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## Supersingular elliptic curves

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field of characteristic $p$.
Recall that elliptic curves over finite fields come in two flavors: ordinary and supersingular.
ordinary

$$
E[p] \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}
$$

$$
\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \not \equiv 1 \bmod p
$$

$\operatorname{End}(E)$ is an order in an imaginary quadratic field

## supersingular

$E[p]$ is trivial

$$
\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \equiv 1 \bmod p
$$

$\operatorname{End}(E)$ is an order in a quaternion algebra

## Distribution of supersingular elliptic curves

Whether a curve $E$ is supersingular or not depends only on its $j$-invariant $j(E)$, which identifies $E$ up to isomorphism (over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ ).

If $E$ is supersingular then $j(E) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$, so we assume $q$ is $p$ or $p^{2}$.
There are $\frac{p}{12}+O(1)$ supersingular $j$-invariants in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$.
Of these, $O(h(-p))=\tilde{O}(\sqrt{p})$ lie in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$.
In either case, the probability that a random elliptic curve $E / \mathbb{F}_{q}$ is supersingular is $\tilde{O}(1 / \sqrt{q})$, which makes them very rare.

However, every elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ is supersingular modulo infinitely many primes $p$, by a theorem of Elkies.

## Identifying supersingular elliptic curves

Problem: Given $E$ : $y^{2}=f(x)=x^{3}+A x+B$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, determine whether $E$ is ordinary or supersingular.

There is a fast Monte Carlo test that can prove $E$ is ordinary.
Pick a random point $P$ on $E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.
If $q=p$, test whether $(p+1) P \neq 0$.
If $q=p^{2}$, test whether $(p+1) P \neq 0$ and $(p-1) P \neq 0$.
If the tested condition holds, then $E$ must be ordinary. If $E$ is in fact ordinary, each iteration of this test will succeed with probability $1-O(1 / \sqrt{q})$.

But this test can never prove that $E$ supersingular.

## Identifying supersingular elliptic curves

Problem: Given $E$ : $y^{2}=f(x)=x^{3}+A x+B$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, determine whether $E$ is ordinary or supersingular.

Solution 1: Compute the coefficient of $x^{p-1}$ in $f(x)^{(p-1) / 2}$. This takes time exponential in $n=\log p$.

Solution 2: Compute $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ using Schoof's algorithm. This takes $\tilde{\boldsymbol{O}}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{5}\right)$ time.

Solution 3: Check that $\Phi_{\ell}(j(E), Y)$ splits completely in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ for sufficiently many primes $\ell$ (similar to SEA). This takes $\tilde{\boldsymbol{O}}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{4}\right)$ expected time.

This talk: Use isogeny graphs. This takes $\tilde{\boldsymbol{O}}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{3}\right)$ expected time.

## The graph of $\ell$-isogenies

The classical modular polynomial $\Phi_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ parameterizes pairs of $\ell$-isogenous elliptic curves in terms of their $j$-invariants.

## Definition

The graph $G_{\ell}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ has vertex set $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and for each $j_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ an edge $\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)$ for each root $j_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ of $\Phi_{\ell}\left(j_{1}, Y\right)$, with multiplicity.

Isogenous curves have the same number of rational points. Thus the vertices in each connected component of $G_{\ell}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ are either all ordinary or all supersingular.

As abstract graphs, the ordinary and supersingular components of $G_{\ell}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ have distinctly different structures.

## Supersingular components of $G_{\ell}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)$

If $j_{1}$ is supersingular, then $\phi(Y)=\Phi_{\ell}\left(j_{1}, Y\right)$ splits completely in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$, since every supersingular $j$-invariant lies in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$.

Thus the supersingular vertices in $G_{\ell}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}\right)$ all have degree $\ell+1$, and each supersingular component is an $(\ell+1)$-regular graph.

There is in fact just one supersingular component (but we won't use this).

## Ordinary components of $G_{\ell}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$

Let $E$ be an ordinary elliptic curve.
Then $\operatorname{End}(E) \cong \mathcal{O}$ with $\mathbb{Z}[\pi] \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K}$.
Here $\pi$ is the Frobenius endomorphism and $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, where $D$ is the fundamental imaginary quadratic discriminant satisfying

$$
4 q=\operatorname{tr}(\pi)^{2}-v^{2} D .
$$

Each ordinary component of $G_{\ell}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ consists of levels $V_{0}, \ldots, V_{d}$. The vertex $j(E)$ belongs to level $V_{i}$, where $i=\nu_{\ell}\left(\left[\mathcal{O}_{K}: \mathcal{O}\right]\right)$.

