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#### Abstract

We give an algebro-combinatorial proof of a general version of Pieri's formula following the approach developed by Fomin and Kirillov in the paper "Quadratic algebras, Dunkl elements, and Schubert calculus." We prove several conjectures posed in their paper. As a consequence, a new proof of classical Pieri's formula for cohomology of complex flag manifolds, and that of its analogue for quantum cohomology is obtained in this paper.


## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to investigate several consequences and generalizations of quantum Monk's formula from [FGP]. In our approach we follow Fomin and Kirillov [FK], who constructed a certain quadratic algebra $\mathcal{E}_{n}$ equipped with a family of pairwise commuting "Dunkl elements," which generate a subalgebra canonically isomorphic to the cohomology ring of complex flag manifold. They observed that Pieri's formula for the cohomology of flag manifolds can be deduced from a certain identity, which conjecturally holds in $\mathcal{E}_{n}$. In this paper a more general Pieri-type formula is proved, which specializes to their conjecture in a particular case.

A quantum deformation of the algebra $\mathcal{E}_{n}$ was also given in [FK], along with the conjecture that its subalgebra generated by the Dunkl elements is canonically isomorphic to the (small) quantum cohomology of the flag manifold. This statement is also a special case of our result.

Pieri's formula for multiplication in the quantum cohomology ring of flag manifolds can also be obtained from our formula (but the opposite is not true). Its classical counterpart is the rule that was formulated by Lascoux and Schützenberger [LS] and proved geometrically by Sottile [S] (see also [W] for a combinatorial proof). Pieri's formula for the quantum cohomology was recently proved by Ciocan-Fontanine [C2], using nontrivial algebro-geometric techniques. By contrast, our proof is combinatorial, and does not rely upon geometry at all-once (quantum) Monk's formula is given. Our proof seems to be new even in the classical case.
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The rest of Introduction is devoted to a brief account of main notions and results related to the classical as well as the quantum cohomology rings of complex flag manifolds. For a more complete story, see [BGG], [FGP], [FP], [Ma], and bibliography therein. Although many of the constructions below can be carried out in a more general setup of an arbitrary semisimple Lie group, only the case of type $A_{n-1}$ is considered in this paper.

Let $F l_{n}$ denote the manifold of complete flags of subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. According to classical Ehresmann's result [E], the Schubert classes $\sigma_{w}$, indexed by the elements $w$ of the symmetric group $S_{n}$, form an additive $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of the cohomology ring $\mathrm{H}^{*}\left(F l_{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ of the flag manifold.

The multiplicative structure of $\mathrm{H}^{*}\left(F l_{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ can be recovered from Borel's theorem [B]. Let $s_{i j}$ be the element of $S_{n}$ that transposes $i$ and $j$. Also let $s_{i}=s_{i i+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, be the Coxeter generators of $S_{n}$. Borel's theorem says that H ${ }^{*}\left(F l_{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is canonically isomorphic, as a graded algebra, to the quotient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{k}=e_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ denotes the $k$ th elementary symmetric polynomial, for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$; and $\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\rangle$ is the ideal generated by the $e_{k}$. The isomorphism is given by explicitly specifying

$$
x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{m} \longmapsto \sigma_{s_{m}}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots, n-1 .
$$

A way to relate these two descriptions of the cohomology ring $\mathrm{H}^{*}\left(F l_{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ was found by Bernstein, Gelfand, and Gelfand [BGG] and Demazure [D]. Lascoux and Schützenberger [LS] then constructed the Schubert polynomials, whose images in the quotient (1) represent the Schubert classes $\sigma_{w}$.

Recently, attention has been drawn to the (small) quantum cohomology ring $\mathrm{QH}^{*}\left(F l_{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ of the flag manifold. We will not give here the definition of quantum cohomology (see e.g. [FP]), but we mention that structure constants of quantum cohomology are 3 -point Gromov-Witten invariants, which count the numbers of certain rational curves and play a role in enumerative algebraic geometry.

