



Some aspects of (r, k) -parking functions

Richard P. Stanley

University of Miami and M.I.T.

and

Yinghui Wang (王颖慧)

M.I.T.

Basic definition

(r, k) -parking function: a sequence (a_1, \dots, a_n) of positive integers whose decreasing rearrangement $b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_n$ satisfies

$$b_i \leq k + (i - 1)r.$$

$\text{PF}_n^{(r,k)}$: set of (r, k) -parking functions of length n

$r = k = 1$ (so $b_i \leq i$): ordinary parking function

Basic definition

(r, k) -parking function: a sequence (a_1, \dots, a_n) of positive integers whose decreasing rearrangement $b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_n$ satisfies

$$b_i \leq k + (i - 1)r.$$

$\text{PF}_n^{(r,k)}$: set of (r, k) -parking functions of length n

$r = k = 1$ (so $b_i \leq i$): ordinary parking function

Example. $(8, 4, 8, 2)$ is **not** a $(2, 3)$ -parking function, since $(2, 4, 8, 8) \not\leq (3, 5, 7, 9)$ (termwise).

Parking scenario

Cars C_1, \dots, C_{rn} need to park in spaces $1, 2, \dots, rn + k - 1$.

preference vector $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$,
 $1 \leq a_i \leq rn + k - 1$, where cars $C_{r(i-1)+1}, \dots, C_{ri}$
all prefer a_i .

Cars go one at a time to their preferred space
and then park in first available space.

Easy: all cars can park if and only if α is an
 (r, k) -parking function.

Number of (r, k) -parking functions

Theorem (Steck 1969, essentially).

$$\#\text{PF}_n^{(r,k)} = k(rn + k)^{n-1}$$

Number of (r, k) -parking functions

Theorem (Steck 1969, essentially).

$$\#\text{PF}_n^{(r,k)} = k(rn + k)^{n-1}$$

Proof. Completely analogous to Pollak's proof for $r = k = 1$.

Parking function symmetric function

\mathfrak{S}_n acts on $\text{PF}_n^{(r,k)}$ by permuting coordinates. Let $F_n^{(r,k)}$ denote the Frobenius characteristic of this action.

Parking function symmetric function

\mathfrak{S}_n acts on $\text{PF}_n^{(r,k)}$ by permuting coordinates. Let $F_n^{(r,k)}$ denote the Frobenius characteristic of this action.

Equivalently,

$$F_n^{(r,k)} = \sum_{\beta} h_{m_1(\beta)} h_{m_2(\beta)} \cdots ,$$

where β runs over all **weakly increasing** (r, k) -parking functions, and $m_i(\beta)$ is the number of i 's in β .

An example

Let $r = 1, k = 2, n = 3$. The weakly increasing $(1, 2)$ -parking functions (a, b, c) of length three, i.e., $(a, b, c) \leq (2, 3, 4)$:

111 112 113 114 122 123 124

133 134 222 223 224 233 234

Hence

$$F_3^{(2,1)} = 2h_3 + 8h_2h_1 + 4h_1^3.$$

Basis expansions

$F_n^{(r,k)}$ has “nice” expansions in terms of the six classical bases m, p, h, e, s, f .

E.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} F_n^{(r,k)} &= \frac{k}{rn+k} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \binom{rn+k}{d_1(\lambda), \dots, d_n(\lambda), rn+k-\ell(\lambda)} h_\lambda \\ &= k \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} z_\lambda^{-1} (rn+k)^{\ell(\lambda)-1} p_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where $d_i(\lambda)$ is the number of parts of λ equal to i .

A generating function

$$\mathcal{P}^{(r,k)}(t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} F_n^{(r,k)} t^n$$

A generating function

$$\mathcal{P}^{(r,k)}(t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} F_n^{(r,k)} t^n$$

Theorem. For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{P}^{(r,k)}(t) = \left(\mathcal{P}^{r,1}(t) \right)^k .$$

A generating function

$$\mathcal{P}^{(r,k)}(t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} F_n^{(r,k)} t^n$$

Theorem. For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{P}^{(r,k)}(t) = \left(\mathcal{P}^{r,1}(t) \right)^k .$$

Proof: simple factorization argument.

Negative exponents

What about $(\mathcal{P}^{r,1}(t))^k$ for $k < 0$?

Simplest case: $r = 1$ and $k = -1$.

Motivation

Let

$$A(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$$

$$B(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n t^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - A(t)} = \sum_{k \geq 0} A(t)^k.$$

Thus a_n counts “**prime**” objects and b_n all objects.

$$B(t) = F(t)$$

Note. $B(t) = \frac{1}{1-A(t)} \Leftrightarrow A(t) = 1 - \frac{1}{B(t)}.$

$$B(t) = F(t)$$

Note. $B(t) = \frac{1}{1-A(t)} \Leftrightarrow A(t) = 1 - \frac{1}{B(t)}.$

Suggests: $1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}^{(1,1)}(t)}$ might be connected with “**prime**” parking functions.

