### Telescopes for mathematicians

Andrew V. Sutherland Massachusetts Institute of Technology July 30, 2014







### Sato-Tate in dimension 1

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve, which we can write in the form

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b,$$

and let *p* be a prime of good reduction  $(4a^3 + 27b^2 \not\equiv 0 \mod p)$ .

The number of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -points on the reduction  $E_p$  of E modulo p is

$$#E_p(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - t_p,$$

where the trace of Frobenius  $t_p$  is an integer in  $[-2\sqrt{p}, 2\sqrt{p}]$ .

We are interested in the limiting distribution of  $x_p = -t_p/\sqrt{p} \in [-2, 2]$ , as *p* varies over primes of good reduction.

Example:  $y^2 = x^3 + x + 1$ 

р	$t_p$	$x_p$	p	$t_p$	$x_p$	p	$t_p$	$x_p$
3	0	0.000000	71	13	-1.542816	157	-13	1.037513
5	-3	1.341641	73	2	-0.234082	163	-25	1.958151
7	3	-1.133893	79	-6	0.675053	167	24	-1.857176
11	-2	0.603023	83	-6	0.658586	173	2	-0.152057
13	-4	1.109400	89	-10	1.059998	179	0	0.000000
17	0	0.000000	97	1	-0.101535	181	-8	0.594635
19	-1	0.229416	101	-3	0.298511	191	-25	1.808937
23	-4	0.834058	103	17	-1.675060	193	-7	0.503871
29	-6	1.114172	107	3	-0.290021	197	-24	1.709929
37	-10	1.643990	109	-13	1.245174	199	-18	1.275986
41	7	-1.093216	113	-11	1.034793	211	-11	0.757271
43	10	-1.524986	127	2	-0.177471	223	-20	1.339299
47	-12	1.750380	131	4	-0.349482	227	0	0.000000
53	-4	0.549442	137	12	-1.025229	229	$^{-2}$	0.132164
59	-3	0.390567	139	14	-1.187465	233	-3	0.196537
61	12	-1.536443	149	14	-1.146925	239	-22	1.423062
67	12	-1.466033	151	$^{-2}$	0.162758	241	22	-1.417145

http://math.mit.edu/~drew/glSatoTateDistributions.html

Andrew V. Sutherland (MIT)

Andrew V. Sutherland (MIT)

Andrew V. Sutherland (MIT)

# Sato-Tate distributions in dimension 1

### 1. Typical case (no CM)

Elliptic curves  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  w/o CM have the semi-circular trace distribution. (This is also known for E/k, where k is a totally real number field).

[Taylor et al.]

#### 2. Exceptional cases (CM)

Elliptic curves E/k with CM have one of two distinct trace distributions, depending on whether k contains the CM field or not.

[classical]

The *Sato-Tate group* of *E* is a closed subgroup *G* of SU(2) = USp(2) derived from the  $\ell$ -adic Galois representation attached to *E*.

The refined Sato-Tate conjecture implies that the normalized trace distribution of E converges to the distribution of traces in G given by the Haar measure.

G
$$G/G^0$$
Ek $E[a_1^0], E[a_1^2], E[a_1^4] \dots$  $U(1)$  $C_1$  $y^2 = x^3 + 1$  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$  $1, 2, 6, 20, 70, 252, \dots$  $N(U(1))$  $C_2$  $y^2 = x^3 + 1$  $\mathbb{Q}$  $1, 1, 3, 10, 35, 126, \dots$  $SU(2)$  $C_1$  $y^2 = x^3 + x + 1$  $\mathbb{Q}$  $1, 1, 2, 5, 14, 42, \dots$ 

In dimension 1 there are three possible Sato-Tate groups, two of which arise for elliptic curves defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

### Zeta functions and L-polynomials

For a smooth projective curve  $C/\mathbb{Q}$  of genus g and each prime p of good redution for C we have the *zeta function* 

$$Z(C_p/\mathbb{F}_p;T) := \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k T^k/k\right),$$

where  $N_k = \#C_p(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})$ . This is a rational function of the form

$$Z(C_p/\mathbb{F}_p;T) = \frac{L_p(T)}{(1-T)(1-pT)},$$

where  $L_p(T)$  is an integer polynomial of degree 2g.