Note that $\ell^{d}$ divides $v$. Therefore

$$
d<\log _{\ell} \sqrt{4 q} .
$$

## $\ell$-volcanoes

Vertices in level $V_{d}$ have degree at most 2.
Vertices in level $V_{i}$ with $i<d$ have degree $\ell+1$.
Ordinary components are not $(\ell+1)$-regular graphs.
They are $\ell$-volcanoes.
The vertices in level $V_{0}$ form a (possibly trivial) cycle. All edges with origin in $V_{0}$ not in this cycle lead to $V_{1}$.

Vertices in level $V_{i}$ with $i>0$ have one edge up to $V_{i-1}$, all other edges ( 0 or $\ell$ of them) lead down to $V_{i+1}$.

Level $V_{0}$ is the surface and $V_{d}$ is the floor (possibly $V_{0}=V_{d}$ ).


## A 3-volcano of depth 2



## Finding a shortest path to the floor



## Algorithm

Given an elliptic curve $E$ over a field of characteristic $p$, determine whether $E$ is ordinary or supersingular as follows:
(1) If $j(E) \notin \mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ then return ordinary.
(2) If $p \leq 3$ then return supersingular (resp. ordinary) if $j(E)=0$ (resp. $j(E) \neq 0$ ).
(3) Attempt to find 3 roots of $\Phi_{2}(j(E), Y)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$. If this is not possible, return ordinary.
(4) Walk 3 paths in parallel for up to $\left\lceil\log _{2} p\right\rceil+1$ steps. If any of these paths hits the floor, return ordinary.
(5) Return supersingular.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{2}(X, Y)=X^{3} & +Y^{3}-X^{2} Y^{2}+1488\left(X^{2} Y+Y^{2} X\right)-162000\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right) \\
& +40773375 X Y+8748000000(X+Y)-157464000000000 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Complexity analysis

## Proposition

Let $n=\log p$.

- We have a Las Vegas algorithm that runs in $O\left(n^{3} \log n \log \log n\right)$ expected time, using $O(n)$ space.
- Given quadratic and cubic non-residues in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$, we have a deterministic algorithm: $O\left(n^{3} \log ^{2} n\right)$ time and $O(n)$ space.
- For a random elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ or $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$, the average running time is $O\left(n^{2} \log n \log \log n\right)$.

The average complexity is the same as a single iteration of the Monte Carlo test, and has better constant factors.

## Performance results (CPU milliseconds)

| $b$ | ordinary |  |  |  | supersingular |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Magma |  | New |  | Magma |  | New |  |
|  | $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ | $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}$ |
| 64 | 1 | 25 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 226 | 770 | 2 | 8 |
| 128 | 2 | 60 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2010 | 9950 | 5 | 13 |
| 192 | 4 | 99 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 8060 | 41800 | 8 | 33 |
| 256 | 7 | 140 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 21700 | 148000 | 20 | 63 |
| 320 | 10 | 186 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 41500 | 313000 | 39 | 113 |
| 384 | 14 | 255 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 95300 | 531000 | 66 | 198 |
| 448 | 19 | 316 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 152000 | 789000 | 105 | 310 |
| 512 | 24 | 402 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 316000 | 2280000 | 164 | 488 |
| 576 | 30 | 484 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 447000 | 3350000 | 229 | 688 |
| 640 | 37 | 595 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 644000 | 4790000 | 316 | 945 |
| 704 | 46 | 706 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 847000 | 6330000 | 444 | 1330 |
| 768 | 55 | 790 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1370000 | 8340000 | 591 | 1770 |
| 832 | 66 | 924 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 1850000 | 10300000 | 793 | 2410 |
| 896 | 78 | 1010 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 2420000 | 12600000 | 1010 | 3040 |
| 960 | 87 | 1180 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 3010000 | 16000000 | 1280 | 3820 |
| 1024 | 101 | 1400 | 4.8 | 3.1 | 5110000 | 35600000 | 1610 | 4880 |
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