# Computing $L$-series of low genus curves 

Andrew V. Sutherland<br>Massachusetts Institute of Technology<br>\section*{SIAM Conference on Applied Algebraic Geometry}

August 2, 2013
joint work with David Harvey

## The problem

Given a smooth projective curve $X / \mathbb{Q}$ and a bound $N$, we wish to compute $L_{p}(T)$ for all primes $p \leq N$ where $X$ has good reduction.

Here $L_{p}(T)$ is the $L$-polynomial of the reduction $X_{p} / \mathbb{F}_{p}$ of $X$ at $p$. It is an integer polynomial of degree $2 g$ that satisfies:

- $L(X ; s)=\prod_{p} \boldsymbol{L}_{p}\left(p^{-s}\right)^{-1}$;
- $Z\left(X_{p} ; T\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \# X_{p}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right) T^{n} / n\right)=\frac{L_{p}(T)}{(1-T)(1-p T)} ;$
- $\chi\left(X_{p} ; T\right)=T^{2 g} \boldsymbol{L}_{p}\left(T^{-1}\right)$.

Applications: computing $L$-functions and Sato-Tate distributions.

## Some existing solutions

Four methods were analyzed in [Kedlaya-S, 2008]:

|  | genus 1 | genus 2 | genus 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| enumerate $X_{p}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right), \ldots, X_{p}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{g}}\right)$ | $p \log ^{1+\epsilon} p$ | $p^{2} \log ^{1+\epsilon} p$ | $p^{3} \log ^{1+\epsilon} p$ |
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| enumerate $X_{p}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right), \ldots, X_{p}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{8}}\right)$ | $p \log ^{1+\epsilon} p$ | $p^{2} \log ^{1+\epsilon} p$ | $p^{3} \log ^{1+\epsilon} p$ |
| generic group algorithms |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ one uses $L_{p}(1)=\# \operatorname{Jac}\left(X_{p}\right)$ and $L_{p}(-1)=\# \operatorname{Jac}\left(\tilde{X}_{p}\right)$.
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${ }^{1}$ one uses $L_{p}(1)=\# \operatorname{Jac}\left(X_{p}\right)$ and $L_{p}(-1)=\# \operatorname{Jac}\left(\tilde{X}_{p}\right)$.
${ }^{2}$ SEA has a heuristic complexity of $O\left(\log ^{4+\epsilon} p\right)$ in genus 1 , but is still not worth using.
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Within the feasible range of $p \leq N$, it never makes sense to use the polynomial-time algorithm that is asymptotically the best choice.

For practical purposes, group algorithms work best in genus 1 and 2 , and a combination of group algorithms and $p$-adic methods works best in genus 3 .

At least this was the situation until the fall of last year...
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## An average polynomial-time algorithm

All of the methods above perform separate computations for each prime $p$. But we want to compute $L_{p}(T)$ for all good $p \leq N$ using reductions of the same curve in each case.

Is their a way to take advantage of this fact?

## An average polynomial-time algorithm

All of the methods above perform separate computations for each prime $p$. But we want to compute $L_{p}(T)$ for all good $p \leq N$ using reductions of the same curve in each case.

Is their a way to take advantage of this fact?

## Theorem (Harvey, 2012)

Let $y^{2}=f(x)$ be a hyperelliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$, with $\operatorname{deg} f=2 g+1$ odd. There is an algorithm to compute $L_{p}(T)$ for all good primes $p \leq N$ in

$$
O\left(g^{8+\epsilon} N \log ^{3+\epsilon} N\right)
$$

time, using $O\left(g^{3} N \log ^{2} N\right)$ space (assuming $g$ and $\|f\|$ are suitably bounded).
This yields an average time of $O\left(g^{8+\epsilon} \log ^{4+\epsilon} p\right)$ per prime $p \leq N$.
But how practical is it for feasible values of $N$ ?

## The Hasse-Witt matrix

Harvey's algorithm uses the same basic approach as Kedlaya's algorithm: compute the action of Frobenius on the Monsky-Washnitser cohomology to sufficient $p$-adic precision. For a suitable choice of basis, this action can be described by a matrix $A_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2 g \times 2 g}$ that satisfies

$$
A_{p} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
W_{p} & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \bmod p,
$$

where $W_{p} \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{g \times g}$ is the Hasse-Witt matrix of $X$.
For hyperelliptic curves $y^{2}=f(x)$, the matrix $W_{p}$ is given by

$$
W_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{p-1} & c_{p-2} & \cdots & c_{p-g} \\
c_{2 p-1} & c_{2 p-2} & \cdots & c_{2 p-g} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
c_{g p-1} & c_{g p-2} & \cdots & c_{g p-g}
\end{array}\right) \text {, }
$$

where $c_{k}$ is the coefficient of $x^{k}$ in the expansion of $f(x)^{(p-1) / 2}$ modulo $p$.

## Computing the Hasse-Witt matrix
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Let $X / \mathbb{Q}$ be a hyperelliptic curve. The matrices $W_{p}$ can be computed for all good primes $p \leq N$ in $O\left(g^{2+\omega} N \log ^{3+\epsilon} N\right)$ time using $O(g N)$ space. (assuming $g$ and $\|f\|$ are suitably bounded).
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For primes $p$ of good reduction the identity

$$
L_{p}(T) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(I-T W_{p}\right) \bmod p
$$

determines $O(1)$ possibilities for $L_{p}(T)$ in genus 2, and $O\left(p^{1 / 2}\right)$ in genus 3 .
In the latter case, these can be distinguished in $O\left(p^{1 / 4} \log ^{1+\epsilon} p\right)$ time, which is negligible for the feasible range of $p \leq N$.