For 
$$g = 1$$
 we have  $L_p(t) = pT^2 + c_1T + 1$ , and for  $g = 2$ ,

$$L_p(T) = p^2 T^4 + c_1 p T^3 + c_2 T^2 + c_1 T + 1.$$

### Normalized L-polynomials

The normalized polynomial

$$\bar{L}_p(T) := L_p(T/\sqrt{p}) = \sum_{i=0}^{2g} a_i T^i \in \mathbb{R}[T]$$

is monic, reciprocal ( $a_i = a_{2g-i}$ ), and unitary (roots on the unit circle). The coefficients  $a_i$  necessarily satisfy  $|a_i| \leq \binom{2g}{i}$ .

We now consider the limiting distribution of  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_g$  over all primes  $p \leq N$  of good reduction, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

In this talk we will focus primarily on the case g = 2.

http://math.mit.edu/~drew/g2SatoTateDistributions.html

Andrew V. Sutherland (MIT)

Andrew V. Sutherland (MIT)

Andrew V. Sutherland (MIT)

Andrew V. Sutherland (MIT)

# Exceptional trace distributions of genus 2 curves $C/\mathbb{Q}$



NAMES A ADDRESS AND ADDRESS ADDRES



Andrew V. Sutherland (MIT)

#### Telescopes for mathematician

July 30, 2014 16 / 34

### The Sato-Tate group

For each prime  $\ell$  and abelian variety A/k, the action of  $G_k := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ on  $V_{\ell}(A) := (\lim_{k \to \infty} A[\ell^n]) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$  induces a Galois representation

 $\rho_{\ell} \colon G_k \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}(V_{\ell}(A)) \simeq \operatorname{GSp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}).$ 

Fixing an embedding  $\iota \colon \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we now apply

$$\ker(G_k \to \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}^{\times}) \xrightarrow{\rho_{\ell}} \operatorname{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \operatorname{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{C}),$$

and define  $ST_A$  to be a maximal compact subgroup of the image.

Conjecturally, ST<sub>A</sub> does not depend on  $\ell$  or  $\iota$ ; this is known for  $g \leq 3$ .

Definition [Serre] ST<sub>A</sub>  $\subseteq$  USp(2g) is the Sato-Tate group of A.

### The Sato-Tate conjecture

Let  $s(\mathfrak{p})$  denote the conjugacy class of  $\rho_{\ell}(\operatorname{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})/\sqrt{\|\mathfrak{p}\|} \in \operatorname{ST}_A$ .

Let  $\mu_{ST_A}$  denote the image of the Haar measure on  $Conj(ST_A)$ , which does not depend on the choice of  $\ell$  or  $\iota$ .

#### Conjecture

The conjugacy classes s(p) are equidistributed with respect to  $\mu_{ST_A}$ .

In particular, the distribution of  $\bar{L}_{p}(T)$  matches the distribution of characteristic polynomials of random matrices in  $ST_{A}$ .

We can test this numerically by comparing statistics of the coefficients  $a_1, \ldots, a_g$  of  $\bar{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(T)$  over  $\|\mathfrak{p}\| \leq N$  to the predictions given by  $\mu_{\mathrm{ST}_A}$ .

```
https://hensel.mit.edu:8000/home/pub/6
```

### The Sato-Tate axioms

The Sato-Tate axioms for abelian varieties (weight-1 motives):

- *G* is closed subgroup of USp(2g).
- Hodge condition: G contains a Hodge circle<sup>1</sup> whose conjugates generate a dense subset of G.
- **3** Rationality condition: for each component *H* of *G* and each irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $GL_{2g}(\mathbb{C})$  we have  $E[\chi(\gamma) : \gamma \in H] \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For any fixed g, the set of subgroups  $G \subseteq USp(2g)$  that satisfy the *Sato-Tate axioms* is **finite** up to conjugacy.

<sup>1</sup>An embedding  $\theta \colon U(1) \to G^0$  where  $\theta(u)$  has eigenvalue u with multiplicity g.

### The Sato-Tate axioms

The Sato-Tate axioms for abelian varieties (weight-1 motives):

- *G* is closed subgroup of USp(2g).
- Hodge condition: G contains a Hodge circle<sup>1</sup> whose conjugates generate a dense subset of G.
- **3** Rationality condition: for each component *H* of *G* and each irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $\operatorname{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{C})$  we have  $\operatorname{E}[\chi(\gamma) : \gamma \in H] \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For any fixed g, the set of subgroups  $G \subseteq USp(2g)$  that satisfy the *Sato-Tate axioms* is **finite** up to conjugacy.