As a vector space, the quantum cohomology of $F l_{n}$ is essentially the same as the usual cohomology. More precisely,

$$
\mathrm{QH}^{*}\left(F l_{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{*}\left(F l_{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}\left[q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right]
$$

However, the multiplicative structure in $\mathrm{QH}^{*}\left(F l_{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is different.
A quantum analogue of Borel's theorem was suggested by Givental and Kim [GK], and then justified by $\operatorname{Kim}[\mathrm{K}]$ and Ciocan-Fontanine $[\mathrm{C} 1]$. Let $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{n} \in$ $\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right]$ be the nonidentity coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{1} & q_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0  \tag{2}\\
-1 & x_{2} & q_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & -1 & x_{3} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The $E_{k}$ are certain $q$-deformations of the elementary symmetric polynomials $e_{k}$. If $q_{1}=\cdots=q_{n-1}=0$ then $E_{k}$ specializes to $e_{k}$.

Givental, Kim, and Ciocan-Fontanine showed that the quantum cohomology ring $\mathrm{QH}^{*}\left(F l_{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is canonically isomorphic to the quotient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right] /\left\langle E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{n}\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Just as in the classical case, the isomorphism is given by specifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{m} \longmapsto \sigma_{s_{m}}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots, n-1 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

An important problem is to find the expansion of the quantum product $\sigma_{u} * \sigma_{w}$ of two Schubert classes in the basis of Schubert classes, where "*" denotes the multiplication in the quantum cohomology ring.

This problem was solved, or at least reduced to combinatorics, in [FGP]. In that paper we gave a quantum analogue of the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand theorem and the corresponding deformation of Schubert polynomials of Lascoux and Schützenberger. We also proved there a quantum Monk's formula, which generalizes the classical Monk's result [Mo].

Let us denote $q_{i j}=q_{i} q_{i+1} \cdots q_{j-1}$, for $i<j$.
Theorem 1.1 (Quantum Monk's formula) [FGP, Theorem 1.3] For $w \in S_{n}$ and $1 \leq m<n$, the quantum product of Schubert classes $\sigma_{s_{m}}$ and $\sigma_{w}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{s_{m}} * \sigma_{w}=\sum \sigma_{w s_{a b}}+\sum q_{c d} \sigma_{w s_{c d}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first sum is over all transpositions $s_{a b}$ such that $a \leq m<b$ and $\ell\left(w s_{a b}\right)=$ $\ell(w)+1$, and the second sum is over all transpositions $s_{c d}$ such that $c \leq m<d$ and $\ell\left(w s_{c d}\right)=\ell(w)-\ell\left(s_{c d}\right)=\ell(w)-2(d-c)+1$.

The formula (5) unambiguously determines the multiplicative structure of the quantum cohomology ring $\mathrm{QH}^{*}\left(F l_{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ with respect to the basis of Schubert classes, since this ring is generated by the 2-dimensional classes $\sigma_{s_{r}}$.

As an example, we deduce a rule for the quantum product of any class $\sigma_{w}$ with the class $\sigma_{c(k, m)}$, where $c(k, m)=s_{m-k+1} s_{m-k+2} \cdots s_{m}$ (Corollary 4.3). The main result of our paper is even more general statement (Theorem 3.1) that we call "quantum Pieri's formula." It is formulated in the language of the construction for the cohomology of $F l_{n}$ highlighted by Fomin and Kirillov. We were able to extend some of their results and provide a proof to the following conjectures from [FK]: Conjecture 11.1, Conjecture 13.4, and Conjecture 15.1.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to Sergey Fomin for introducing me to his paper with Anatol Kirillov [FK].

## 2 Definitions

Let us recall the definition of the quadratic algebra $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{p}$ given by Fomin and Kirillov [FK, Section 15]. (Their notation is slightly different from ours.) The algebra $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{p}$ is generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by the elements $\tau_{i j}$ and $p_{i j}, i, j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, subject to the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{i j}=-\tau_{j i}, \quad \tau_{i i}=0,  \tag{6}\\
& \tau_{i j}^{2}=p_{i j},  \tag{7}\\
& \tau_{i j} \tau_{j k}+\tau_{j k} \tau_{k i}+\tau_{k i} \tau_{i j}=0,  \tag{8}\\
& {\left[p_{i j}, p_{k l}\right]=\left[p_{i j}, \tau_{k l}\right]=0, \quad \text { for any } i, j, k, \text { and } l,}  \tag{9}\\
& {\left[\tau_{i j}, \tau_{k l}\right]=0, \quad \text { for any distinct } i, j, k, \text { and } l .} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $[a, b]=a b-b a$ is the usual commutator. Remark that the generator $\tau_{i j}$ was denoted by $[i j]$ in $[\mathrm{FK}]$. It follows from (6) and (7) that $p_{i j}=p_{j i}$ and $p_{i i}=0$.