Prime parking functions

Definition (I. Gessel). A parking function is **prime** if it remains a parking function when we delete a 1 from it.

Note. A sequence $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_n$ is an increasing parking function if and only if $1 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_n$ is an increasing prime parking function.

The prime parking function sym. fn.

E.g., $n = 4$: increasing prime parking functions are

1111, 1112, 1113, 1122, 1123.

The prime parking function sym. fn.

E.g., $n = 4$: increasing prime parking functions are

1111, 1112, 1113, 1122, 1123.

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{PF}_4^{(1,1)} = h_4 + 2h_3h_1 + h_2^2 + h_2h_1^2$$

Factorization of increasing PF's

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
<hr/>										
1	1	3	3	4	4	7	8	8	9	10

Factorization of increasing PF's

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	1	3	3	4	4	7	8	8	9	10

Factorization of increasing PF's

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	1	3	3	4	4	7	8	8	9	10

→ (1, 1), (1, 1, 2, 2), (1), (1, 1, 2, 3)

Factorization of increasing PF's

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	1	3	3	4	4	7	8	8	9	10

→ (1, 1), (1, 1, 2, 2), (1), (1, 1, 2, 3)

Theorem. $(\mathcal{P}^{(1,1)}(t))^{-1} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \text{PPF}_n t^n$

A more complicated example

Coefficient of t^5 in $-\mathcal{P}^{(1,1)}(t)^{-2}$ is

$$2h_3h_1^2 + 2h_2^2h_1 + 4h_3h_2 + 4h_4h_1 + 2h_5.$$

Frobenius characteristic of the action of \mathfrak{S}_5 on all sequences $(a_1, \dots, a_5) \in \mathbb{P}^{(1,1)}$ whose increasing rearrangement $b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_5$ satisfies either of the conditions

1. $b_1 = b_2 = 1, b_3 \leq 2, b_4 \leq 3, b_5 \leq 3$, or
2. $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 2, b_4 \leq 3, b_5 \leq 4$.

Parking function basis

Write $F_n = F_n^{(1,1)}$ (simplest case), with $F_0 = 1$.
For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ write

$$F_\lambda = F_{\lambda_1} F_{\lambda_2} \cdots$$

Easy. $\{F_\lambda : \lambda \vdash n\}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis for Λ_n
(homogeneous symmetric functions of degree n
with integer coefficients).

Some problems

- Expand F_λ in the classical bases m, h, e, p, s, f , and vice versa.
- Formula or combinatorial interpretation of $\langle F_\lambda, F_\mu \rangle$.

Some problems

- Expand F_λ in the classical bases m, h, e, p, s, f , and vice versa.
- Formula or combinatorial interpretation of $\langle F_\lambda, F_\mu \rangle$.

Very little is known.

Scalar products

Theorem.

$$\langle F_n, F_\lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{n+1} \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_i + 1} \binom{(n+1)(\lambda_i + 1) + \lambda_i - 1}{\lambda_i}$$

Scalar products

Theorem.

$$\langle F_n, F_\lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{n+1} \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_i + 1} \binom{(n+1)(\lambda_i + 1) + \lambda_i - 1}{\lambda_i}$$

Corollary.

$$\langle F_n, F_n \rangle = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{n(n+3)}{n}$$

Scalar products

Theorem.

$$\langle F_n, F_\lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{n+1} \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_i + 1} \binom{(n+1)(\lambda_i + 1) + \lambda_i - 1}{\lambda_i}$$

Corollary. $\langle F_n, F_n \rangle = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{n(n+3)}{n}$

In general $\langle F_\lambda, F_\mu \rangle$ has large prime factors. Is there a combinatorial interpretation?

Scalar products

Theorem.

$$\langle F_n, F_\lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{n+1} \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_i + 1} \binom{(n+1)(\lambda_i + 1) + \lambda_i - 1}{\lambda_i}$$

Corollary. $\langle F_n, F_n \rangle = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{n(n+3)}{n}$

In general $\langle F_\lambda, F_\mu \rangle$ has large prime factors. Is there a combinatorial interpretation, even for

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \binom{n(n+3)}{n} ?$$

Three expansions

d_i : number of parts of λ equal to i

$$e_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{n-\ell(\lambda)} \binom{n+\ell(\lambda)}{d_1, d_2, \dots, rn} F_\lambda$$

$$p_n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)+1} \binom{n+\ell(\lambda)-1}{d_1, d_2, \dots, rn-1} F_\lambda$$

$$h_n = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)+1} \binom{n+\ell(\lambda)-2}{d_1, d_2, \dots, rn-2} F_\lambda$$

The last slide

The last slide