#### Theorem

For  $g \leq 3$ , the group ST<sub>A</sub> satisfies the Sato-Tate axioms.

This is expected to hold for all g.

<sup>1</sup>An embedding  $\theta$ : U(1)  $\rightarrow$   $G^0$  where  $\theta(u)$  has eigenvalue u with multiplicity g.

### Theorem 1 [FKRS 2012]

Up to conjugacy, 55 subgroups of  $\ensuremath{\mathrm{USp}}(4)$  satisfy the Sato-Tate axioms:

U(1) U(1) SU(2)

### Theorem 1 [FKRS 2012]

Up to conjugacy, 55 subgroups of USp(4) satisfy the Sato-Tate axioms:

U(1):	$C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6, D_2, D_3, D_4, D_6, T, O,$
	$J(C_1), J(C_2), J(C_3), J(C_4), J(C_6),$
	$J(D_2), J(D_3), J(D_4), J(D_6), J(T), J(O),$
	$C_{2,1}, C_{4,1}, C_{6,1}, D_{2,1}, D_{3,2}, D_{4,1}, D_{4,2}, D_{6,1}, D_{6,2}, O_1$
SU(2):	$E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_6, J(E_1), J(E_2), J(E_3), J(E_4), J(E_6)$
$U(1) \times U(1)$ :	$F, F_a, F_c, F_{a,b}, F_{ab}, F_{ac}, F_{ab,c}, F_{a,b,c}$
$U(1) \times SU(2)$ :	$U(1) \times SU(2), N(U(1) \times SU(2))$
$SU(2) \times SU(2)$ :	$SU(2) \times SU(2), N(SU(2) \times SU(2))$
USp(4):	USp(4)

Of these, exactly 52 arise as  $ST_A$  for an abelian surface A (34 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

### Theorem 1 [FKRS 2012]

Up to conjugacy, 55 subgroups of USp(4) satisfy the Sato-Tate axioms:

U(1):	$C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_6, D_2, D_3, D_4, D_6, T, O,$
	$J(C_1), J(C_2), J(C_3), J(C_4), J(C_6),$
	$J(D_2), J(D_3), J(D_4), J(D_6), J(T), J(O),$
	$C_{2,1}, C_{4,1}, C_{6,1}, D_{2,1}, D_{3,2}, D_{4,1}, D_{4,2}, D_{6,1}, D_{6,2}, O_1$
SU(2):	$E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_6, J(E_1), J(E_2), J(E_3), J(E_4), J(E_6)$
$U(1) \times U(1)$ :	$F, F_a, F_c, F_{a,b}, F_{ab}, F_{ac}, F_{ab,c}, F_{a,b,c}$
$U(1) \times SU(2)$ :	$U(1) \times SU(2), N(U(1) \times SU(2))$
$SU(2) \times SU(2)$ :	$SU(2) \times SU(2), N(SU(2) \times SU(2))$
USp(4):	USp(4)

Of these, exactly 52 arise as  $ST_A$  for an abelian surface A (34 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

This theorem says nothing about equidistribution, however this is now known in many special cases [FS 2012, Johansson 2013].

Andrew V. Sutherland (MIT)

Sato-Tate groups in dimension 2 with  $G^0 = U(1)$ .