The commuting elements $p_{i j}$ can be viewed as formal parameters. The quotient $\mathcal{E}_{n}$ of the algebra $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{p}$ modulo the ideal generated by the $p_{i j}$ was the main object of study in [FK]. Also an algebra $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{q}$ was introduced in that paper. It can be defined as the quotient of $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{p}$ by the ideal generated by the $p_{i j}$ with $|i-j| \geq 2$. The image of $p_{i i+1}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{q}$ is denoted $q_{i}$.

Following [FK, Section 5], define the "Dunkl elements" $\theta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, in the algebra $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{p}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau_{i j} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following important property of these elements is not hard to deduce from the relations (6)-(10).
Lemma 2.1 [FK, Corollary 5.2 and Section 15] The elements $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{n}$ commute pairwise.

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be a set of commuting variables, and let $p$ be a shorthand for the collection of $p_{i j}$ 's. For a subset $I=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}$ in $\{1,2 \ldots, n\}$, we denote by $x_{I}$ the collection of variables $x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{m}}$. Define the quantum elementary symmetric polynomial (cf. [FGP, Section 3.2] or [FK, Section 15])

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}\left(x_{I} ; p\right)=E_{k}\left(x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, \ldots, x_{i_{m}} ; p\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the following recursive formulas:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{0}\left(x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, \ldots, x_{i_{m}} ; p\right)=1  \tag{13}\\
& \begin{aligned}
E_{k}\left(x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}\right. & \left., \ldots, x_{i_{m}} ; p\right)=E_{k}\left(x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, \ldots, x_{i_{m-1}} ; p\right) \\
& +E_{k-1}\left(x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, \ldots, x_{i_{m-1}} ; p\right) x_{i_{m}} \\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{m-1} E_{k-2}\left(x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{i_{r}}}, \ldots, x_{i_{m-1}} ; p\right) p_{i_{r} i_{m}}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

where the notation $\widehat{x_{r}}$ means that the corresponding term is omitted.
The polynomial $E_{k}\left(x_{I} ; p\right)$ is symmetric in the sense that it is invariant under the simultaneous action of $S_{m}$ on the variables $x_{i_{a}}$ and the $p_{i_{a} i_{b}}$. One can directly verify from (13) and (14) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}\left(x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, \ldots, x_{i_{m}} ; p\right)=x_{i_{1}}+x_{i_{2}}+\cdots+x_{i_{m}} \\
& E_{2}\left(x_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{2}}, \ldots, x_{i_{m}} ; p\right)=\sum_{1 \leq a<b \leq m}\left(x_{i_{a}} x_{i_{b}}+p_{i_{a} i_{b}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The polynomials $E_{k}\left(x_{I} ; p\right)$ have the following elementary monomer-dimer interpretation (cf. [FGP, Section 3.2]). A partial matching on the vertex set $I$ is a unordered collection of "dimers" $\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}\right\},\left\{a_{2}, b_{2}\right\}, \ldots$ and "monomers" $\left\{c_{1}\right\},\left\{c_{2}\right\}, \ldots$ such that all $a_{i}, b_{j}, c_{k}$ are distinct elements in $I$. The weight of a matching is the product $p_{a_{1} b_{1}} p_{a_{2} b_{2}} \cdots x_{c_{1}} x_{c_{2}} \cdots$. Then $E_{k}\left(x_{I} ; p\right)$ is the sum of weights of all matchings which cover exactly $k$ vertices of $I$.

For example, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4} ;\right. & p)=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{3} x_{4}+x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} \\
& +p_{12}\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right)+p_{13}\left(x_{2}+x_{4}\right)+p_{14}\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right) \\
& +p_{23}\left(x_{1}+x_{4}\right)+p_{24}\left(x_{1}+x_{3}\right)+p_{34}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Specializing $p_{i j}=0$, one obtains $E_{k}\left(x_{I} ; 0\right)=e_{k}\left(x_{I}\right)$, the usual elementary symmetric polynomial. Assume that $p_{i+1}=q_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, and $p_{i j}=0$, for $|i-j| \geq 2$. Then the polynomial $E_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; q\right)$ is the quantum elementary polynomial $E_{k}$, which is a coefficient of the characteristic polynomial of the matrix (2). Here and below the letter $q$ stands for the collection of $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n-1}$.

