} \lor \cdots \lor p_u. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that x' and x'' are both complements of x with x' < x''.

In particular, an element x with a unique complement x' is modular. For a stronger result, recall that an element x is said to be in the *center* of an ordered set P with 0 and 1 if  $P = [0, x] \times [0, x']$  for some x' [1, p. 67]. For an element x to be in the center of a geometric lattice L, each of the following conditions is necessary and sufficient:

(1) x is distributive (because x is complemented, cf. [1, p. 69]), i.e., for any y,  $z \in L$ , the sublattice generated by x, y, z is distributive.

(2) x has a unique complement. This result appears to be new; Curtis Greene has

Presented by G. Birkhoff. Received December 5, 1970. Accepted for publication in final form March 22, 1971.

in fact proved the more general result (unpublished) that the intersection (meet) of all the complements of any element of a geometric lattice L is in the center of L.

(3) x is a separator of L [3, Chapter 12], i.e., for any point p and any copoint q not containing p, either  $p \le x$  or  $x \le q$ .

(4) x is a standard element of L [1, p. 69] (this follows from (2) since a complemented standard element has a unique complement). The concept of standard elements is due to G. Grätzer.

## 2. The Characteristic Polynomial

The characteristic polynomial  $p_L(\lambda)$  [4] of a geometric lattice L is defined by

$$p_L(\lambda) = \sum_{y \in L} \mu(0, y) \lambda^{n-r(y)},$$

where  $\mu$  denotes the Möbius function of L (see [4]).

This polynomial was first considered by G. D. Birkhoff, while its connection with Möbius functions was noted by Garrett Birkhoff. If  $x \in L$ , the characteristic polynomial of the segment [0, x] is denoted  $p_x(\lambda)$ .

The main result of this paper is the following factorization theorem:

THEOREM 2. If x is a modular element of a finite geometric lattice L of rank n, then

$$p_L(\lambda) = p_x(\lambda) \left[ \sum_{b: x \wedge b = 0} \mu(0, b) \lambda^{n-r(x)-r(b)} \right]$$

The expression in brackets may be thought of as the characteristic polynomial of the order ideal  $C(x) = \{b \mid x \land b = 0\}$ . C(x) will have a 1 if and only if x has a unique complement x' in L. In this case C(x) = [0, x'] and  $L = [0, x] \times [0, x']$ . Thus when x has a unique complement Theorem 2 is trivial, since  $p_{L_1 \times L_2} = p_{L_1} p_{L_2}$ .

To prove Theorem 2, we first prove two lemmas. The first lemma is a special case of some results of Schwan on modular pairs (see [1, Section IV.2]), but for the sake of completeness we include a proof. It is to be assumed throughout that x is a modular element of the finite geometric lattice L, and that L has rank n.

LEMMA 1. For any  $a \in L$ , the map

$$\sigma_a: [a, a \lor x] \to [a \land x, x]$$

defined by  $\sigma_a(y) = x \wedge y$  is an isomorphism with inverse  $\tau_a(y) = a \vee y$ .

**Proof.** Clearly  $\sigma_a$  and  $\tau_a$  are order-preserving. By modularity of x, it is immediate that if  $y \in [a \land x, x]$ , then  $\sigma_a \tau_a(y) = x \land (a \lor y) = (x \land a) \lor y = y$ . Also if  $y \in [a, a \lor x]$ , then  $\tau_a \sigma_a(y) = a \lor (x \land y) = (a \lor x) \land y = y$ , and the proof follows.  $\Box$ 

**Richard P. Stanley** 

ALGEBRA UNIV.

LEMMA 2. For any  $y \in L$ ,  $x \wedge y$  is a modular element of [0, y].

*Proof.* Let  $a \in [0, y]$  and let  $b \le a$ . We need to show  $b \lor ((x \land y) \land a) = (b \lor (x \land y)) \land a$ . Using the modularity of x, we have

$$(b \lor (x \land y)) \land a = ((b \lor x) \land y) \land a = (b \lor x) \land a = b \lor (x \land a)$$
$$= b \lor (x \land (y \land a)) = b \lor ((x \land y) \land a). \square$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* By Crapo's Complementation Theorem [2], if  $a \in [0, y]$  then

$$\mu(0, y) = \sum_{a', a''} \mu(0, a') \zeta(a', a'') \mu(a'', y),$$

where a' and a" are complements of a in [0, y], and  $\zeta$  is the zeta function of [0, y]. Choosing  $a = x \wedge y$ , then by Lemma 2 all the complements of a have the same rank and hence are incomparable. Thus

$$\mu(0, y) = \sum \mu(0, b) \,\mu(b, y), \tag{1}$$

where the sum is over all complements b of  $x \wedge y$  in [0, y], i.e., over all  $b \in L$  satisfying  $0 \leq b \leq y, b \wedge (x \wedge y) = 0, b \vee (x \wedge y) = y$ . Now  $b \wedge (x \wedge y) = b \wedge x$ , and by the modularity of x,  $b \vee (x \wedge y) = (b \vee x) \wedge y$ . Thus the sum (1) is over all  $b \in L$  satisfying  $b \wedge x = 0$  and  $y \in [b, b \vee x]$ . Hence

$$p_{L}(\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{y \in L \\ y \in L}} \mu(0, y) \lambda^{n-r(y)}$$
  