d	с	G	$G/G^0$	$z_1$	z2	$M[a_1^2]$	$M[a_2]$
1	1	$C_1$	C1	0	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	8, 96, 1280, 17920	4, 18, 88, 454
1	2	$C_2$	C2	1	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	4, 48, 640, 8960	2, 10, 44, 230
1	3	$C_3$	C3	0	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	4, 36, 440, 6020	2, 8, 34, 164
1	4	$C_4$	$C_4$	1	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	4, 36, 400, 5040	2, 8, 32, 150
1	6	$C_6$	C <sub>6</sub>	1	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	4, 36, 400, 4900	2, 8, 32, 148
1	4	$D_2$	D <sub>2</sub>	3	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	2, 24, 320, 4480	1, 6, 22, 118
1	6	$D_3$	D <sub>3</sub>	3	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	2, 18, 220, 3010	1, 5, 17, 85
1	8	$D_4$	$D_4$	5	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	2, 18, 200, 2520	1, 5, 16, 78
1	12	$D_6$	D <sub>6</sub>	7	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	2, 18, 200, 2450	1, 5, 16, 77
1	2	$J(C_1)$	C2	1	1, 0, 0, 0, 0	4, 48, 640, 8960	1, 11, 40, 235
1	4	$J(C_2)$	$D_2$	3	1, 0, 0, 0, 1	2, 24, 320, 4480	1, 7, 22, 123
1	6	$J(C_3)$	C <sub>6</sub>	3	1, 0, 0, 2, 0	2, 18, 220, 3010	1, 5, 16, 85
1	8	$J(C_4)$	$C_4 \times C_2$	5	1, 0, 2, 0, 1	2, 18, 200, 2520	1, 5, 16, 79
1	12	$J(C_6)$	$C_6 \times C_2$	7	1, 2, 0, 2, 1	2, 18, 200, 2450	1, 5, 16, 77
1	8	$J(D_2)$	$D_2 \times C_2$	7	1, 0, 0, 0, 3	1, 12, 160, 2240	1, 5, 13, 67
1	12	$J(D_3)$	D <sub>6</sub>	9	1, 0, 0, 2, 3	1, 9, 110, 1505	1, 4, 10, 48
1	16	$J(D_4)$	$D_4 \times C_2$	13	1, 0, 2, 0, 5	1, 9, 100, 1260	1, 4, 10, 45
1	24	$J(D_6)$	$D_6 \times C_2$	19	1, 2, 0, 2, 7	1, 9, 100, 1225	1, 4, 10, 44
1	2	$C_{2,1}$	C <sub>2</sub>	1	0, 0, 0, 0, 1	4, 48, 640, 8960	3, 11, 48, 235
1	4	$C_{4,1}$	$C_4$	3	0, 0, 2, 0, 0	2, 24, 320, 4480	1, 5, 22, 115
1	6	$C_{6,1}$	C <sub>6</sub>	3	0, 2, 0, 0, 1	2, 18, 220, 3010	1, 5, 18, 85
1	4	$D_{2,1}$	D <sub>2</sub>	3	0, 0, 0, 0, 2	2, 24, 320, 4480	2, 7, 26, 123
1	8	$D_{4,1}$	$D_4$	7	0, 0, 2, 0, 2	1, 12, 160, 2240	1, 4, 13, 63
1	12	$D_{6,1}$	D <sub>6</sub>	9	0, 2, 0, 0, 4	1, 9, 110, 1505	1, 4, 11, 48
1	6	$D_{3,2}$	$D_3$	3	0, 0, 0, 0, 3	2, 18, 220, 3010	2, 6, 21, 90
1	8	$D_{4,2}$	$D_4$	5	0, 0, 0, 0, 4	2, 18, 200, 2520	2, 6, 20, 83
1	12	$D_{6,2}$	D <sub>6</sub>	7	0, 0, 0, 0, 6	2, 18, 200, 2450	2, 6, 20, 82
1	12	T	A <sub>4</sub>	3	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	2, 12, 120, 1540	1, 4, 12, 52
1	24	0	S <sub>4</sub>	9	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	2, 12, 100, 1050	1, 4, 11, 45
1	24	01	$S_4$	15	0, 0, 6, 0, 6	1, 6, 60, 770	1, 3, 8, 30
1	24	J(T)	$A_4 \times C_2$	15	1, 0, 0, 8, 3	1, 6, 60, 770	1, 3, 7, 29
1	48	J(O)	$S_4 \times C_2$	33	1, 0, 6, 8, 9	1, 6, 50, 525	1, 3, 7, 26