## 3 Main result

For a subset $I=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, let $\theta_{I}$ denote the collection of the elements $\theta_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \theta_{i_{m}}$, and let $E_{k}\left(\theta_{I} ; p\right)=E_{k}\left(\theta_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \theta_{i_{m}} ; p\right)$ denote the result of substituting the Dunkl elements (11) in place of the corresponding $x_{i}$ in (12). This substitution is well defined, due to Lemma 2.1. Our main result can be stated as follows:

Theorem 3.1 (Quantum Pieri's formula) Let $I$ be a subset in $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, and let $J=\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \backslash I$. Then, for $k \geq 1$, we have in the algebra $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{p}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}\left(\theta_{I} ; p\right)=\sum \tau_{a_{1} b_{1}} \tau_{a_{2} b_{2}} \cdots \tau_{a_{k} b_{k}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all sequences $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ such that (i) $a_{j} \in I, b_{j} \in J$, for $j=1, \ldots, k$; (ii) the $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ are distinct; (iii) $b_{1} \leq \cdots \leq b_{k}$.

Note that all terms in (15) involving the $p_{i j}$ cancel each other.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be given in Section 5. In the rest of this section we summarize several corollaries of Theorem 3.1.

First of all, let us note that specializing $p_{i j}=0$ in Theorem 3.1 results in Conjecture 11.1 from [FK]. Conjecture 15.1 is also a consequence of our result.

Corollary 3.2 [FK, Conjecture 15.1] For $k=1,2, \ldots, n$, the following relation in the algebra $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{p}$ holds

$$
E_{k}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{n} ; p\right)=0
$$

Proof. In this case, the sum in (15) is over the empty set.
Define a $\mathbb{Z}[p]$-linear homomorphism $\pi$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi: \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ; p\right] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n}^{p} \\
\pi: x_{i} \longmapsto \theta_{i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary 3.3 The kernel of $\pi$ is generated over $\mathbb{Z}[p]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ; p\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. All elements (16) map to zero, due to Corollary 3.2. The statement now follows from a dimension argument (cf. [FK, Section 7]).

In particular, we can define a homomorphism $\bar{\pi}$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{\pi}: \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n} \\
\bar{\pi}: x_{i} \longmapsto \bar{\theta}_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\bar{\theta}_{i}$ is the image in $\mathcal{E}_{n}$ of the element $\theta_{i}$.
Corollary 3.4 [FK, Theorem 7.1] The kernel of $\bar{\pi}$ is generated by the elementary symmetric polynomials

$$
e_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Thus the subalgebra in $\mathcal{E}_{n}$ generated by the $\bar{\theta}_{i}$ is canonically isomorphic to the cohomology of $F l_{n}$, which is isomorphic to the quotient (1).

Likewise, let $\hat{\theta}_{i}$ be the image in $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{q}$ of the element $\theta_{i}$, and let $\hat{\pi}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-linear homomorphism defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\pi}: \mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; q\right] \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n}^{q} \\
\hat{\pi}: x_{i} \longmapsto \hat{\theta}_{i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Corollary 3.5 [FK, Conjecture 13.4] The kernel of the homomorphism $\hat{\pi}$ is generated over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ by

$$
E_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ; q\right), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Thus the subalgebra in $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{q}$ generated over $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ by the $\hat{\theta}_{i}$ is canonically isomorphic to the quantum cohomology of $F l_{n}$, the latter being isomorphic to the quotient (3).

## 4 Action on the quantum cohomology

Recall that $s_{i j}$ is the transposition of $i$ and $j$ in $S_{n}, s_{i}=s_{i i+1}$ is a Coxeter generator, and $q_{i j}=q_{i} q_{i+1} \cdots q_{j-1}$, for $i<j$.

Let us define the $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-linear operators $t_{i j}, 1 \leq i<j \leq n$, acting on the quantum cohomology ring $\mathrm{QH}^{*}\left(F l_{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ by

$$
t_{i j}\left(\sigma_{w}\right)= \begin{cases}\sigma_{w s_{i j}} & \text { if } \ell\left(w s_{i j}\right)=\ell(w)+1  \tag{17}\\ q_{i j} \sigma_{w s_{i j}} & \text { if } \ell\left(w s_{i j}\right)=\ell(w)-2(j-i)+1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

By convention, $t_{i j}=-t_{j i}$, for $i>j$, and $t_{i i}=0$.
Quantum Monk's formula (Theorem 1.1) can be stated as saying that the quantum product of $\sigma_{s_{m}}$ and $\sigma_{w}$ is equal to

$$
\sigma_{s_{m}} * \sigma_{w}=\sum_{a \leq m<b} t_{a b}\left(\sigma_{w}\right) .
$$

The relation between the algebra $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{q}$ and quantum cohomology of $F l_{n}$ is justified by the following lemma, which is proved by a direct verification.