In any case, $W_{p}$ determines the trace of Frobenius for all sufficiently large $p$. When approximating $L(X ; s)$, only the trace is needed for $p \geq N^{1 / 2}$.

## The algorithm in genus 1

Let $X / \mathbb{Q}$ be the elliptic curve $y^{2}=f(x)=x^{3}+a x+b$. Then $L_{p}(T)=p T^{2}-t_{p} T+1$, where $t_{p} \equiv c_{p-1} \bmod p$ is the trace of Frobenius.

We wish to compute the coefficient $c_{p-1}$ of $x^{p-1}$ in $f(x)^{(p-1) / 2} \bmod p$ for $p \leq N$. Equivalently, the coefficient of $x^{2 n}$ in $f(x)^{n} \bmod 2 n+1$ for $n \leq(N-1) / 2$.

Naïve approach: iteratively compute $f, f^{2}, f^{3}, \ldots, f^{(N-1) / 2}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and reduce the $x^{2 n}$ coefficient of $f(x)^{n}$ modulo $2 n+1$.
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But the polynomials $f^{n}$ are huge, each has $\Omega\left(n^{2}\right)$ bits. This approach would require $\Omega\left(N^{3}\right)$ time and $\Omega\left(N^{2}\right)$ space.

So this is a terrible idea...
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But we don't need all the coefficients of $f^{n}$, we only need one, and we only need to know its value modulo $2 n+1$.

## A better approach

Let $f_{k}^{n}$ denote the coefficient of $x^{k}$ in $f(x)^{n}$.
Using $f^{n}=f f^{n-1}$ and $\left(f^{n}\right)^{\prime}=n f^{\prime} f^{n-1}$, one obtains the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n+2) f_{2 n-2}^{n} & =n\left(2 a f_{2 n-3}^{n-1}+3 b f_{2 n-2}^{n-1}\right) \\
(2 n-1) f_{2 n-1}^{n} & =n\left(3 f_{2 n-4}^{n-1}+a f_{2 n-2}^{n-1}\right) \\
2(2 n-1) b f_{2 n}^{n} & =(n+1) a f_{2 n-4}^{n-1}+3(2 n-1) b f_{2 n-3}^{n-1}-(n-1) a^{2} f_{2 n-2}^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $D_{n}=2(n+2)(2 n-1) b$ and

$$
M_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 4 n(2 n-1) a b & 6 n(2 n-1) b^{2} \\
6 n(n+2) b & 0 & 2 n(n+2) a b \\
(n+1)(n+2) a & 3(n+2)(2 n-1) b & (1-n)(n+2) a^{2}
\end{array}\right),
$$

we can compute $v_{n}=\left(f_{2 n-2}^{n}, f_{2 n-1}^{n}, f_{2 n}^{n}\right)$ from $v_{n-1}$ via $v_{n}=v_{n-1} M_{n}^{\mathrm{tr}} / D_{n}$.

## A better approach

If we set $D_{0}=1, M_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, and define

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n}=\prod_{i=0}^{n} M_{i}^{\mathrm{tr}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{D}_{n}=\prod_{i=0}^{n} D_{i}
$$

then we can compute $v_{n}$ as the bottom row of $\mathcal{M}_{n} / \mathcal{D}_{n}$. Thus it suffices to compute the partial products $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ modulo $2 n+1$.
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$$
\mathcal{M}_{n}=\prod_{i=0}^{n} M_{i}^{\mathrm{tr}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{D}_{n}=\prod_{i=0}^{n} D_{i}
$$

then we can compute $v_{n}$ as the bottom row of $\mathcal{M}_{n} / \mathcal{D}_{n}$. Thus it suffices to compute the partial products $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ modulo $2 n+1$.

Considering just the $\mathcal{D}_{n}$, we want to compute

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D_{0} D_{1} \bmod 3 \\
D_{0} D_{1} D_{2} \bmod 5 \\
D_{0} D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} \bmod 7 \\
\vdots \\
D_{0} D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} \cdots D_{(N-1) / 2} \bmod N
\end{array}
$$

Doing this naïvely takes $O\left(N^{2+\epsilon}\right)$ time, but it can be done in $O\left(N^{1+\epsilon}\right)$ time.

## Remainder trees

Let $X$ be the elliptic curve $y^{2}=x^{3}+x+1$ (so $a=b=1$ ).
Let us compute $\mathcal{D}_{n}=\prod_{0 \leq i \leq n} D_{n} \bmod (2 n+1)$ for $1 \leq n<8$, where $D_{0}=1$ and $D_{i}=2(i+2)(2 i-1) b$ for $i>0$.
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(1) Can be used over any ring (not necessarily commutative) to compute a sequence of partial products modulo a sequence of principal ideals (we use the ring $\mathbb{Z}^{g \times g}$ and work moduli $p^{g}$ to avoid zero denominators).
(2) Provided multiplication and reduction of ring elements take quasi-linear time, the entire algorithm runs in quasi-linear time.
(3) One can reduce the space by a log factor (without increasing the time) using a forest of remainder trees and propagating results at the roots.
(9) When many moduli are trivial, space can be further reduced (by another log factor in our setting).
(6) A time-space trade-off can be used to reduce space even more, but we do not need to do this.

## Comparison
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In genus 2 the new algorithm already outperforms smalljac when $N>2^{21}$. The prospects in genus 3 look even better (work in progress).

Next steps: generalize to non-hyperelliptic curves of genus 3 .
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