Andrew V. Sutherland (MIT)

d	С	G	$G/G^0$	$z_1$	$z_2$	$M[a_1^2]$	$M[a_2]$
3	1	$E_1$	C1	0	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	4, 32, 320, 3584	3, 10, 37, 150
3	2	$E_2$	$C_2$	1	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	2, 16, 160, 1792	1, 6, 17, 78
3	3	$E_3$	C3	0	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	2, 12, 110, 1204	1, 4, 13, 52
3	4	$E_4$	$C_4$	1	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	2, 12, 100, 1008	1, 4, 11, 46
3	6	$E_6$	C <sub>6</sub>	1	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	2, 12, 100, 980	1, 4, 11, 44
3	2	$J(E_1)$	$C_2$	1	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	2, 16, 160, 1792	2, 6, 20, 78
3	4	$J(E_2)$	D <sub>2</sub>	3	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	1, 8, 80, 896	1, 4, 10, 42
3	6	$J(E_3)$	D <sub>3</sub>	3	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	1, 6, 55, 602	1, 3, 8, 29
3	8	$J(E_4)$	$D_4$	5	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	1, 6, 50, 504	1, 3, 7, 26
3	12	$J(E_6)$	D <sub>6</sub>	7	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	1, 6, 50, 490	1, 3, 7, 25
2	1	F	$C_1$	0	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	4, 36, 400, 4900	2, 8, 32, 148
2	2	$F_a$	$C_2$	0	0, 0, 0, 0, 1	3, 21, 210, 2485	2, 6, 20, 82
2	2	$F_c$	$C_2$	1	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	2, 18, 200, 2450	1, 5, 16, 77
2	2	$F_{ab}$	$C_2$	1	0, 0, 0, 0, 1	2, 18, 200, 2450	2, 6, 20, 82
2	4	$F_{ac}$	$C_4$	3	0, 0, 2, 0, 1	1, 9, 100, 1225	1, 3, 10, 41
2	4	$F_{a,b}$	$D_2$	1	0, 0, 0, 0, 3	2, 12, 110, 1260	2, 5, 14, 49
2	4	$F_{ab,c}$	D <sub>2</sub>	3	0, 0, 0, 0, 1	1, 9, 100, 1225	1, 4, 10, 44
2	8	$F_{a,b,c}$	$D_4$	5	0, 0, 2, 0, 3	1, 6, 55, 630	1, 3, 7, 26
4	1	$G_4$	C1	0	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	3, 20, 175, 1764	2, 6, 20, 76
4	2	$N(G_4)$	C <sub>2</sub>	0	0, 0, 0, 0, 1	2, 11, 90, 889	2, 5, 14, 46
6	1	$G_6$	C1	0	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	2, 10, 70, 588	2, 5, 14, 44
6	2	$N(G_6)$	C <sub>2</sub>	1	0, 0, 0, 0, 0	1, 5, 35, 294	1, 3, 7, 23
10	1	USp(4)	C1	0	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	1, 3, 14, 84	1, 2, 4, 10

Sato-Tate groups in dimension 2 with  $G^0 \neq U(1)$ .

### Galois types

Let A be an abelian variety defined over a number field k.

Let *K* be the minimal extension of *k* for which  $\text{End}(A_K) = \text{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$ .

 $\operatorname{Gal}(K/k)$  acts on the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra  $\operatorname{End}(A_K)_{\mathbb{R}} = \operatorname{End}(A_K) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ .

#### Definition

The *Galois type* of *A* is the isomorphism class of  $[Gal(K/k), End(A_K)_{\mathbb{R}}]$ , where  $[G, E] \simeq [G', E']$  iff there are isomorphisms  $G \simeq G'$  and  $E \simeq E'$ that are compatible with the the Galois action.

#### Note: in several cases $G \simeq G'$ and $E \simeq E'$ , but $[G, E] \not\simeq [G', E']$ .

### Galois types and Sato-Tate groups in dimension 2

### Theorem 2 [FKRS 2012]

Up to conjugacy, the Sato-Tate group G of an abelian surface A is uniquely determined by its Galois type, and vice versa.

We also have  $G/G^0 \simeq \text{Gal}(K/k)$ , and  $G^0$  is uniquely determined by the isomorphism class of  $\text{End}(A_K)_{\mathbb{R}}$ , and vice versa:

U(1)	$M_2(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathrm{U}(1) imes\mathrm{SU}(2)$	$\mathbb{C} \times$	$\mathbb{R}$
SU(2)	$M_2(\mathbb{R})$	${ m SU}(2) imes { m SU}(2)$	$\mathbb{R} \times$	$\mathbb R$
$U(1) \times U(1)$	$\mathbb{C}  imes \mathbb{C}$	USp(4)	$\mathbb{R}$	

There are 52 distinct Galois types of abelian surfaces.