Lemma 4.1 [FK, Proposition 12.3] The operators $t_{i j}$ given by (17) satisfy the relations (6)-(10) with $\tau_{i j}$ replaced by $t_{i j}, p_{i i+1}=q_{i}$, and $p_{i j}=0$, for $|i-j| \geq 2$,

Thus the algebra $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{q}$ acts on $\mathrm{QH}^{*}\left(F l_{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ by $\mathbb{Z}[q]$-linear transformations

$$
\tau_{i j}: \sigma_{w} \longmapsto t_{i j}\left(\sigma_{w}\right) .
$$

Monk's formula is also equivalent to the claim that the Dunkl element $\hat{\theta}_{i}$ acts on the quantum cohomology of $F l_{n}$ as the operator of multiplication by $x_{i}$, the latter is defined via the isomorphism (4).

Let us denote $c(k, m)=s_{m-k+1} s_{m-k+2} \cdots s_{m}$ and $r(k, m)=s_{m+k-1} s_{m+k-1} \cdots s_{m}$. These are two cyclic permutations such that $c(k, m)=(m-k+1, m-k+2, \ldots, m+1)$ and $r(k, m)=(m+k, m+k-1, \ldots, m)$.

The following statement was geometrically proved in [C1] (cf. also [FGP]). For the reader's convenience and for consistency we show how to deduce it directly from Monk's formula.

Lemma 4.2 The coset of the polynomial $E_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} ; q\right)$ in the quotient ring (3) corresponds to the Schubert class $\sigma_{c(k, m)}$ under the isomorphism (4). Analogously, the coset of the polynomial $E_{k}\left(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ corresponds to the class $\sigma_{r(k, m)}$.

Proof. By (4) and (14), it is enough to check that

$$
\sigma_{c(k, m)}=\sigma_{c(k, m-1)}+\left(\sigma_{s_{m}}-\sigma_{s_{m-1}}\right) * \sigma_{c(k-1, m-1)}+q_{m-1} \sigma_{c(k-2, m-2)} .
$$

This identity immediately follows from Monk's formula:

$$
\left(\sigma_{s_{m}}-\sigma_{s_{m-1}}\right) * \sigma_{c(k-1, m-1)}=\left(\sum_{b>m} t_{m b}-\sum_{a<m} t_{a m}\right)\left(\sigma_{c(k-1, m-1)}\right) .
$$

The claim about $\sigma_{r(k, m)}$ can be proved using a symmetric argument.
It is clear now that Theorem 3.1 implies the following statement. This statement, though in a different form, was proved in [C2].

Corollary 4.3 (Quantum Pieri's formulas: $\mathrm{QH}^{*}$ version) For $w \in S_{n}$ and $0 \leq k \leq$ $m<n$, the product of Schubert classes $\sigma_{c(k, m)}$ and $\sigma_{w}$ in the quantum cohomology ring $\mathrm{QH}^{*}\left(F l_{n}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{c(k, m)} * \sigma_{w}=\sum t_{a_{1} b_{1}} t_{a_{2} b_{2}} \cdots t_{a_{k} b_{m}}\left(\sigma_{w}\right), \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ such that (i) $1 \leq a_{j} \leq m<b_{j}<n$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$; (ii) the $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ are distinct; (iii) $b_{1} \leq \cdots \leq b_{k}$.

Likewise, the quantum product of Schubert classes $\sigma_{r(k, m)}$ and $\sigma_{w}$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r(k, m)} * \sigma_{w}=\sum t_{c_{1} d_{1}} t_{c_{2} d_{2}} \cdots t_{c_{k} d_{k}}\left(\sigma_{w}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}, b_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}$ such that (i) $1 \leq c_{j} \leq m<d_{j}<n$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$; (ii) $c_{1} \leq \cdots \leq c_{k}$; (iii) the $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}$ are distinct.