=  $\sum_{\substack{y \in L \\ b \land x = 0}} \sum_{\substack{b \land x = 0 \\ y \in [b, b \lor x]}} \mu(0, b) \mu(b, y) \lambda^{n-r(y)}$   
=  $\sum_{\substack{b \land x = 0 \\ y \in [b, b \lor x]}} \sum_{\substack{y \in [b, b \lor x]}} \mu(0, b) \mu(b, y) \lambda^{n-r(y)}$ 

Now by Lemma 1, as y ranges over  $[b, b \vee x]$ ,  $z = x \wedge y$  ranges over the isomorphic interval  $[b \wedge x, x] = [0, x]$ , and  $\mu(b, y) = \mu(0, z)$ . Moreover r(y) = r(b) + r(z). Therefore

$$P_{L}(\lambda) = \sum_{b \land x = 0}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in [0, x]}^{\infty} \mu(0, a) \mu(0, z) \lambda^{n-r(b)-r(z)}$$
  
=  $\left[\sum_{z \in [0, x]}^{\infty} \mu(0, z) \lambda^{r(x)-r(z)}\right] \left[\sum_{b \land x = 0}^{\infty} \mu(0, b) \lambda^{n-r(b)-r(x)}\right]$   
=  $p_{x}(\lambda) \left[\sum_{b \land x = 0}^{\infty} \mu(0, b) \lambda^{n-r(b)-r(x)}\right].$ 

### 3. Examples

As a special case of Theorem 2, suppose x is modular copoint, and that exactly  $\alpha$  points (atoms) a of L do not lie below x. Then these points a, together with 0, are the

only elements b of L satisfying  $b \wedge x = 0$ . Moreover,  $\mu(0, a) = -1$ ,  $\mu(0, 0) = 1$ , so

$$p_L(\lambda) = p_x(\lambda) \left[\sum_{\alpha} (-1) + \lambda\right] = p_x(\lambda) (\lambda - \alpha).$$

Thus if L contains a maximal chain  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = 1$  such that each  $x_{i-1}$  is modular in  $[0, x_i]$  and such that exactly  $\alpha_i$  atoms of  $[0, x_i]$  do not lie below  $x_{i-1}$ , then  $p_L(\lambda) = (\lambda - \alpha_1)(\lambda - \alpha_2)\dots(\lambda - \alpha_n)$ . It is easy to show that the condition that each  $x_{i-1}$ is modular in  $[0, x_i]$  is equivalent to the condition that each  $x_i$  is modular in L. A class of geometric lattices with such a 'modular maximal chain' is the following: Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  be any collection of positive integers with  $\alpha_n = 1$ . Let  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  be n independent points, and on the line  $p_i p_n (1 \le i \le n-1)$  insert an additional  $\alpha_i - 1$  points. The geometric lattice L of flats of this geometry contains a modular maximal chain, and  $p_L(\lambda) = (\lambda - \alpha_1)(\lambda - \alpha_2)\dots(\lambda - \alpha_n)$ .

At this point it is natural to ask for a characterization of the modular elements of various geometric lattices. We state such a characterization when L is the lattice of contractions of a finite graph. Recall that a contraction of a graph G may be regarded as a partition  $\pi$  of the vertices of G, such that the subgraph H induced by each block B of  $\pi$  is connected [3, Chapter 6].

THEOREM 3. Let L be the lattice of contractions of a doubly connected finite graph G. Then  $\pi \in L$  is a modular element of L if and only if the following conditions hold:

(i) At most one block B of  $\pi$  contains more than one vertex of G.

(ii) Let H be the subgraph induced by the block B of (i). Let K be any connected component of the subgraph induced by G-B, and let  $H_1$  be the graph induced by the set of vertices in H which are connected to some vertex in K. Then  $H_1$  is a clique (complete subgraph) of G.  $\Box$ 

The proof is of a routine nature and will be omitted.

If G is not doubly connected, then the lattice of contractions of G is a direct product of the lattices of contractions of the maximal doubly connected subgraphs of G, so Theorem 3 easily extends to arbitrary finite graphs G.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Garrett Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, Third Edition (American Mathematical Society, 1967).
- [2] Henry Crapo, The Möbius Function of a Lattice, J. Combinatorial Theory 1 (1966), 126-131.
- [3] Henry Crapo, and Gian-Carlo Rota, On the Foundations of Combinatorial Theory: Combinatorial Geometries, M.I.T. Press.
- [4] Gian-Carlo Rota, On the Foundations of Combinatorial Theory, I: Theory of Möbius Functions, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie 2 (1964), 340–368.

M.I.T., Cambridge, Mass. U.S.A.