The proof uses the *algebraic Sato-Tate group* of Banaszak and Kedlaya, which, for  $g \le 3$ , uniquely determines  $ST_A$ .

### Searching for curves

We surveyed the  $\bar{L}$ -polynomial distributions of genus 2 curves

$$y^2 = x^5 + c_4 x^4 + c_3 x^3 + c_2 x^2 + c_1 x + c_0,$$

$$y^{2} = x^{6} + c_{5}x^{5} + c_{4}x^{4} + c_{3}x^{3} + c_{2}x^{2} + c_{1}x + c_{0},$$

with integer coefficients  $|c_i| \leq 128$ , over  $2^{48}$  curves.

We found over 10 million non-isogenous curves with exceptional distributions, including at least 3 apparent matches for all of our target Sato-Tate groups.

Representative examples were computed to high precision  $N = 2^{30}$ .

For each example, the field *K* was then determined, allowing the Galois type, and hence the Sato-Tate group, to be **provably** identified.

### Exhibiting Sato-Tate groups of abelian surfaces

Remarkably, the 34 Sato-Tate groups that can arise for an abelian surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  can all be realized via Jacobians of genus 2 curves.

By extending the base field from  $\mathbb{Q}$  to a suitable subfield *k* of *K*, we can restrict  $G/G^0 \simeq \text{Gal}(K/k)$  to any normal subgroup of Gal(K/k) (this does not change the identity component  $G^0$ ).

This allows us to realize all 52 Sato-Tate groups using 34 curves.

In fact, these 52 Sato-Tate groups can be realized using just 9 hyperelliptic curves over varying base fields.

Genus 2 curves realizing Sato-Tate groups with  $G^0 = U(1)$ 

Group	Curve $y^2 = f(x)$	k	Κ
C1	$x^{6} + 1$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$
$C_2$	$x^{5} - x$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$	$\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2})$
$C_3$	$x^{6} + 4$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3},\sqrt[3]{2})$
$C_4$	$x^6 + x^5 - 5x^4 - 5x^2 - x + 1$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}, a); a^4 + 17a^2 + 68 = 0$
$C_6$	$x^{6} + 2$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3},\sqrt{2})$
$D_2$	$x^{5} + 9x$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$	$\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$
$D_3$	$x^6 + 10x^3 - 2$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3},\sqrt[6]{-2})$
$D_4$	$x^{5} + 3x$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$	$Q(i, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt[4]{3})$
$D_6$	$x^{6} + 3x^{5} + 10x^{3} - 15x^{2} + 15x - 6$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$	$\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, a); a^3 + 3a - 2 = 0$
Т	$x^6 + 6x^5 - 20x^4 + 20x^3 - 20x^2 - 8x + 8$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}, a, b);$
			$a^3 - 7a + 7 = b^4 + 4b^2 + 8b + 8 = 0$
0	$x^6 - 5x^4 + 10x^3 - 5x^2 + 2x - 1$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2},\sqrt{-11},a,b);$
			$a^3 - 4a + 4 = b^4 + 22b + 22 = 0$
$J(C_1)$	$x^3 - x$	$\mathbb{Q}(i)$	$\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2})$
$J(C_2)$	$x^3 - x$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2})$
$J(C_3)$	$x^{6} + 10x^{3} - 2$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3},\sqrt[4]{-2})$
$J(C_4)$	$x^{6} + x^{3} - 5x^{4} - 5x^{2} - x + 1$	Q	see entry for $C_4$
$J(C_6)$	$x^{6} - 15x^{4} - 20x^{3} + 6x + 1$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{3}, a); a^3 + 3a^2 - 1 = 0$
$J(D_2)$	$x^{3} + 9x$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(i,\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3})$
$J(D_3)$	$x^{b} + 10x^{3} - 2$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3},\sqrt[4]{-2})$
$J(D_4)$	$x^{2} + 3x$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(i,\sqrt{2},\sqrt[4]{3})$
$J(D_6)$	$x^{6} + 3x^{3} + 10x^{3} - 15x^{2} + 15x - 6$	Q	see entry for D <sub>6</sub>
J(T)	$x^{0} + 6x^{3} - 20x^{4} + 20x^{3} - 20x^{2} - 8x + 8$	Q	see entry for T
J(O)	$x^{0} - 5x^{4} + 10x^{3} - 5x^{2} + 2x - 1$	Q	see entry for O
$C_{2,1}$	$x^{0} + 1$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$
$C_{4.1}$	$x^{3} + 2x$	$\mathbb{Q}(i)$	$\mathbb{Q}(i,\sqrt{2})$
$C_{6,1}$	$x^{0} + 6x^{9} - 30x^{4} + 20x^{9} + 15x^{2} - 12x + 1$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, a); a^3 - 3a + 1 = 0$
$D_{2,1}$	$x^3 + x$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2})$
$D_{4,1}$	$x^{5} + 2x$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt[4]{2})$
$D_{6,1}$	$x^6 + 6x^5 - 30x^4 - 40x^3 + 60x^2 + 24x - 8$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}, \sqrt{-3}, a); a^3 - 9a + 6 = 0$
$D_{3,2}$	$x^{6} + 4$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3},\sqrt[3]{2})$
$D_{4,2}$	$x^6 + x^5 + 10x^3 + 5x^2 + x - 2$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}, a); a^4 - 14a^2 + 28a - 14 = 0$
$D_{6,2}$	$x^{6} + 2$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt[6]{2})$
01	$x^{6} + 7x^{5} + 10x^{4} + 10x^{3} + 15x^{2} + 17x + 4$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}, a, b);$
			$a^{3} + 5a + 10 = b^{4} + 4b^{2} + 8b + 2 = 0$