Note that Corollary 4.3 does not imply Theorem 3.1 (or even its weaker form for $\left.\mathcal{E}_{n}^{q}\right)$, since the representation $\tau_{i j} \mapsto t_{i j}$ of $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{q}$ in the quantum cohomology is not exact.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 3.1

For a subset $I$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, let $\widetilde{E}_{k}(I)$ denote the expression in the right-hand side of (15). By convention, $\widetilde{E}_{0}(I)=1$. For $k=1$, Theorem 3.1 says that

$$
\widetilde{E}_{1}(I)=\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \notin I} \tau_{i j}=\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau_{i j}=E_{1}\left(\theta_{I} ; p\right),
$$

which is obvious by (6).
It suffices to verify that the $\widetilde{E}_{k}(I)$ satisfy the defining relation (14). Then the claim $E_{k}\left(\theta_{I} ; p\right)=\widetilde{E}_{k}(I)$ will follow by induction on $k$. Specifically, we have to demonstrate that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{E}_{k}(I \cup\{j\})=\widetilde{E}_{k}(I)+\widetilde{E}_{k-1}(I) \theta_{j}+\sum_{i \in I} \widetilde{E}_{k-2}(I \backslash\{i\}) p_{i j}, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $j \notin I$. To do this we need some extra notation. For a subset $L=\left\{l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{m}\right\}$ and $r \notin L$, denote

$$
\langle L \mid r\rangle=\sum \tau_{u_{1} r} \tau_{u_{2} r} \cdots \tau_{u_{m} r},
$$

where the sum is over all permutations $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}$ of $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{m}$.

For $I$ and $j$ as in (20), let $J=\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \backslash I=\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{d}\right\}$ with $j_{1}=j$. Then the first term in the right-hand side of (20) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\widetilde{E}_{k}(I)=\sum_{I_{1} \ldots I_{d} \subset_{k} I}\left\langle I_{1} \mid j_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle\left\langle I_{2} \mid j_{2}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle I_{d} \mid j_{d}\right\rangle, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the notation $I_{1} \ldots I_{d} \subset_{k} I$ means that the sum is over all pairwise disjoint (possibly empty) subsets $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{d}$ of $I$ such that $\sum_{s}\left|I_{s}\right|=k$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{E}_{k}(I)=A_{1}+A_{2}, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{1}$ is the sum of terms in (21) with $I_{1}=\emptyset$ and $A_{2}$ is the sum of terms with $I_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Likewise, we can split the left-hand side of (20) into two parts:

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{E}_{k}(I \cup\{j\}) & \left.\left.\left.=\sum_{I_{2}^{\prime} \cdots I_{d}^{\prime} \subset{ }_{k} I \cup\{j\}}\left\langle I_{2}^{\prime} \mid j_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle\left\langle I_{3}^{\prime} \mid j_{3}\right\rangle\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle I_{d}^{\prime} \mid j_{d}\right\rangle\right\rangle  \tag{23}\\
& =B_{1}+B_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{1}$ is the sum of the terms such that $j \notin I_{2}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup I_{d}^{\prime}$, and $B_{2}$ is the sum of terms with $j \in I_{2}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup I_{d}^{\prime}$. We also split the second term in the right-hand side of (20) into 3 summands:

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{E}_{k-1}(I) \theta_{j} & \left.=\sum_{I_{1}^{\prime \prime} \ldots I_{d}^{\prime \prime} \subset_{k-1} I}\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime \prime} \mid j_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle I_{d}^{\prime \prime} \mid j_{d}\right\rangle \sum_{s \neq j} \tau_{j s}  \tag{24}\\
& =C_{1}+C_{2}+C_{3},
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is the sum of terms with $s \in I \backslash\left(I_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cup I_{2}^{\prime \prime} \cup \cdots \cup I_{d}^{\prime \prime}\right) ; C_{2}$ is the sum of terms with $s \in I_{2}^{\prime \prime} \cup I_{3}^{\prime \prime} \cup \cdots \cup I_{d}^{\prime \prime} \cup J$; and $C_{3}$ is the sum of terms with $s \in I_{1}^{\prime \prime}$.