Group	Curve $y^2 = f(x)$	k	Κ
F	$x^6 + 3x^4 + x^2 - 1$	$\mathbb{Q}(i,\sqrt{2})$	$\mathbb{Q}(i,\sqrt{2})$
Fa	$x^{6} + 3x^{4} + x^{2} - 1$	$\mathbb{Q}(i)$	$\mathbb{Q}(i,\sqrt{2})$
F <sub>ab</sub>	$x^6 + 3x^4 + x^2 - 1$	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$	$\mathbb{Q}(i,\sqrt{2})$
Fac	$x^5 + 1$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(a); a^4 + 5a^2 + 5 = 0$
$F_{a,b}$	$x^6 + 3x^4 + x^2 - 1$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(i,\sqrt{2})$
$E_1$	$x^{6} + x^{4} + x^{2} + 1$	Q	Q
$E_2$	$x^6 + x^5 + 3x^4 + 3x^2 - x + 1$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$
$E_3$	$x^5 + x^4 - 3x^3 - 4x^2 - x$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(a); a^3 - 3a + 1 = 0$
$E_4$	$x^5 + x^4 + x^2 - x$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(a); a^4 - 5a^2 + 5 = 0$
$E_6$	$x^5 + 2x^4 - x^3 - 3x^2 - x$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{7}, a); a^3 - 7a - 7 = 0$
$J(E_1)$	$x^5 + x^3 + x$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(i)$
$J(E_2)$	$x^{5} + x^{3} - x$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(i,\sqrt{2})$
$J(E_3)$	$x^6 + x^3 + 4$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt[3]{2})$
$J(E_4)$	$x^5 + x^3 + 2x$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt[4]{2})$
$J(E_6)$	$x^{6} + x^{3} - 2$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt[6]{-2})$
G1,3	$x^6 + 3x^4 - 2$	$\mathbb{Q}(i)$	$\mathbb{Q}(i)$
$N(G_{1,3})$	$x^6 + 3x^4 - 2$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(i)$
G <sub>3,3</sub>	$x^{6} + x^{2} + 1$	Q	Q
$N(G_{3,3})$	$x^{6} + x^{5} + x - 1$	Q	$\mathbb{Q}(i)$
USp(4)	$x^5 - x + 1$	Q	Q

Genus 2 curves realizing Sato-Tate groups with  ${\it G}^0 \neq {\rm U}(1)$ 

### Computing zeta functions

Algorithms to compute  $L_p(T)$  for low genus hyperelliptic curves:

	complexity			
	(ignorir	(ignoring factors of $O(\log \log p)$ )		
algorithm	g = 1	g = 2	<i>g</i> = 3	
point enumeration	$p \log p$	$p^2 \log p$	$p^3 \log p$	
group computation	$p^{1/4}\log p$	$p^{3/4}\log p$	$p^{5/4}\log p$	
p-adic cohomology	$p^{1/2}\log^2 p$	$p^{1/2}\log^2 p$	$p^{1/2}\log^2 p$	
CRT (Schoof-Pila)	$\log^5 p$	$\log^8 p$	$\log^{12} p$	

### An average polynomial-time algorithm

All of the methods above perform separate computations for each p. But we want to compute  $L_p(T)$  for all good  $p \le N$  using reductions of *the same curve* in each case.

### An average polynomial-time algorithm

All of the methods above perform separate computations for each p. But we want to compute  $L_p(T)$  for all good  $p \le N$  using reductions of *the same curve* in each case.

#### Theorem (Harvey 2012)

There exists a deterministic algorithm that, given a hyperelliptic curve  $y^2 = f(x)$  of genus g with a rational Weierstrass point and an integer N, computes  $L_p(T)$  for all good primes  $p \le N$  in time

 $O(g^{8+\epsilon}N\log^{3+\epsilon}N),$ 

assuming the coefficients of  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  have size bounded by  $O(\log N)$ .

Average time is  $O(g^{8+\epsilon} \log^{4+\epsilon} N)$  per prime, polynomial in *g* and  $\log p$ . Recently generalized to arbitrary arithmetic schemes (in principle).

### An average polynomial-time algorithm

#### complexity

(ignoring factors of  $O(\log \log p)$ )

algorithm	g = 1	g = 2	<i>g</i> = 3
point enumeration	$p \log p$	$p^2 \log p$	$p^3 \log p$
group computation	$p^{1/4}\log p$	$p^{3/4}\log p$	$p^{5/4}\log p$
<i>p</i> -adic cohomology	$p^{1/2}\log^2 p$	$p^{1/2}\log^2 p$	$p^{1/2}\log^2 p$
CRT (Schoof-Pila)	$\log^5 p$	$\log^8 p$	$\log^{12} p$
Average polytime	$\log^4 p$	$\log^4 p$	$\log^4 p$

But is it practical?

		d = 5		d	= 6
N	new (2+)	new (1)	smalljac	new (0)	smalljac
214	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3
$2^{15}$	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5
$2^{16}$	0.3	0.8	1.2	1.4	1.4
$2^{17}$	0.8	1.8	3.7	3.4	4.4
$2^{18}$	2.1	4.7	13.3	8.6	15.2
$2^{19}$	5.0	11.1	56.2	17.5	66.4
$2^{20}$	12.6	26.0	257	46.3	284
$2^{21}$	30.5	61.4	828	108	914
$2^{22}$	72.4	142	2630	254	2900
$2^{23}$	170	321	8570	583	9520
$2^{24}$	400	729	28000	1340	31100
$2^{25}$	923	1660	92300	3030	102000
$2^{26}$	2140	3800	316000	6827	349000

Genus 2 comparison of **new** algorithm (# rat Weierstrass pts) with **smalljac**. (Times in CPU seconds).

	d = 7					
N	<b>new</b> (3+)	<b>new</b> (2)	<b>new</b> (1)	hypellfrob	(0)	
2 <sup>14</sup>	0.2	0.3	0.3	6.8	0.5	
2 <sup>15</sup>	0.5	0.7	1.0	15.6	1.5	
2 <sup>16</sup>	1.2	1.9	2.7	37.6	4.3	
$2^{17}$	3.0	5.1	7.0	95.0	11.1	
$2^{18}$	7.5	12.3	16.3	250	28.1	
2 <sup>19</sup>	18.1	29.6	38.7	681	67.3	
$2^{20}$	43.4	70.4	91.7	1920	161	
$2^{21}$	105	169	212	5460	385	
$2^{22}$	246	405	489	16300	921	
$2^{23}$	571	948	1123	49400	2140	
$2^{24}$	1330	2220	2540	152000	4980	
2 <sup>25</sup>	3090	5130	6510	467000	11500	
$2^{26}$	7190	11900	16600	1490000	26500	

Genus 3 comparison of new (# rat Weierstrass pts) with hypellfrob.

(Times in CPU seconds).

Andrew V. Sutherland (MIT)

Andrew V. Sutherland (MIT)

Andrew V. Sutherland (MIT)