It is immediate from the definitions that $A_{1}=B_{1}$. It is also not hard to verify that $A_{2}+C_{1}=0$, since for $I_{1} \neq \emptyset$

$$
\left\langle I_{1} \mid j_{1}\right\rangle=\sum_{i \in I_{1}}\left\langle I_{1} \backslash\{i\} \mid j_{1}\right\rangle \tau_{i j_{1}}
$$

To prove the identity (20), it thus suffices to demonstrate that

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{2}=C_{2},  \tag{25}\\
& C_{3}+\sum_{i \in I} \widetilde{E}_{k-2}(I \backslash\{i\}) p_{i j}=0 . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

The following lemma implies the formula (25).
Lemma 5.1 For any subset $K$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $j, l \notin K$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\langle K \cup\{j\} \mid l\rangle\rangle=\sum_{L \subset K}\langle\langle L \mid l\rangle\rangle\langle K \backslash L \mid j\rangle\right\rangle \sum_{s \in L \cup\{l\}} \tau_{j s} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let $T=\left\langle\left\langle I_{2}^{\prime} \mid j_{2}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle I_{d}^{\prime} \mid j_{d}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ be a term of $B_{2}$. Then $j \in J_{r}^{\prime}$ for some $r$. By Lemma 5.1, $T$ is equal the sum of all terms $\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime \prime} \mid j_{1}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle I_{d}^{\prime \prime} \mid j_{d}\right\rangle \tau_{j s}$ in $C_{2}$ with fixed $I_{u}^{\prime \prime}=I_{u}^{\prime}$ for all $u \neq r$ such that $s \in I_{r}^{\prime \prime} \cup\left\{j_{r}\right\}$ and the subsets $I_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cup I_{r}^{\prime \prime}=I_{r}^{\prime} \backslash\{j\}$. Thus $B_{2}=C_{2}$.
Proof of Lemma 5.1. Induction on $|K|$. For $K=\emptyset$, the both sides of (27) are equal to $\tau_{j l}$. For $|K| \geq 1$, the right-hand side of (27) is equal

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{L \subset K}\left\langle\langle L | l \rangle \left\langle\langle K \backslash L \mid j\rangle \sum_{s \in L \cup\{l\}} \tau_{j s}\right.\right. \\
& =\sum_{L \nsubseteq K}\left(\sum_{i \in K \backslash L}\langle L L \mid l\rangle \tau_{i j}\langle K K \backslash L \backslash\{i\} \mid j\rangle \sum_{s \in L \cup\{l\}} \tau_{j s}\right)+\left\langle\langle K \mid l\rangle \sum_{s \in K \cup\{l\}} \tau_{j s}\right. \\
& =\sum_{i \in K} \tau_{i j}\left\langle\langle(K \backslash\{i\}) \cup\{j\} \mid l\rangle+\left\langle\langle K \mid l\rangle \sum_{s \in K \cup\{l\}} \tau_{j s}\right.\right. \\
& =\langle\langle K \cup\{j\} \mid l\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second equality is valid by induction hypothesis; the remaining equalities follow from (8) and (10).

Using a similar argument to the one after Lemma 5.1, one can derive the formula (26) from the following lemma:

Lemma 5.2 For any subset $K$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $j \notin K$, we have

$$
\sum_{s \in K}\left(\langle K \mid j\rangle \tau_{j s}+\sum_{L \subset K \backslash\{s\}}\left\langle\langle L \mid s\rangle\langle\langle K \backslash L \backslash\{s\} \mid j\rangle\rangle p_{j s}\right)=0 .\right.
$$

This statement, in turn, is obtained from the following "quantum analogue" of Lemma 7.2 from [FK]. Its proof is a straightforward extension.

Lemma 5.3 For $i, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have in the algebra $\mathcal{E}_{n}^{p}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{r=1}^{m} \tau_{i u_{r}} \tau_{i u_{r+1}} \cdots \tau_{i u_{m}} \tau_{i u_{1}} \tau_{i u_{2}} \cdots \tau_{i u_{r}} \\
& =\sum_{r=1}^{m} p_{i u_{r}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{r+1}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{r+2}} \cdots \tau_{u_{r} u_{m}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{1}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{2}} \cdots \tau_{u_{r} u_{r-1}} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where, by convention, the index $u_{m+1}$ is identified with $u_{1}$.
Proof. Induction on $m$. The base of induction, for $m=1$, is easily established by (7): $\tau_{i u_{1}} \tau_{i u_{1}}=p_{i u_{1}}$. Assume that $m>1$. Applying (8) and (10) to the left-hand
side of (28), we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r=1}^{m} \tau_{i u_{r}} \tau_{i u_{r+1}} \cdots \tau_{i u_{m-1}}\left(\tau_{i u_{m}} \tau_{i u_{1}}\right) \tau_{i i_{2}} \cdots \tau_{i u_{r}} \\
& =\sum_{r=1}^{m} \tau_{i u_{r}} \tau_{i u_{r+1}} \cdots \tau_{i u_{m-1}}\left(\tau_{i u_{1}} \tau_{u_{1} u_{m}}+\tau_{u_{m} u_{1}} \tau_{i u_{m}}\right) \tau_{i u_{2}} \cdots \tau_{i u_{r}} \\
& =\left(\sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \tau_{i u_{r}} \tau_{i u_{r+1}} \cdots \tau_{i u_{m-1}} \tau_{i u_{1}} \tau_{i u_{2}} \cdots \tau_{i u_{r}}\right) \tau_{u_{1} u_{m}} \\
& \quad+\tau_{u_{m} u_{1}}\left(\sum_{r=2}^{m} \tau_{i u_{r}} \tau_{i u_{r+1}} \cdots \tau_{i u_{m}} \tau_{i u_{2}} \tau_{i i_{3}} \cdots \tau_{i u_{r}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction hypothesis, this expression is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{r=1}^{m-1} p_{i u_{r}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{r+1}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{r+2}} \cdots \tau_{u_{r} u_{m-1}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{1}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{2}} \cdots \tau_{u_{r} u_{r-1}}\right) \tau_{u_{1} u_{m}} \\
& \quad+\tau_{u_{m} u_{1}}\left(\sum_{r=2}^{m} p_{i u_{r}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{r+1}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{r+2}} \cdots \tau_{u_{r} u_{m}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{2}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{3}} \cdots \tau_{u_{r} u_{r-1}}\right) \\
& =p_{i u_{1}} \tau_{u_{1} u_{2}} \tau_{u_{1} u_{3}} \cdots \tau_{u_{1} u_{m}}+p_{i u_{m}} \tau_{u_{m} u_{1}} \tau_{u_{m} u_{2}} \cdots \tau_{u_{m} u_{m-1}} \\
& \quad+\sum_{r=2}^{m-1} p_{i u_{r}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{r+1}} \cdots \tau_{u_{r} u_{m-1}}\left(\tau_{u_{r} u_{1}} \tau_{u_{1} u_{m}}+\tau_{u_{m} u_{1}} \tau_{u_{r} u_{m}}\right) \tau_{u_{r} u_{2}} \cdots \tau_{u_{r} u_{r-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The latter expression coincides with the right-hand side of (28).
This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

## References

[BGG] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, and S. I. Gelfand, Schubert cells and cohomology of the space $G / P$, Russian Math. Surveys 28 (1973), 1-26.
[B] A. Borel, Sur la cohomologie de espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogénes des groupes de Lie compacts, Ann. of Math. (2) 57 (1953), 115207.
[C1] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, Quantum cohomology of flag varieties, Intern. Math. Research Notes (1995), No. 6, 263-277.
[C2] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, On quantum cohomology rings or partial flag varieties, preprint dated February 9, 1997.
[D] M. Demazure, Désingularization des variétés de Schubert généralisées, Ann. Scient. Ecole Normale Sup. (4) 7 (1974), 53-88.
[E] C. Ehresmann, Sur la topologie de certains espaces homogènes, Ann. Math. 35 (1934), 396-443.
[FGP] S. Fomin, S. Gelfand, and A. Postnikov, Quantum Schubert polynomials, to appear in J. Amer. Math. Soc.
[FK] S. Fomin and A. N. Kirillov, Quadratic algebras, Dunkl elements, and Schubert calculus, preprint AMSPPS \#199703-05-001.
[FP] W. Fulton and R. Pandharipande, Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology, preprint alg-geom/9608011.
[GK] A. Givental and B. Kim, Quantum cohomology of flag manifolds and Toda lattices, Comm. Math. Phys. 168 (1995), 609-641.
[K] B. Kim, Quantum cohomology of flag manifolds $G / B$ and quantum Toda lattices, preprint alg-geom/9607001.
[LS] A. Lascoux and M. P. Schützenberger, Polynômes de Schubert, C. R. Ac. Sci. 294 (1982), 447-450.
[Ma] I. G. Macdonald, Notes on Schubert polynomials, Publications du LACIM, Montréal, 1991.
[Mo] D. Monk, The geometry of flag manifolds, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 9 (1959), 253-286.
[S] F. Sottile, Pieri's formula for flag manifolds and Schubert polynomials, Annales de l'Institut Fourier 46 (1996), 89-110.
[W] R. Winkel, On the multiplication of Schubert polynomials, preprint dated January 1997.

